The significance in smaller steps to Hypothesis Testing

Anne D'Arcy-Warmington

Curtin College, Australia

Abstract

Hypothesis testing can be incredibly overwhelming for students when formulae, rules, and conclusions are all thrown at them at once. Most learners end up seeing it as a stressful mathematics checklist, completely missing the real story that the data can impart. A seven-step framework that splits the process across three friendly, manageable lessons (2 hours duration), establishing confidence and the importance of the basic concepts before introducing the mathematical component. Instead of rushing into calculations (most students see this part as the only part of statistics), the first lesson is all about setting the scene. Working through three everyday scenarios, students learn how to look at Step 1: Assumptions and definitions, next Step 2: Hypotheses, and sort through Step 3: Test data without touching a calculator. It is hoped that this will provide meaning and understanding before the confusing strange formula. The second lesson brings in mathematics, guiding them through to Step 4: Test Statistic, understanding the Step 5: P-value (or critical value is introduced in the third lesson to avoid confusion), leading to Step 6: Decision, and finally writing the Step 7: Conclusion.

The best part of this approach is that it treats the conclusion on a much bigger, more meaningful scale than the actual calculations. By slowing down and separating the initial setup from the math, we take the pressure off. We found that students stop panicking about formulae and instead gain the confidence to explain exactly what their data means in plain English.

Keywords: Cognitive Load Theory; Hypothesis; Learning; Statistics; Teaching