

21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

# Polish Voivodship Administrative Court's Dissenting Opinions in Direct Tax Cases (2004-2018). Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis

#### Patryk Kowalski

Department of Financial Law, Faculty of Law, University of Lodz (Poland)

#### **Abstract**

This article presents the results of the examination dissenting opinions submitted by judges of Polish administrative courts in direct taxation cases in the years 2004–2018. The analysis covered the judgments of all sixteen administrative courts issued in the abovementioned period. These criteria led to the selection of research material covering a *votum separatum* from judgments on personal income tax, corporate income tax, inheritance tax, financial transaction tax, real estate tax. During the performed case studies using quantitative analysis it has been determined that, for example, dissenting opinions were very rarely submitted in comparison to the number of judgements issued by administrative courts. In the course of case studies using qualitative analysis it has been observed, that, for example, small number of *votum separatum* submitted from the rulings of the voivodship administrative courts regarding direct tax cases may indicate the predictability of the judicial process of applying tax law and, consequently, the implementation of the constitutional principle of legal certainty. It may indicate also the unambiguity of tax law and, consequently, the implementation of the constitutional principle of correct legislation (at least at the stage of first instance).

**Keywords:** empirical legal studies; corporate tax; personal income tax; property tax; inheritance tax.

#### 1. Introduction

Among the issues related to the deliberation on the ruling and voting of judges in administrative court proceedings, the institution of dissenting opinion (latin: *votum separatum*, *contravotum*, abbreviated as "cvs") authorizing the judge of the remaining minority to disclose this fact in an appropriate form is of significant importance, despite the fact that the vote was secret. This regulation, although also appearing in civil and criminal procedure, has not been published in many Polish scientific studies<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The most important of them include the following: A. Bojańczyk, *Zdania odrębne w postępowaniu karnym*, "Forum Prawnicze" 12, pp. 3–12 (2012); J. Bartoszewski, *Zdania odrębne w procesie karnym*, Warszawa (1973); B. Zdziennicki, *Zdania odrębne w orzecznictwie polskiego Trybunału Konstytucyjnego*, [in:] Księga XX-lecia orzecznictwa Trybunału Konstytucyjnego, ed. M. Zubik, Warszawa (2006).

16



21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

The belief that a dissenting opinion is not cognitively interesting is still dominant, because its submission is not of great importance for the decision, and is also only the individual interest of the "voted" judge. In addition, in the Polish tax law doctrine, quite the opposite of that in foreign law<sup>2</sup>, there are no studies analyzing the functioning of the described institution in practice and its importance in the process of applying this branch of law.

### 2. Subject and purpose of the research

The subject of the research conducted in this work is the analysis of dissenting opinions submitted by judges from the judgments of Polish voivodship administrative courts (further: "VAC") in cases of direct taxes in the years 2004–2018.

A dissenting opinion is a statement, an act of will expressed in the form specified by statute on the part of one of the members of the adjudication panel, different from the majority opinion of its other members. Submission of a *contravotum* is a procedural act and, for its effectiveness, can be submitted only within the time and form prescribed by the regulations (Bartoszewski 1973).

The institution of the *votum separatum* in administrative court proceedings is regulated by an article 137 § 2 *in fine* of the Act of 30 August 2002 Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts<sup>3</sup> according to which a judge who does not agree with the majority vote may submit a dissenting opinion when signing the judgement and is obliged to substantiate it in writing before signing the justification. Dissenting opinions may also apply to the justification of the judgment itself. The submission of a dissenting opinion shall be made known, while its author's name can be revealed only upon her/his consent.

The most important from the point of view of the subject and purpose of the development function of dissenting opinions – the documentary and guarantee function – should be emphasized. The documentary aspect of the *votum separatum* is characterized by the fact that its submission is intended to consolidate the fact of non-unanimous voting and the reasons that determined it. In turn, the guarantee aspect of dissenting opinions states that their submission gives the parties the opportunity to familiarize themselves with the opposite argumentation, which led the judge to vote differently on the decision or justification. In addition, the dissenting opinion constitutes an important reference point for the court of second instance, which may, when considering a remedy, resist or negate the arguments contained in cvs (Bojańczyk 2012).

