

Integrating the School of Hard Knocks into Academic Teacher Preparation: Enhancing Instructional Meaning and Efficacy through Realistic Workplace Challenges

Tatiana Mari Rivadeneyra

Assistant Professor, Alliant International University, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

*Experiential Learning,
Teacher Preparation,
Reflective Practice,
Challenge-Based Learning,
Instructional Efficacy*

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the integration of experiential, challenge-based learning, commonly referred to as the “school of hard knocks,” into academic teacher preparation programs. It suggests that exposing teacher candidates to authentic workplace challenges improves instructional efficacy by grounding pedagogical theory in practical experience. Drawing on constructivist and situated learning theories, the paper outlines a model for embedding real-world educational complexities into teacher preparation.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Significance

The preparation of effective educators has long been a foundational pillar in educational reform discourse. A critical challenge facing teacher preparation programs is the persistent gap between the theoretical knowledge delivered through university coursework and the practical skills required in today's classrooms (Darling-Hammond, 2006; Zeichner, 2010). While teacher candidates may emerge from academic instruction well-versed in pedagogical theories and instructional strategies, they often feel ill-equipped to respond to the dynamic, unpredictable conditions they encounter in practice (Korthagen, 2010). Consequently, many novice teachers experience high levels of stress, self-doubt, and attrition in their early years in the profession (Ingersoll & Strong, 2011).

1.2. The “School of Hard Knocks” as Experiential Learning

The term “school of hard knocks” traditionally refers to informal learning acquired through adversity and life experience. In educational contexts, it can be reframed to represent structured yet authentic experiential learning, where knowledge emerges from direct, sustained engagement with the complex realities of professional practice (Eraut, 2004). Applied to teacher education, this concept involves immersing teacher candidates in authentic, high-stakes environments early in their preparation. In these settings, they encounter realistic challenges such as managing classrooms, addressing student behavior, navigating institutional policies,

* Corresponding author's E-mail address: tatiana.rivadeneyra@alliant.edu

Cite this article as:

Rivadeneyra, T. M. (2025). Integrating the School of Hard Knocks into Academic Teacher Preparation: Enhancing Instructional Meaning and Efficacy through Realistic Workplace Challenges. *European Journal of Teaching and Education*, 7(4): 100-120. <https://doi.org/10.33422/ejte.v7i4.1653>

© The Author(s). 2025 **Open Access**. This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and redistribution in any medium, provided that the original author(s) and source are credited.



differentiating instruction, and building relationships with students and families, experiences not easily replicated through simulations or lectures alone.

1.3. Theoretical Underpinnings for Challenge-Based Learning

The rationale for integrating the “school of hard knocks” into formal teacher training is grounded in several influential learning theories. Constructivist theorists such as Piaget (1970) and Vygotsky (1978) argued learners construct understanding through active engagement and social interaction. Situated cognition theory suggests learning is inherently tied to context and participation in communities of practice (Lave & Wenger, 1991). Experiential learning theory (Kolb, 1984) underscores the transformative nature of experience when coupled with reflection and conceptualization. Collectively, these frameworks suggest subjecting teacher candidates to meaningful, real-world challenges promotes more in-depth understanding, adaptive expertise, and the internalization of professional norms (Grossman et al., 2009).

1.4. The Need for Reform in Traditional Teacher Preparation

Despite these theoretical insights, many teacher preparation programs remain entrenched in traditional models separating academic coursework from clinical experience. Intern teaching is often limited to brief, episodic placements, which do not support the development of professional identity or pedagogical adaptability (Valencia et al., 2009). The lack of integration between coursework and fieldwork frequently leads to a theory-practice divide, where candidates struggle to apply abstract concepts to the realities of classroom instruction (Korthagen, 2010). These limitations weaken the effectiveness of teacher preparation and contribute to novice teachers' feelings of unpreparedness.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Theoretical Frameworks

2.1.1. Constructivist Learning Theory

Constructivist learning theory puts forward that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences and interactions with their environment. Jean Piaget emphasized the role of developmental stages in cognitive growth, suggesting learners assimilate new information by integrating it into existing cognitive structures or accommodating it by modifying those structures (Piaget, 1970). Lev Vygotsky extended this perspective by introducing the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), highlighting the importance of social interaction and cultural context in learning (Vygotsky, 1978). In teacher education, constructivist approaches encourage pre-service teachers to engage in reflective practice and collaborative learning, fostering better understanding and adaptability in diverse classroom settings (Richardson, 2003; Cherry, 2020).

2.1.2. Situated Cognition and Legitimate Peripheral Participation

Situated cognition theory asserts knowledge is inherently tied to the context and activity in which it is learned. Lave and Wenger (1991) introduced the concept of legitimate peripheral participation, describing how newcomers become part of a community of practice by initially engaging in simple tasks and gradually taking on more complex responsibilities. This process emphasizes learning as a social phenomenon, where understanding is developed through participation in authentic activities within a cultural context. In teacher preparation, situated

learning highlights the value of immersive experiences in real classroom environments, enabling pre-service teachers to internalize professional practices and norms through active engagement (Lave & Wenger, 1991).

2.1.3. Experiential Learning Theory

Experiential Learning Theory (ELT), developed by David Kolb, conceptualizes learning as a cyclical process involving four stages: concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. This model emphasizes the transformation of experience into knowledge through reflection and application (Kolb, 1984). In the context of teacher education, ELT supports the integration of hands-on teaching experiences with reflective practices, enabling pre-service teachers to develop practical skills and adapt theoretical knowledge to classroom realities (Kolb, 1984; Cherry, 2020).

2.2. Teacher Preparation and the Theory-Practice Divide

A persistent challenge in teacher education is bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, often referred to as the theory-to-practice divide. Research indicates pre-service teacher candidates frequently struggle to apply pedagogical theories learned in coursework to the complexities of real classroom situations (Korthagen, 2010). This disconnect can lead to feelings of unpreparedness and a decrease in confidence among novice teachers. Addressing this issue requires teacher education programs to develop cohesive curricula integrating theoretical instruction with practical experiences, thereby fostering a seamless transition from learning to teaching (Zeichner, 2010).