Polish taxes can be divided into two groups: direct and indirect taxes. Direct taxes are assessed on personal revenue or property. Indirect taxes are included in the price of goods and services (f. e. value added tax or excise tax). The Polish tax system distinguishes 10 types of direct taxes, including: personal income tax, corporate income tax, inheritance

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See f. e. R. Fisher, Judicial dissent in taxation cases: The incidence of dissent and factors contributing to dissent, "eJournal of Tax Research" 13, pp. 470–490 (2015); J. Adler, Dissent in Courts of Last Resort: Tragic Choices?, "Oxford Journal of Legal Studies" 20, pp. 221–246 (2000); L. Epstein, W.M. Landes, R.A. Posner, Why (and When) Judges Dissent: a Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, John M. Olin Law & Economics Working Paper No. 510 (2D Series), Chicago (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Consolidated text of Dz. U. 2019, item 2325, as amended, further: "PBAC".



21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

tax, financial transaction tax, real estate tax, agricultural tax, forest tax, vehicle tax, tonnage tax, mineral extraction tax.

One major research (cognitive) goal was set in the study. It is the definition and characterization of the functioning of the institution of *votum separatum* from the judgements of all Polish VACs in practice. An examination of the above will also allow an assessment of the application of tax law by administrative courts in direct taxes.

### 3. Research problem and research hypothesis

Achieving this goal can only occur by solving the main research problem, which was posed in the form of a question: the application of tax law with respect to which taxes raises the most doubts in the case law of voivodship administrative courts in Poland?

The main research problem posed in this way was used to formulate the research hypothesis, which reads: the application of tax law with respect to personal income tax raises the most doubts in the case law of voivodship administrative courts in Poland, and on the other hand the application of tax law with respect to financial transaction tax raises the least doubt.

# 4. Research methods, techniques and tools

The empirical method of law was used to gather the material necessary to resolve the research problem outlined above. It is a method that confronts the content of applicable law (law in books) with the practice of its application (law in action).

The basic research technique consists in the quantitative and qualitative analysis of the content contained in the collected research material.

To implement this technique, research tools were used such as the Central Database of Judgments of Administrative Courts<sup>4</sup> and court rulings contained in it.

# 5. Characteristic of the research sample

The research included a sample of judgments of all 16 Polish voivodship administrative courts, issued in cases of excise tax, published in the Central Database of Judgments of Administrative Courts (further: "CDJAC"), to which a separate opinion was submitted, issued in the period from 1 January 2004 to 31 December 2018. The sample included rulings browsed against index terms used in the search form, such as "judgement"; "final judgement"; "with a dissenting opinion"; and the thematic slogan – "personal income tax", "corporate income tax", "inheritance tax", "financial transaction tax", "real estate

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://orzeczenia.nsa.gov.pl. This database was launched on 1 October 2007 in order to create for interested parties the conditions for the fullest access to the content of administrative court decisions. It contains all administrative court rulings issued after the day of its launch by 14 November 2018, and most of the rulings that were issued until 1 January 2004 until 30 September 2007. (Information of the Chairman of the Judicial Information Department of the Supreme Administrative Court of 16 December 2019, reference number WIS.050.676.2019, own collection).



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

tax", "agricultural tax", "forest tax", "vehicle excise tax", "tonnage tax", "mineral extraction tax".

#### 6. Research results

#### 6.1. Personal income tax

The analysis of dissenting opinions submitted from VAC's judgments in personal income tax cases shows that judges submit *votum separatum* very rarely. In the years 2004–2018, voivodship administrative courts issued a total of 24,496 judgments in cases of personal income tax. Only to 33 of them a dissenting opinion was submitted, which constitutes 0.14% of the total. During the 15-year study period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 2.2 times a year.

Dissenting opinions from 2 judgments in personal income tax cases were made for the first time in 2004 out of 658 judgments issued by all VAC in Poland, which constituted only 0.30% of all judgments in personal income tax issued this year. It should also be emphasized that dissenting opinions were submitted fairly regularly. Only in 2008, 2009 and 2013 no judge submitted a dissenting opinion.

The largest number of dissenting opinions, 7, were submitted in 2012, however, it was still a small percentage of judgments issued in personal income tax cases, amounting to less than 1%. It should be emphasized that the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in personal income tax cases was recorded in 2006 (0.58%). 698 judgements were issued then and up to 4 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions (except for 0%) in personal income tax cases was recorded in 2016 (0.05%). 2050 judgements were issued then, only 1 of them was dissented.

Table 1 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 1: "Judgments issued in personal income tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - by years" Number of dissenting Number of judgments opinions in judgments of voivodship Percentage of of voivodship Year of judgment administrative courts in dissenting opinions on administrative courts in cases of personal personal income tax cases of personal income tax income tax 2004 658 2 0,30% 2005 710 3 0,42% 2006 698 4 0,58% 0,25% 2 2007 826 2008 1745 0 0

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Voivodship Administrative Court in: Białystok, Bydgoszcz, Gdańsk, Gliwice, Gorzów Wielkopolski, Kielce, Kraków, Lublin, Łódź, Olsztyn, Opole, Poznań, Rzeszów, Szczecin, Warszawa and Wrocław.



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

2009	1754	0	0
2010	1806	2	0,11%
2011	1882	3	0,16%
2012	1750	7	0,40%
2013	2630	0	0
2014	2253	2	0,09%
2015	2116	3	0,15%
2016	2050	1	0,05%
2017	2174	3	0,13%
2018	1444	1	0,07%
Sum	24496	33	0,14%

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

During the 15-year examined period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 2.1 times for each VAC.

Most often, dissenting opinions from personal income tax judgments were made by judges of the VAC in Wrocław (10 times), and the least often by judges of the VAC in Bydgoszcz, Kraków, Lublin, Olsztyn and Szczecin (1 time). On the other hand, judges in VAC in Kielce, Łódź, Opole, Poznań, Rzeszów and Warszawa never submitted dissenting opinions in personal income tax matters.

It is worth emphasizing that the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in personal income tax cases was recorded in the VAC in Wrocław (3.72%). 2681 judgements were issued then, only 10 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions in the abovementioned cases was reported in the VAC in Kraków, Lublin and Szczecin (0.08%). There were about 1200 judgements issued there, and dissenting opinion was submitted to only 1 of them.

Table 2 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 2: "Judgments issued in cases of personal income tax by voivodship administrative courts in the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - according to the jurisdiction of the court"

Voivodship Administrative Court	Number of judgments in personal income tax cases (2004–2018)	Number of dissenting opinions in personal income tax rulings (2004–2018)	Percentage of dissenting opinions on personal income tax (2004-2018)
Białystok	804	5	0,62%
Bydgoszcz	1187	1	0,09%
Gdańsk	2387	3	0,13%
Gliwice	1948	8	0,41%
Gorzów Wielkopolski	1072	2	0,18%
Kielce	541	0	0
Kraków	1280	1	0,08%
Lublin	1260	1	0,08%
Łódź	2015	0	0
Olsztyn	680	1	0,15%



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

Opole	489	0	0
Poznań	1997	0	0
Rzeszów	782	0	0
Szczecin	1278	1	0,08%
Warszawa	4095	0	0
Wrocław	2681	10	3,72%
Sum	24496	33	0,14%

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

#### **6.2.** Corporate income tax

The analysis of dissenting opinions submitted from VAC's judgments in corporate income tax cases shows that judges submit *votum separatum* extremely rarely. In the years 2004–2018, voivodship administrative courts issued a total of 7,546 judgments in cases of corporate income tax. Only to 5 of them a dissenting opinion was submitted, which constitutes 0.07% of the total. During the 15-year study period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 0.3 times a year.

Dissenting opinions from 4 judgments in corporate income tax cases were made for the first time in 2005 out of 237 judgments issued by all VAC in Poland, which constituted only 1.69% of all judgments in corporate income tax issued this year. It should also be emphasized that dissenting opinions were submitted only in 2005 and 2013.

The largest number of dissenting opinions, 4, were submitted in 2005, however, it was still a small percentage of judgments issued in personal income tax cases, amounting to less than 2%. 2005 was the year in which the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in corporate income tax cases was submitted (1.69%). 237 judgements were issued then and up to 4 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions (except for 0%) in corporate income tax cases was recorded in 2013 (0.15%). 681 judgements were issued then, only 1 of them was dissented.