2.3. Current Practices in Intern Teaching and Their Limitations

Traditional “intern teaching” models often involve short-term placements where pre-service teacher candidates observe and gradually take on teaching responsibilities under the supervision of a mentor teacher. While these experiences provide exposure to classroom dynamics, they may lack the depth and continuity needed for comprehensive professional development (Valencia et al., 2009). Limitations include insufficient opportunities for reflective practice, limited feedback, and a lack of integration between university coursework and field experiences. These shortcomings can impede the development of critical teaching competencies and hinder the ability of pre-service candidates to adapt to diverse educational settings.

2.4. Models of Challenge-Based or Problem-Based Learning in Professional Training

Challenge-Based Learning (CBL) and Problem-Based Learning (PBL) are pedagogical approaches that engage learners in solving real-world problems, promoting critical thinking, collaboration, and self-directed learning. In teacher education, these models encourage pre-service candidates to tackle authentic classroom challenges, fostering the development of practical skills and adaptive expertise (Barrows, 1986). By confronting complex scenarios, pre-service teachers learn to navigate the uncertainties of teaching, make informed decisions, and reflect on their practices, aligning with the goals of experiential and situated learning theories.

2.5. Impact of Experiential Learning on Teacher Efficacy and Retention

Empirical studies have demonstrated that experiential learning opportunities, such as extended field placements and reflective practice, positively influence teacher self-efficacy and commitment to the profession. Pre-service teachers who engage in meaningful, context-rich experiences report increased confidence in their teaching abilities and a stronger sense of preparedness (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2007). Furthermore, these experiences contribute to higher retention rates among novice teachers, as they feel more equipped to handle the demands of the classroom and are more likely to remain in the profession (Ingersoll & Strong, 2011). Integrating experiential learning into teacher preparation programs is thus essential for developing competent, resilient educators.

3. Method

3.1. Conceptual Framework

3.1.1. Defining Meaningful Instruction in Teacher Education

Meaningful instruction within teacher education extends beyond the transmission of content knowledge and pedagogical strategies, encompassing the development of a teacher's ability to make informed, context-sensitive decisions in dynamic classroom environments (Darling-Hammond et al., 2005). This involves not only understanding subject matter but also navigating interpersonal, institutional, and sociocultural complexities inherent in teaching. In the context of pre-service teacher development, meaningful instruction can be characterized by three core attributes: contextual relevance, cognitive engagement, and reflective practice (Grossman et al., 2009). These attributes are best fostered when teacher candidates are immersed in environments where they must apply theoretical knowledge to real challenges, thereby constructing meaning from experience.

Integrating challenge-based experiences mirroring the unpredictability of actual teaching contexts compels teacher candidates to reconcile theory with action, generating a deeper and more transferable understanding of instructional practice (Korthagen, 2010). In this model, instruction becomes meaningful not only because it reflects the lived realities of teaching, but also because it requires active problem-solving, critical thinking, and professional judgment, hallmarks of adaptive expertise (Hatano & Inagaki, 1986).

3.2. Mapping the “School of Hard Knocks” to Pedagogical Frameworks

The concept of the “school of hard knocks,” reinterpreted through the lens of educational theory, can be effectively mapped onto multiple pedagogical frameworks emphasizing experiential, contextualized, and reflective learning.

3.2.1. Experiential Learning Theory (Kolb, 1984)

David Kolb's experiential learning model (1984) provides a foundational framework for embedding the “school of hard knocks” into teacher education. The model's four-stage cycle - concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation - aligns closely with the iterative process of learning through professional challenges. For instance, a pre-service teacher encountering a classroom management crisis (concrete experience) might reflect on the situation with a mentor (reflective observation), discuss related theories during professional development sessions (abstract conceptualization), and implement revised strategies in subsequent lessons (active experimentation).

3.2.2. Situated Learning and Communities of Practice

Situated learning theory asserts knowledge is socially constructed through participation in authentic activities within a community of practice. Newcomers, such as teacher candidates, gradually move from peripheral participation to full membership by engaging in meaningful, context-rich tasks (Lave & Wenger, 1991). This model supports structured immersion in classroom environments as an essential means for developing professional identity and expertise. When pre-service teachers are situated in authentic teaching contexts with increasing responsibility, they internalize not only the technical skills but also the norms, values, and ethical standards of the profession (Wenger, 1998).

3.2.3. Reflective Practice

Donald Schön's (1983) conception of reflective practice complements experiential and situated learning models by emphasizing the role of metacognition in professional growth. He distinguishes between reflection-in-action (thinking on one's feet during teaching) and reflection-on-action (retrospective analysis of teaching episodes). Both forms of reflection are activated when teachers face unpredictable or problematic situations. Challenge-based learning, as conceptualized through the "school of hard knocks," naturally fosters reflection, as it places teacher candidates in situations demanding interpretation, adaptation, and learning from outcomes.

3.3. Alignment with Professional Teaching Standards

The integration of challenge-based, experiential learning is well-aligned with national and international frameworks for effective teaching. For instance, the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) standards emphasize the need for teachers to adapt instruction based on student needs (Standard 7), use assessments to guide decisions (Standard 6), and reflect on practice to improve performance (Standard 9) (Council of Chief State School Officers [CCSSO], 2013). Similarly, the Danielson Framework for Teaching highlights domains such as classroom environment, instruction, and professional responsibilities, areas best developed through real-world experience and guided reflection (Danielson, 2022).

Embedding realistic challenges into teacher preparation ensures pre-service teachers engage with these standards not abstractly, but in concrete, contextualized ways. Through structured challenge exposure, student teachers must demonstrate competency in planning, delivery, assessment, and responsiveness, mirroring the evaluative criteria used in performance-based certification and induction programs (Darling-Hammond, 2010).

3.4. Hypothesized Mechanisms: Experience → Meaning → Efficacy

The proposed model hypothesizes a developmental trajectory in which authentic challenge catalyzes meaning-making, which in turn leads to increased self-efficacy and professional competency (Bandura, 1997). The mechanism can be conceptualized in three stages:

Authentic Experience: Pre-service teachers are placed in complex, real-world situations that require the use of judgment, adaptation, and professional discretion. These may include teaching in high-need schools, managing disruptive behavior, or co-planning with mentor teachers under tight constraints.