Table 3 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 3: "Judgments issued in corporate tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years					
2004–2018	2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - by years"				
Year of judgment	Number of judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of corporate tax	Number of dissenting opinions in judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of corporate tax	Percentage of dissenting opinions on corporate tax		
2004	358	0	0		
2005	237	4	1,69%		
2006	272	0	0		
2007	246	0	0		
2008	459	0	0		
2009	471	0	0		
2010	557	0	0		



### 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

2017 2018	547 492	0	0
2016	629	0	0
2015	764	0	0
2014	746	0	0
2013	681	1	0,15%
2012	516	0	0
2011	571	0	0

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

During the 15-year examined period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 2.1 times for each VAC.

Most often, dissenting opinions from corporate income tax judgments were made by judges of the VAC in Gliwice (4 times), and the least often by judges of the VAC in Gorzów Wielkopolski (1 time). Judges from other courts never submitted dissenting opinions in this matters.

It is worth emphasizing that the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in corporate income tax cases was recorded in the VAC in Gliwice (0.68%). 591 judgements were issued then, only 1 of them was dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions in the abovementioned cases was reported in the VAC in Gorzów Wielkopolski (0.59%). There were 171 judgements issued there, and dissenting opinion was submitted to only 1 of them.

Table 4 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 4: "Judgments issued in corporate tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - according to the jurisdiction of the court"

Voivodship Administrative Court	Number of judgments in corporate tax cases (2004–2018)	Number of dissenting opinions in personal corporate tax rulings (2004–2018)	Percentage of dissenting opinions on corporate tax (2004-2018)
Białystok	223	0	0
Bydgoszcz	283	0	0
Gdańsk	496	0	0
Gliwice	591	4	0,68%
Gorzów Wielkopolski	171	1	0,59%
Kielce	75	0	0
Kraków	380	0	0
Lublin	234	0	0
Łódź	405	0	0
Olsztyn	98	0	0
Opole	120	0	0
Poznań	555	0	0
Rzeszów	255	0	0



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

Szczecin	266	0	0
Warszawa	2172	0	0
Wrocław	1222	0	0
Sum	7546	5	0,07%

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

#### **6.3.** Inheritance tax

The analysis of dissenting opinions submitted from VAC's judgments in inheritance tax cases shows that judges submit *votum separatum* extremely rarely. In the years 2004–2018, voivodship administrative courts issued a total of 1,664 judgments in cases of inheritance tax. Only to 1 of them a dissenting opinion was submitted, which constitutes 0.06% of the total. During the 15-year study period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 0.07 times a year.

Dissenting opinions from 1 judgment in inheritance tax cases were made for the first and only time in 2007 out of 55 judgments issued by all VAC in Poland, which constituted only 1.82% of all judgments in inheritance income tax issued this year. In the remaining years no judge submitted a dissenting opinion.

Table 5 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 5: "Judgments issued in inheritance tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years				
2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - by years"				
Year of judgment	Number of judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of inheritance tax	Number of dissenting opinions in judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of inheritance tax	Percentage of dissenting opinions on inheritance tax	
2004	28	0	0	
2005	16	0	0	
2006	29	0	0	
2007	55	1	1,82%	
2008	191	0	0	
2009	173	0	0	
2010	172	0	0	
2011	155	0	0	
2012	95	0	0	
2013	168	0	0	
2014	144	0	0	
2015	149	0	0	
2016	80	0	0	
2017	114	0	0	
2018	95	0	0	
Sum	1664	1	0,06%	

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

During the 15-year examined period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 0.6 times for each VAC.

Only court whose judgment was dissented was VAC in Warsaw (0.30%). Judges from other courts never submitted dissenting opinions in this matters.