Reflective Meaning-Making: Following these experiences, teacher candidates engage in structured reflection supported by peers, mentors, and instructional faculty. Through reflective

dialogue, they examine successes and failures, explore theoretical connections, and revise their understanding of practice.

Increased Self-Efficacy and Competency: Repeated engagement with challenging situations and reflection builds both a repertoire of practical strategies and a sense of confidence in one's ability to navigate the complexities of teaching. This self-efficacy is a strong predictor of teacher persistence, instructional effectiveness, and student outcomes (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2007).

4. Results

4.1. Significant Learning Scenarios as Foundations for Teacher Development

The development of effective and reflective educators hinges not solely on the acquisition of theoretical knowledge but also on the application of knowledge through authentic, purposefully designed experiences. These experiences, or Significant Learning Scenarios (SLS), provide teacher candidates with critical opportunities to engage deeply with real or simulated challenges mirroring the complexity of teaching in today's schools. As Rivadeneyra (2025) argues, significant learning does not occur without significant learning scenarios. It is through these scenarios candidates learn to contextualize abstract concepts, evaluate their performance, and cultivate instructional efficacy.

Rooted in experiential learning theory (Kolb, 1984), situated cognition (Lave & Wenger, 1991), and reflective practice (Schön, 1983), these scenarios are embedded across the continuum of teacher preparation. They facilitate the integration of knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary for adaptive, ethical, and student-centered teaching. The following section outlines six significant key scenarios; each aligned with a phase of a teacher preparation program and paired with a learning opportunity designed to promote professional growth.

4.1.1. Foundations of Education and Policy Compliance

Significant opportunity: During an Educational Foundation course, candidates are introduced to the history and philosophies of education and the importance of understanding educational policies and regulations. Suppose a teacher fails to comply with a district or school policy, such as not following safety protocols or mishandling student data. To help candidates connect policy knowledge to real classroom consequences, the following case study provides a realistic example of a novice teacher facing a compliance issue and the steps teacher candidates can take to address it.

Case Study: Mishandling of Student Data – Novice Teacher Context

Part 1 – Policies, Standards, and Ethics

A first-year high school teacher was asked to organize the midterm test results for the department. District rules are very clear: all student records must be maintained in a secure system approved by the district, and only authorized personnel are permitted to access them. The teacher had attended the district orientation, but the section on safely sharing digital files did not stick. With lesson plans to write and papers to grade, that detail seemed minor at the time, but it would soon become a big problem.

Part 2 – Incident Description

Trying to be helpful and save time, the teacher put all student' names, grades, and comments into a shared online folder so colleagues could see them quickly. The problem was that the link settings were left wide open, so anyone who had the link could see the files. A well-meaning person forwarded the link outside the school, not realizing it contained private student information. During a routine check of shared files, the principal discovered the mistake. The teacher was called into the office and told, in no uncertain terms, that this was a serious violation of district policy. In just a few days, a quick shortcut had turned into a significant breach of trust.

Part 3 – Teacher Candidate Learning in the Foundations Course

When teacher candidates in the Foundations course discuss this case, they see more than just a policy rule; they see the real-life results of a simple oversight. They discuss the novice teacher's decisions, highlighting where things went wrong: skimming over the rules, assuming a file share was secure, and not double-checking before sending. In small groups, they role-play what it would feel like to explain the mistake to the principal, practicing how to take responsibility and show they have learned from it. They also create step-by-step plans for how they would protect student information in the future, from checking file settings to using only approved platforms. By the end, candidates understand that following policy is not just about paperwork; it is about keeping students safe, protecting trust, and avoiding mistakes that could follow them for years.

Significant Learning Scenario. Suppose a teacher candidate is accused of not complying with a policy, such as failing to follow safety protocols or mishandling student data. How can they demonstrate that they did comply? What evidence should be presented to demonstrate compliance with a policy when accused of not following safety protocols or mishandling student data?

A teacher candidate would be exposed to:

Analyze: Review the incident to determine what went wrong, the policy involved, and the potential impact on students and the school environment.

Define: Clearly outline the key policy requirements and the appropriate procedures to follow in future situations.

Reflect: Consider how their actions align with professional ethics and standards, then develop a plan to improve adherence and ensure responsible practice.

This kind of significant learning helps candidates to internalize the importance of compliance, thereby fostering professional responsibility and efficacy (Zeichner, 2010).

4.1.2. Instructional Planning and Inclusive Design

Significant opportunity: In an Educational Planning course, candidates learn effective instructional design and teaching strategies. They explore lesson design, integrate key teaching practices, and apply evidence-based principles to create culturally responsive lesson plans with clear learning goals, differentiated instruction, aligned tasks, and language support to deepen content understanding. Revisions are necessary when a teacher submits a lesson plan lacking clear learning objectives, differentiated instruction, or real-world connections. The following assignment places candidates in the role of revising a novice teacher's lesson plan, applying course principles to ensure the design is inclusive, engaging, and aligned with learning goals.

Assignment: A Novice Lesson Plan for Diverse Learners

Part 1 – Understanding the Task and Expectations

In this assignment, teacher candidates receive a lesson plan created by a novice teacher. While the content is accurate, the plan is missing several important elements: clear learning objectives, strategies for different learning needs, culturally responsive connections, and built-in language support for English language learners. In the Foundations course, candidates learn that a well-designed lesson should help all students, regardless of background or ability, fully participate and succeed. This assignment asks them to step into the role of an instructional problem-solver and redesign the lesson to better meet those needs.

Part 2 – The Lesson Review and Redesign Process

Candidates begin by reading through the original lesson carefully, looking for gaps that might make it harder for students to engage or succeed. They ask questions like: “Do students know exactly what they are expected to learn?” “Will the activities connect with their experiences?” “Is there support for students who are learning English?” After identifying these gaps, candidates rewrite the plan to include:

Measurable learning objectives linked to standards.

Culturally responsive examples and materials.