Table 6 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 6: "Judgments issued in inheritance tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - according to the jurisdiction of the court"

Voivodship Administrative Court	Number of judgments in inheritance tax cases (2004–2018)	Number of dissenting opinions in inheritance tax rulings (2004– 2018)	Percentage of dissenting opinions on inheritance tax (2004-2018)
Białystok	33	0	0
Bydgoszcz	62	0	0
Gdańsk	178	0	0
Gliwice	156	0	0
Gorzów Wielkopolski	32	0	0
Kielce	40	0	0
Kraków	136	0	0
Lublin	55	0	0
Łódź	125	0	0
Olsztyn	44	0	0
Opole	22	0	0
Poznań	158	0	0
Rzeszów	34	0	0
Szczecin	108	0	0
Warszawa	341	1	0,30%
Wrocław	140	0	0
Sum	1664	1	0,06%

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

#### 6.4. Financial transaction tax

The analysis of dissenting opinions submitted from VAC's judgments in financial transaction tax cases shows that judges submit *votum separatum* rarely. In the years 2004–2018, voivodship administrative courts issued a total of 2,164 judgments in cases of financial transaction tax. Only to 20 of them a dissenting opinion was submitted, which constitutes 9.24% of the total. During the 15-year study period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 1.3 times a year.

Dissenting opinions from 9 judgments in financial transaction tax cases were made for the first time in 2011 out of 473 judgments issued by all VAC in Poland, which



21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

constituted only 1.90% of all judgments in financial transaction tax issued this year. It should also be emphasized that dissenting opinions were submitted only in 2011, 2013–2015.

2015 was the year in which the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in financial transaction tax cases was submitted (4.07%). 221 judgements were issued then and up to 9 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions (except for 0%) in financial transaction tax cases was recorded in 2013 (0.34%). 291 judgements were issued then, only 1 of them was dissented.

Table 7 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 7: "Judgments is	Table 7: "Judgments issued in financial transaction tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in				
the years 2004–2	the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - by years"				
Year of judgment	Number of judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of financial transaction tax	Number of dissenting opinions in judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of financial transaction tax	Percentage of dissenting opinions on financial transaction tax		
2004	125	0	0		
2005	17	0	0		
2006	15	0	0		
2007	38	0	0		
2008	51	0	0		
2009	77	0	0		
2010	125	0	0		
2011	473	9	1,90%		
2012	270	0	0		
2013	291	1	0,34%		
2014	168	1	0,60%		
2015	221	9	4,07%		
2016	120	0	0		
2017	102	0	0		
2018	71	0	0		
Sum	2164	20	9,24%		

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

During the 15-year examined period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 1.25 times for each VAC.

Most often, dissenting opinions from financial transaction tax judgments were made by judges of the VAC in Łódź (18 times), and the least often by judges of the VAC in Gliwice and Bydgoszcz (1 time). Judges from other courts did not submit dissenting opinions at all.

It is worth emphasizing that the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in financial transaction tax cases was recorded in the VAC in Łódź (11.25%). 160 judgements were issued then, only 18 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

of dissenting opinions in the abovementioned cases was reported in the VAC in Gliwice (0.37%). There were 271 judgements issued there, and dissenting opinion was submitted to only 1 of them.

Table 8 presents detailed data in this respect.

Table 8: "Judgments issued in financial transaction tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - according to the jurisdiction of the court"

	jurisdiction of the court			
		Number of dissenting	Percentage of	
Voivodship	Number of judgments	opinions in financial	dissenting opinions on	
Administrative Court	in financial transaction	transaction tax rulings	financial transaction	
Administrative Court	tax cases (2004–2018)	(2004–2018)	tax	
			(2004-2018)	
Białystok	24	0	0	
Bydgoszcz	43	1	2,33%	
Gdańsk	127	0	0	
Gliwice	271	1	0,37%	
Gorzów Wielkopolski	30	0	0	
Kielce	40	0	0	
Kraków	130	0	0	
Lublin	154	0	0	
Łódź	160	18	11,25%	
Olsztyn	36	0	0	
Opole	22	0	0	
Poznań	177	0	0	
Rzeszów	41	0	0	
Szczecin	74	0	0	
Warszawa	664	0	0	
Wrocław	171	0	0	
Sum	2164	20	9,24%	

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

#### 6.5. Real estate tax

The analysis of dissenting opinions submitted from VAC's judgments in real estate tax cases shows that judges submit *votum separatum* very rarely. In the years 2004–2018, voivodship administrative courts issued a total of 12,485 judgments in cases of real estate tax. Only to 10 of them a dissenting opinion was submitted, which constitutes 0.80% of the total. During the 15-year study period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 0.7 times a year.

Dissenting opinions from 2 judgments in real estate tax cases were made for the first time in 2006 out of 180 judgments issued by all VAC in Poland, which constituted only 0.11% of all judgments in real estate tax issued this year. It should also be emphasized that dissenting opinions were submitted only in 2006, 2008, 2009, 2013, 2014 and 2017.

The largest number of dissenting opinions, 2, were submitted in 2006, 2009, 2014 and 2017. It should be emphasized that the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting



21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

opinions in personal income tax cases was recorded in 2009 (0.41%). 488 judgements were issued then and up to 2 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions (except for 0%) in personal income tax cases was recorded in 2013 (0.10%). 1006 judgements were issued then, only 1 of them was dissented.

Table 9 presents detailed data in this respect.

	Table 9: "Judgments issued in real estate tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years			
2004–2018	2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - by years"			
Year of judgment	Number of judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of real estate tax	Number of dissenting opinions in judgments of voivodship administrative courts in cases of real estate tax	Percentage of dissenting opinions on real estate tax	
2004	199	0	0	
2005	61	0	0	
2006	180	2	0,11%	
2007	345	0	0	
2008	581	1	0,17%	
2009	488	2	0,41%	
2010	663	0	0	
2011	841	0	0	
2012	814	0	0	
2013	1006	1	0,10%	
2014	1271	2	0,16%	
2015	1335	0	0	
2016	1564	0	0	
2017	1759	2	0,11%	
2018	1378	0	0	
Sum	12485	10	0,80%	

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

During the 15-year examined period, dissenting opinions were submitted on average 0.6 times for each VAC.

Most often, dissenting opinions from real estate tax judgments were made by judges of the VAC in Bydgoszcz (3 times), and the least often by judges of the VAC in Gorzów Wielkopolski, Poznań and Szczecin (1 time).

It is worth emphasizing that the noticeably highest percentage of dissenting opinions in real estate tax cases was recorded in the VAC in Bydgoszcz (0.53%). 566 judgements were issued then, only 3 of them were dissented. In turn, the lowest percentage of dissenting opinions in the abovementioned cases was reported in the VAC in Szczecin (0.08%). There were 1329 judgements issued there, and dissenting opinion was submitted to only 1 of them.

Table 10 presents detailed data in this respect.



### 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

Table 10: "Judgments issued in real estate tax cases by voivodship administrative courts in the years 2004–2018 together with separate opinions submitted from them - according to the jurisdiction of the court"

Voivodship Administrative Court	Number of judgments in real estate tax cases (2004–2018)	Number of dissenting opinions in real estate tax rulings (2004– 2018)	Percentage of dissenting opinions on real estate tax (2004-2018)
Białystok	368	0	0
Bydgoszcz	566	3	0,53%
Gdańsk	881	0	0
Gliwice	2121	2	0,10%
Gorzów Wielkopolski	553	1	0,18%
Kielce	248	0	0
Kraków	544	0	0
Lublin	667	0	0
Łódź	685	0	0
Olsztyn	464	0	0
Opole	188	0	0
Poznań	1961	1	0,05%
Rzeszów	407	0	0
Szczecin	1329	1	0,08%
Warszawa	452	0	0
Wrocław	1051	2	0,19%
Sum	12485	10	0,80%

Source: own study based on the CDJAC (access: 10.02.2020).

# 7. Summary of the results

The quantitative and qualitative research allowed to make the most important findings:

- a. judges of the VAC submit cvs objectively extremely rarely in corporate income tax and inheritance tax. In the years 2004–2018 in corporate income tax cases judges have submitted dissenting opinion in 5 cases out of 7,546, which constitutes 0.07% of the total. In the years 2004–2018 in inheritance tax cases judges have submitted dissenting opinion in 1 case out of 1,664, which constitutes 0.06% of the total;
- b. judges of the VAC submit cvs objectively very rarely in personal income tax and real estate tax cases. In the years 2004–2018 in personal income tax cases judges have submitted dissenting opinion in 33 cases out of 24,496, which constitutes 0.14% of the total. In the years 2004–2018 in real estate tax cases judges have submitted dissenting opinion in 10 cases out of 12,485, which constitutes 0.80% of the total;