Strategies for different readiness levels, learning styles, and interests.

Language supports like vocabulary previews, visual aids, and structured peer talk opportunities.

They also add activities that connect the lesson to real-world situations, making the learning more relevant and helping students transfer their understanding beyond the classroom.

Part 3 – Reflection and Application

Once the revised lesson plan is complete, candidates write a short reflection explaining what was missing from the original, why they made specific changes, and how those changes align with design learning principles and research-based planning strategies. They think about how the new version will support equitable participation, boost engagement, and lead to stronger learning outcomes. This process not only strengthens the lesson itself but also prepares candidates to design instruction that respects and responds to the academic, cultural, and language needs of all students they will teach.

Significant Learning Scenario. When a teacher submits a lesson plan without clear objectives, how can this oversight be addressed to improve student engagement and meet diverse learning needs? A teacher candidate would be exposed to:

Revise a Lesson Plan: Integrate evidence-based strategies from the course to add clear objectives, culturally responsive practices, and differentiated instruction tailored to meet the varied needs of students.

Reflect and Adjust: Apply course principles to reflect on the initial oversight, make necessary revisions, and ensure the lesson includes real-world applications and language support to deepen content understanding and comprehension.

This significant learning enables candidates to learn from mistakes, emphasizes the importance of thorough lesson planning, and strengthens candidates’ ability to transform an incomplete or non-inclusive lesson into a more effective, inclusive instructional plan that reflects professional, ethical, and pedagogical standards (Tomlinson, 2014; CAST, 2024).

4.1.3. Guided Instruction and Instructional Alignment

Significant opportunity: During an Educational Instruction course, introductory overviews of instruction theory focusing on effective instructional practices are learned. Candidates learn to analyze lessons for evidence of student learning and growth, reflect on their teaching practices, and use evidence to inform the development of instructional practices. These plans integrate reflective teaching practices, create engaging instructional activities tied to real-world applications, and identify ways for students to deepen their content understanding. If a teacher struggles to integrate reflective teaching practices or align instructional activities, it results in disorganized lessons and failure to meet student learning objectives. This mini-curriculum example models how candidates can use experiential learning principles to design a series of lessons that are aligned, engaging, and grounded in real-world application.

Mini-Curriculum sample: Experiential Learning – Real-World Science Applications

(One example of what could be designed from the list of instructional learning theories, such as also Direct Instruction, Social Learning, Problem-Based Learning, Constructivist Instruction, Thematic Instruction, Non-graded Multi-age, Multiple Intelligences, Miscellaneous Instructional Ideas, or Additional Learning Theories.)

Part 1 – Context and Learning Theory

This mini-curriculum focuses on experiential learning, a teaching approach that gives students real-world experiences connected to their prior knowledge. Research shows that when learning is tied to meaningful, everyday activities, students are more likely to understand and remember new concepts. In this sequence, candidates learn how to design lessons that allow students to actively participate in real investigations and connect them to classroom content.

Part 2 – Lesson Sequence and Activities

Candidates design a set of lessons in which students conduct local environmental observations and relate their findings to science topics covered in class. For example, students might measure local air quality, test water samples from a nearby stream, or monitor soil health in a school garden. Each lesson begins by tapping into what students already know through a guided class discussion. Next, students conduct hands-on fieldwork to gather data. Finally, they return to the classroom to reflect on, analyze the results, and discuss how their findings relate to both science standards and their daily lives.

Part 3 – Assessment and Application

Assessment is designed to capture both the process and the product of learning. Students prepare presentations to share their findings with classmates, showing how their data connects to real-world issues. They also complete process-oriented reflections that explain what they learned, what surprised them, and how they might apply this knowledge in the future. For teacher candidates, this work reinforces the value of planning lessons that build relevance, encourage active participation, and connect learning directly to life outside the classroom.

Significant Learning Scenario. How a teacher candidate designs a guided instruction lesson focusing on effective instructional practices, resulting in an organized lesson that meets and enriches student learning objectives. A teacher candidate would be exposed to:

Plan and Organize: Design an instruction lesson incorporating effective instructional practices and clear, measurable learning objectives.

Align and Integrate: Ensure all activities align with lesson goals and include reflective practices for teacher and student feedback.

Implement and Evaluate: Deliver the lesson, monitor student engagement, and assess outcomes to confirm meeting the objectives.

This significant learning helps the candidate understand the components of teaching and learning, improve their instructional practices, and build the skills needed to plan and deliver effective, student-centered lessons (Grossman, Hammerness, & McDonald, 2009).

4.1.4. Assessment Literacy and Data-Driven Instruction

Significant opportunity: In Educational Assessment courses, the implementation and analysis of formative and summative assessments are introduced to monitor and adapt student learning. Learning to set measurable objectives, create evaluations, and design learning tasks using pre-assessment strategies, student knowledge, and design principles. Often, the courses also cover providing feedback based on performance and conducting class-wide analyses of evaluations to identify trends and plan instructional modifications. What if a teacher does not understand the difference between assessing ‘for’ the learning versus ‘of’ the learning? Does it impact their ability to identify trends and gaps in their students' learning and plan instruction? The following activity guides candidates through designing, implementing, and interpreting both formative and summative assessments to build an “academic narrative” that informs instruction and supports student growth.

Activity: Academic Narrative in Action

In this activity, teacher candidates design, implement, and analyze a connected sequence of formative and summative assessments to demonstrate how assessment creates an ongoing academic narrative between teacher and student. This narrative is used not merely to record performance but to guide instructional decisions and support student learning growth over time.

Part 1 – Building the Narrative

In this first phase, candidates select a short instructional unit in a content area of their choice. They are then to:

Identify measurable learning objectives for the lesson or unit.

Design at least one formative assessment to be used early in instruction to gather information about students' prior knowledge and readiness.

Create a plan for providing timely, actionable feedback to students based on formative results, making instructional adjustments as needed.

Part 2 – Capturing the Narrative

In the second phase, candidates:

Design a summative assessment aligned to the same learning objectives to evaluate overall student achievement at the end of a lesson or unit.