# 21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

- c. judges of the VAC submit cvs objectively rarely and subjectively often in financial transaction tax cases. In the years 2004–2018 in financial transaction tax cases judges have submitted dissenting opinion in 20 cases out of 2,164, which constitutes 9.24% of the total;
- d. generally, the highest number of cvs were submitted by judges in personal income tax cases (33 times) and the lowest number of cvs were submitted by judges in inheritance tax cases (1 time);
- e. the rarity of submitting dissenting opinions also applies to the years. The highest number of cvs were submitted by judges in 2011 and 2015 (12 times). The lowest number of cvs were submitted by judges in 2008, 2016 and 2018 (1 time):
- f. the occasional nature of dissenting opinions also applies to individual voivodship administrative courts. The highest number of cvs were submitted by judges in VACs in Łódź (18 times). The lowest number of cvs were submitted by judges in VACs in Kielce and Rzeszów (0 times);
- g. judges of the VAC submit cvs objectively extremely rarely in direct tax cases. In the years 2004–2018 judges have submitted dissenting opinion in 69 cases out of 48,355, which constitutes 0.14% of the total.
- h. judges of the VAC have never submitted cvs in furthermentioned taxes: agricultural tax, forest tax, vehicle tax, tonnage tax, mineral extraction tax.
- i. a small number of *votum separatum* submitted from the rulings of the VAC regarding direct tax cases may indicate the predictability of the judicial process of applying tax law and, consequently, the implementation of the constitutional principle of legal certainty (at least at the stage of first instance);
- j. a small number of *votum separatum* submitted from the rulings of the VAC regarding direct tax cases may also indicate the unambiguity of tax law and, consequently, the implementation of the constitutional principle of correct legislation (at least at the stage of first instance).

The research hypothesis put forward at the beginning of the study, "the application of tax law with respect to personal income tax raises the most doubts in the case law of voivodship administrative courts in Poland, and on the other hand the application of tax law with respect to financial transaction tax raises the least doubts", was not positively validated in its entirety.

The conducted research indicates that the application of tax law with respect to financial transaction tax raises the most doubts in the case law of voivodship administrative courts in Poland, and on the other hand the application of tax law with respect to agricultural tax, forest tax, vehicle tax, tonnage tax, mineral extraction tax raises the least doubts. However, in cases regarding personal income tax judges submitted the largest number of dissenting opinions.



21-23 February, 2020 Rome, Italy

#### 8. The final word

The analysis certainly does not exhaust all issues related to dissenting opinions in the jurisprudence of administrative courts in tax matters and their importance in applying tax law. It would be worth examining dissenting opinions in judgments concering other taxes, f. e. indirect taxes, also in terms of comparative law. Due to the nature of the study, of which the article is part, these issues could not be addressed here. However, further work is planned in this area.

#### 9. References

#### Literature

- 1. Adler J. (2000), Dissent in Courts of Last Resort: Tragic Choices?, "Oxford Journal of Legal Studies" vol. 20, pp. 221–246.
- 2. Bartoszewski J. (1973), Zdania odrębne w procesie karnym, Warszawa.
- 3. Bojańczyk A. (2012), Zdania odrębne w postępowaniu karnym, "Forum Prawnicze" vol. 12, pp. 3–12.
- 4. Epstein L., Landes W.M., Posner R.A. (2010), Why (and When) Judges Dissent: a Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, John M. Olin Law & Economics Working Paper No. 510 (2D Series), Chicago.
- 5. Fisher R. (2015), Judicial dissent in taxation cases: The incidence of dissent and factors contributing to dissent, "eJournal of Tax Research" vol. 13, pp. 470–490.
- 6. Zdziennicki B. (2006), Zdania odrębne w orzecznictwie polskiego Trybunału Konstytucyjnego, [in:] Księga XX-lecia orzecznictwa Trybunału Konstytucyjnego, ed. M. Zubik, Warszawa.

#### Legal acts

1. The Act of 30 August 2002 Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts, consolidated text of Dz. U. 2019, item 2325, as amended.

#### **Others**

- 1. http://orzeczenia.nsa.gov.pl.
- 2. Information of the Chairman of the Judicial Information Department of the Supreme Administrative Court of 16.12.2019, reference number WIS.050.676.2019, own collection.