Administer both formative and summative tools to a mock or simulated student dataset provided by the instructor.

Record the data in a visual format (e.g., a chart, table, or learning progress profile) that clearly communicates growth and areas for improvement.

Part 3 – Interpreting the Narrative

In the final phase, candidates analyze the combined formative and summative results to identify learning trends and individual student needs. They prepare a short narrative report that:

Describes what the data reveal about student learning progress.

Explains how formative evidence guided mid-lesson or unit instructional adjustments.

Discusses how summative results confirmed or challenged formative insights.

Reflects on how the assessments, taken together, tell the “story” of student learning for the lesson or unit.

Significant Learning Scenario. How a teacher candidate learns to distinguish between assessing ‘for’ the learning and ‘of’ the learning, impacting their ability to identify trends and gaps in their students' learning and plan effective instruction. A teacher candidate would be exposed to:

Apply Course Knowledge: Utilize the knowledge gained in Educational Assessment courses about formative (assessing for learning) and summative (assessing of learning) assessments to monitor and adapt student learning effectively.

Analyze and Reflect: Practice setting measurable objectives and designing assessments to identify student progress (formative) and overall achievement (summative).

Conduct Class-wide Analysis: Implement both types of assessments to evaluate performance, identify learning trends and gaps, and make data-driven instructional adjustments.

This significant learning allows teacher candidates to learn how to effectively use assessment to support both teaching and learning (Stiggins, 2005).

4.1.5. Reflective Practice and Professional Ethics

Significant opportunity: In Educational Reflection and Professional Responsibility courses, the reflection aspect of teaching and its role as a professional educator are introduced. Emphasized are the skills of understanding, analyzing, and evaluating in planning, instruction, and assessment, utilizing design principles to review formative and summative data and adjust instruction to enhance student learning outcomes. However, teachers have elected not to make reflection part of their educational practice and, in doing so, do not adhere to their professional responsibility toward student learning. This mock committee activity immerses candidates in a collaborative, data-focused end-of-semester review process to strengthen reflective practice and uphold professional ethics.

Mock Committee: End-of-Semester Academic Report

Part 1 – Context and Purpose

In this field-based assignment, teacher candidates take part in a simulated End-of-Semester Academic Report Committee while completing their placement. The experience is designed to help them think purposefully about their teaching, follow professional ethics, and learn how to use data to make good decisions for students. Candidates work closely with their mentor teacher to review the semester's progress, identify patterns in student learning, and discuss potential changes that could make the next term even stronger.

Part 2 – Committee Process and Activities

Candidates start by collecting both formative and summative assessment results from the semester for their assigned class or subject area. This data may include test scores, growth over time, and subgroup performance. They look closely for trends, such as areas where students

have made significant progress or places where achievement gaps remain, and consider possible reasons for these patterns. This includes examining lesson design, the differentiation of instruction, and whether assessments are aligned with the taught material.

In the mock committee meeting, the group is made up of peers from the course, the mentor teacher, and the course instructor. Each candidate presents:

A summary of the semester's key data findings.

A reflection on their teaching contributions during placement.

Ethical considerations around fairness, student support, and professional responsibility.

Part 3 – Reflection, Recommendations, and Learning Outcomes

After the meeting, candidates write an End-of-Semester Academic Report. In it, they reflect on how thinking critically about data has helped them understand student progress and their role as teachers. They outline specific changes to improve instruction and create an action plan for addressing learning gaps while maintaining successful strategies. By completing this process, candidates show they can:

Use reflection to guide professional decisions.

Adjust instruction based on evidence.

Meet ethical responsibilities by using data to ensure all students have the chance to succeed.

Significant Learning Scenario. When teacher candidates choose not to incorporate reflection into their practice, they fail to fulfill their professional responsibility toward student learning by not applying the insights gained through reflection. A teacher candidate would be exposed to:

Emphasize Reflection: Understand the importance of reflection in their teaching practice to evaluate and enhance their effectiveness.

Analyze and Adjust: Use design principles to review formative and summative data, assessing their planning, instruction, and assessment methods.

Improve Outcomes: Adapt instruction based on reflective analysis to better support student learning and achievement.

Here, the significant learning concerns incorporate reflective practices, which ensure teachers continuously refine their methods, aligning with their professional responsibility to foster student growth (Korthagen, 2010).

4.1.6. Practicum and Classroom Management

Significant opportunity: Educational Practicum/Field Experience Courses are teaching placements where teacher candidates apply their knowledge and skills in classroom settings. These courses involve planning and delivering lessons, managing classrooms, and assessing student learning under the guidance of experienced educators. Candidates reflect on their teaching, receive feedback, and make improvements, demonstrating their professional growth and ability to support diverse learners, and highlighting their readiness for teaching. However, teachers who often struggle to maintain classroom management are unable to effectively teach their students and spend a significant amount of time trying to control student behavior. The following role-play week provides candidates with a structured opportunity to practice and refine classroom management strategies in realistic, problem-based scenarios.

Role-Play Week: Classroom Management in Practice

Part 1 – Context and Purpose

This week-long role-play experience is designed for teacher candidates returning from their practicum placements. Instead of just talking about classroom management in theory, candidates step into realistic, problem-based scenarios that mirror the challenges found in classrooms that struggle with behavior and routine. The aim is to help them connect management strategies to real-life teaching situations, building both confidence and readiness for the profession.

Part 2 – Week Structure and Activities

Over five days, candidates move through a sequence of observation, reflection, practice, and refinement:

Day 1 – Scenario Introduction and Observation: Candidates observe a simulated classroom where management has broken down, resulting in off-task behavior, disruptions, or a lack of routines. They take detailed notes on what they see, identify possible triggers, and look for patterns of escalation.

Day 2 – Reflection and Root Cause Analysis: In small groups, candidates reflect on their observations and connect them to feedback from cooperating teachers. They identify potential root causes, such as unclear expectations or low engagement, and brainstorm initial solutions.

Day 3 – Strategy Application Role-Play: Taking turns in the “teacher” role, candidates practice management strategies they have learned; setting routines, communicating expectations, using proactive engagement, and applying positive reinforcement.

Day 4 – Adaptation and Re-Implementation: Candidates repeat or modify the scenario, this time incorporating feedback from their peers. The focus is on remaining flexible while maintaining the lesson.

Day 5 – Synthesis and Professional Growth Plan: Each candidate creates a personal plan that outlines their best techniques, ways to adapt when things go wrong, and proactive steps to keep learning time on track.

Part 3 – Reflection and Professional Growth

By the end of the week, candidates have practiced managing challenging classroom situations in a safe but realistic setting. They leave with a personalized classroom management plan that blends what they observed, tried, and refined. This plan connects strategies to their professional responsibilities, helping them feel prepared to create learning environments that are engaging, orderly, and responsive to student needs.

Significant Learning Scenario. When a teacher struggles with classroom management and spends more time controlling behavior than teaching, what improvements can they make to enhance their teaching approach? A teacher candidate would be exposed to:

Reflect and Analyze: Reflect on their classroom management strategies and identify the root causes of behavior issues, using feedback from mentors and their observations.

Apply Techniques: Implement effective classroom management techniques learned during their practicum, such as establishing clear routines, setting expectations, and using positive reinforcement.

Adapt and Practice: Continuously adapt their approach and practice proactive strategies to create an engaging learning environment, minimizing disruptions and maximizing instructional time.

This significant learning focuses on how teachers can improve their ability to manage classrooms effectively, support student learning, and demonstrate growth and readiness for the teaching profession (Marzano & Marzano, 2003).

5. Discussion

5.1. Theoretical Integration and Developmental Implications of Significant Learning Scenarios

To prepare educators capable of navigating the intricacies of modern classrooms, teacher preparation programs must integrate theory and practice in meaningful, context-rich ways. The significant learning scenarios (SLS) discussed in Section 4. Results serve as applied mechanisms for this integration, embodying a pedagogical model grounded in experiential, situated, and reflective learning. This section explains how these scenarios function within foundational learning theories and details the developmental impact on teacher candidates, focusing on cultivating self-efficacy, instructional adaptability, reflective capacity, and professional identity.

5.1.1. Experiential Learning and Professional Competency

David Kolb's (1984) Experiential Learning Theory (ELT) provides the conceptual foundation for embedding significant learning scenarios in educator preparation. According to ELT, effective learning occurs through a four-stage cycle: concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Each of the six significant scenarios described earlier reflects this cyclical process.

For instance, the Educational Instruction Course scenario (Scenario 4.1.3) involves candidates first planning and delivering a disorganized lesson (concrete experience), then engaging in structured self-reflection and feedback (reflective observation), revising their instructional design based on theory (abstract conceptualization), and implementing the improved lesson plan (active experimentation). This recursive process enables teacher candidates to convert experiences into knowledge and refine instructional practices iteratively.

Experiential learning also promotes adaptability, a trait essential for responding to diverse learners and dynamic instructional settings. Through repeated engagement in challenging scenarios such as lesson planning misalignments (Scenario 4.1.2) or behavior management issues during practicum (Scenario 4.1.6), candidates develop the ability to assess instructional effectiveness and make real-time adjustments, trademarks of adaptive expertise (Hatano & Inagaki, 1986).

5.1.2 Situated Cognition and Contextualized Professional Learning

Lave and Wenger's (1991) theory of situated learning theorizes that learning is inherently social and context-bound. Teacher candidates acquire professional competencies most effectively when they participate in authentic activities within real communities of practice. The practicum-based scenarios (e.g., Scenarios 4.1.5 and 4.1.6) serve as prototypical environments for applying this theory.

In the classroom management scenario (Scenario 4.1.6), candidates transition from peripheral participation to observing classroom routines and teacher-student dynamics, and then to active engagement, where they assume instructional roles and navigate behavioral complexities. These immersive experiences facilitate not only the development of technical skills but also the cultural and ethical socialization into the teaching profession. Through ongoing

collaboration with mentor teachers and peers, candidates internalize norms, responsibilities, and professional language.

Additionally, the policy compliance scenario (Scenario 4.1.1) emphasizes that context matters not only in instructional practice but also in navigating the legal and institutional frameworks of education. Candidates must interpret and respond to real policies within specific school communities, further reinforcing the socially situated nature of teacher learning.

5.1.3. Reflective Practice as a Bridge Between Experience and Growth

The concept of reflection, particularly as articulated by Schön (1983), is vital to transforming experience into professional insight. Schön distinguishes between reflection-in-action (reflection during teaching) and reflection-on-action (after-the-fact analysis). Both forms are integral to the significant learning scenarios embedded in teacher preparation.

The reflective practice scenario (Scenario 4.1.5) illustrates the dangers of neglecting reflection. Candidates who bypass structured opportunities for review and analysis fail to capitalize on moments of dissonance or difficulty. In contrast, teacher candidates who engage in pre-standard alignment, instruction of skills and/or strategies, data analysis, and post-lesson reflections demonstrate a deeper understanding of instructional insight and a greater capacity for continuous improvement (Korthagen, 2010).

Moreover, reflection enhances the candidate's ability to distinguish between assessment for learning (formative) and assessment of learning (summative), as seen in Scenario 4.1.4. Through reflective evaluation of assessment outcomes, candidates identify patterns in student achievement and make informed instructional decisions, thereby improving both teaching efficacy and student outcomes (Black & Wiliam, 1998).

5.1.4. Self-Efficacy and the Development of Instructional Confidence

Bandura's (1997) theory of self-efficacy emphasizes the belief in one's ability to meet tasks and achieve goals. In the context of teacher education, self-efficacy is predictive of instructional persistence, risk-taking, and resilience in the face of classroom adversity. Significant learning scenarios function as confidence-building interventions when candidates are allowed to confront, reflect upon, and successfully navigate authentic instructional challenges.

The lesson planning revision scenario (Scenario 4.1.2), for example, enhances a candidate's sense of agency by showing them how theoretical tools, such as backward design or other design principles, can be applied to produce better instructional outcomes. Similarly, successfully managing behavioral disruptions in practicum experiences (Scenario 4.1.6) contributes to candidates' belief in their ability to maintain classroom management and facilitate learning.

Repeated exposure to progressively challenging tasks, coupled with feedback and support, allows candidates to develop mastery experiences, Bandura's most prevailing source of self-efficacy. These scenarios demonstrate how effective teacher preparation must go beyond simulation and place candidates in real or realistically complex instructional situations demanding decision-making, reflection, and adaptive action.

5.2. Professional Identity Formation Through Authentic Engagement

Teacher identity is not static or innate; it evolves as candidates engage with the expectations, relationships, and moral complexities of teaching (Beauchamp & Thomas, 2009). Significant

learning scenarios play a critical role in this ‘identity formation process’ by prompting teacher candidates to assume responsibility, exercise judgment, and articulate their instructional values.

In the policy compliance and ethics scenario (Scenario 4.1.1), candidates are required to consider not only the mechanical aspects of adhering to rules but also the ethical underpinnings of professional conduct. This process prompts the candidate to shift from a student to a practitioner mindset, adopting a more comprehensive understanding of what it means to act professionally in complex educational systems.

Additionally, in the reflection and responsibility scenario (Scenario 4.1.5), candidates explore how neglecting reflective practices undermines their professional obligation to improve student outcomes. Such realizations solidify the connection between reflective practice and professional integrity, contributing to the internalization of the teaching role as both instructional and ethical (Zeichner, 2010).

5.3. Scaffolded Integration Across the Program Sequence

What distinguishes significant learning scenarios from isolated instructional tasks is their intentional placement across the arc of a teacher preparation program. They are scaffolded to evolve in complexity - from a foundational understanding of policies (Scenario 4.1.1) to intermediate planning and instructional delivery (Scenarios 4.1.2 and 4.1.3), to advanced application in assessment, reflection, and practicum settings (Scenarios 4.1.4 through 4.1.6). This structured progression aligns with Fink’s (2013) Taxonomy of Significant Learning, particularly the dimensions of application, integration, caring, and learning how to learn.

By ensuring each course includes not only theoretical content but also scenario-based learning aligned with course objectives, educator preparation programs can guide candidates through a developmental trajectory building on knowledge, fostering reflection, and supporting identity formation. This approach helps bridge the perennial gap between theory and practice, ensuring candidates understand why they must possess the knowledge they have and transforming passive learners into proactive professionals (Rivadeneira, 2025).

Significant Learning Scenarios are not supplemental add-ons; they are foundational to the design of effective teacher education programs. When grounded in experiential, situated, and reflective learning theories, these scenarios can promote the development of self-efficacy, adaptive expertise, and professional identity. They can transform theoretical concepts into lived experiences, enabling teacher candidates to think critically, act responsibly, and grow professionally. Through scaffolded implementation and guided reflection, such scenarios ensure future educators are not merely informed but empowered, ready to meet the demands of teaching with skill, purpose, and resilience.

6. Conclusion and Direction

6.1. Advancing Teacher Preparation Through Significant Learning Scenarios

Teacher preparation in the 21st century must address the increasing complexity, diversity, and dynamism of today’s educational landscape. The traditional divide between theoretical knowledge and practical application continues to leave novice educators feeling underprepared for the realities of teaching (Korthagen, 2010; Zeichner, 2010). This capstone section synthesizes the theoretical, developmental, and instructional implications of significant

learning scenarios (SLS) in educator preparation, outlining how they collectively serve to advance reflective, adaptive, and empowered teaching professionals.

6.2. Reframing Preparation: From Compliance to Capability

Significant Learning Scenarios function not merely as academic exercises but as transformative pedagogical encounters shaping how teacher candidates think, act, and reflect. In the Educational Foundations scenario (Scenario 4.1.1), candidates must grapple with the critical responsibilities of understanding and complying with school policies and educational laws. When teacher candidates analyze instances of potential non-compliance, such as failing to uphold student data privacy or ignoring safety procedures, they engage in a powerful process of professional accountability (Darling-Hammond, 2006).

This shift from passive awareness to active engagement transforms preparation from knowledge acquisition to capability development. Candidates not only learn the rules but also understand why they matter, thereby developing the capacity to navigate complex ethical landscapes with confidence and clarity (Rivadeneira, 2025). This process contributes directly to the early formation of a professional identity rooted in responsibility and care (Beauchamp & Thomas, 2009).

6.3. Instructional Precision and Student-Centered Design

A critical theme emerging from this framework is the importance of intentional, student-responsive planning. The Instructional Planning scenario (Scenario 4.1.2) and the Guided Instruction scenario (Scenario 4.1.3) push candidates to confront the reality that lesson planning is not a static checklist, but a dynamic and iterative process.

When teacher candidates are asked to revise disorganized lessons lacking clear objectives or to reframe activities to ensure alignment with measurable learning outcomes, they begin to understand planning as a continuous design challenge (Tomlinson, 2014). These scenarios encourage candidates to adopt backward design, differentiation, and real-world educational applications as central pillars of effective pedagogy (CAST, 2024). Through trial, feedback, and revision, they gain confidence in creating engaging, inclusive, and academically rigorous learning experiences that prioritize student needs.

6.4. Assessment and Reflection as Tools for Growth

Effective teaching is data-informed and responsive. The Assessment Literacy scenario (Scenario 4.1.4) provides a critical gateway into this mindset. Candidates often enter teacher preparation with a limited understanding of assessment terminology or its pedagogical purposes. By immersing them in tasks to distinguish between assessment for learning and assessment of learning, programs prompt teacher candidates to move beyond grading into formative evaluation, feedback cycles, and instructional recalibration (Black & Wiliam, 1998; Stiggins, 2005).

Coupled with the Reflective Practice scenario (Scenario 4.1.5), this approach supports the idea teaching must be both evidence-based and inquiry-driven. When candidates fail to reflect and see the consequence of this omission, they come to value Schön's (1983) framework of reflection-in and on-action. This cultivates habits of continuous improvement, critical self-examination, and lifelong professional growth (Korthagen, 2010).

6.5. Classroom Management as a Developmental Milestone

Perhaps no scenario is as defining for teacher candidates as managing a classroom under pressure. In the Practicum and Classroom Management scenario (Scenario 4.1.6), candidates face the realities of student behavior, time constraints, and instructional interruptions. Such challenges can either erode confidence or catalyze growth, depending on the structure of the learning environment and the support in place.

With guided mentorship, debriefing, and real-time feedback, candidates emerge from these scenarios with a growing toolbox of management strategies, from routines and procedures to relationship-building and restorative practices (Marzano & Marzano, 2003). More importantly, they develop a sense of instructional ownership and self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997), enabling them not only to survive but also to thrive within the classroom.

6.6. Theoretical Integration and the Whole Educator

Collectively, the six significant learning scenarios operationalize key theories that underpin robust teacher preparation:

Kolb's (1984) Experiential Learning Theory structures how experiences are converted into instructional insight through reflection and application.

Lave and Wenger's (1991) Situated Learning Theory emphasizes the contextual and social dimensions of learning, especially evident in practicum and fieldwork.

Schön's (1983) Reflective Practice Model guides candidates in making sense of uncertainty, adapting to challenges, and engaging in professional inquiry.

Bandura's (1997) Self-Efficacy Theory explains how repeated success in meaningful scenarios strengthens professional confidence.

Fink's (2013) Taxonomy of Significant Learning captures the cognitive, human, and affective dimensions activated through these experiences.

By aligning with these theoretical anchors, SLS creates a framework in which the teacher candidate is not treated as a passive recipient of theory but as an active constructor of professional meaning.

6.7. Programmatic Implications: Building Curriculum Around Significance

Embedding significant learning scenarios into programmatic structures requires intentional design. Each course within an educator preparation program must do more than "cover content." Instead, it should:

Introduce theoretically grounded challenges tied to authentic instructional contexts.

Provide scaffolded support for reflection, feedback, and revision.

Align tasks with standards, professional ethics, and responsive teaching models.

Promote cross-course integration, where learning in foundations, planning, instruction, assessment, and practicum builds cumulatively.

This scaffolding reflects not only pedagogical best practice but also prepares candidates to meet licensure performance assessments and real-world teaching demands with greater cohesion and confidence (Darling-Hammond, 2006; Zeichner, 2010).

6.8. Synthesis and Future Directions

The integration of significant learning scenarios into teacher preparation bridges the long-standing theory-practice gap by enabling teacher candidates to make decisions, experience consequences, and grow through professional-level challenges. These types of scenarios serve as mirrors of the profession, providing a window into the personal and ethical complexities that define the teaching profession.

As programs increasingly focus on culturally responsive teaching, trauma-informed practices, and data-driven instruction, the use of scenario-based learning will only grow in importance. Future research may explore how scenario-based learning (SLS) can be tailored for online and hybrid environments, as well as its impact on long-term teacher retention and instructional effectiveness.

Significant learning scenarios are more than curricular tools; they are pedagogical commitments. They show teacher candidates that learning is not about perfection, but about progression; missteps are not signs of inadequacy, but invitations to further understanding. Through experiential engagement, reflection, and support, teacher preparation programs that prioritize Significant Learning Scenarios (SLS) empower educators not only to know what and how to teach, but also to understand why. This foundational insight defines effective, enduring, and ethical teaching practices.

References

- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W.H. Freeman.
- Barrows, H. S. (1986). A taxonomy of problem-based learning methods. *Medical Education*, 20(6), 481–486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.1986.tb01386.x>
- Beauchamp, C., & Thomas, L. (2009). Understanding teacher identity: An overview of issues in the literature and implications for teacher education. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 39(2), 175–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640902902252>
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>
- CAST. (2024). *Universal design for learning guidelines version 3.0*.
- Cherry, K. (2020, September 28). What is constructivism? *Verywell Mind*.
- Council of Chief State School Officers. (2013). *InTASC model core teaching standards and learning progressions for teachers 1.0: A resource for ongoing teacher development*.
- Danielson, C. (2022). *Enhancing professional practice: A framework for teaching* (3rd ed.). ASCD.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2006). *Powerful teacher education: Lessons from exemplary programs*. Jossey-Bass.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). *The flat world and education: How America's commitment to equity will determine our future*. Teachers College Press. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172171009100403>
- Darling-Hammond, L., Wise, A. E., & Klein, S. P. (2005). *A license to teach: Raising standards for teaching*. Jossey-Bass.
- Eraut, M. (2004). Informal learning in the workplace. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 26(2), 247–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/158037042000225245>

- Fink, L. D. (2013). *Creating significant learning experiences: An integrated approach to designing college courses* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Grossman, P., Hammerness, K., & McDonald, M. (2009). Redefining teaching, re-imagining teacher education. *Teachers and Teaching*, 15(2), 273–289. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540600902875340>
- Hatano, G., & Inagaki, K. (1986). Two courses of expertise. In H. Stevenson, H. Azuma, & K. Hakuta (Eds.), *Child development and education in Japan* (pp. 262–272). W.H. Freeman.
- Ingersoll, R. M., & Strong, M. (2011). The impact of induction and mentoring programs for beginning teachers: A critical review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(2), 201–233. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654311403323>
- Kolb, D. A. (2015). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Korthagen, F. A. J. (2010). How teacher education can make a difference. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 36(4), 407–423. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2010.513854>
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815355>
- Marzano, R. J., & Marzano, J. S. (2003). The key to classroom management. *Educational Leadership*, 61(1), 6–13.
- Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child*. Orion Press.
- Richardson, V. (2003). Constructivist pedagogy. *Teachers College Record*, 105(9), 1623–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-9620.2003.00303.x>
- Rivadeneira, T. M. (2025). *Integrating the school of hard knocks into academic teacher preparation: Enhancing instructional meaning and efficacy through realistic workplace challenges* [Unpublished manuscript].
- Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
- Stiggins, R. J. (2005). From formative assessment to assessment FOR learning: A path to success in standards-based schools. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 87(4), 324–328. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170508700414>
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
- Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2007). The differential antecedents of self-efficacy beliefs of novice and experienced teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(6), 944–956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2006.05.003>
- Valencia, S. W., Martin, S. D., Place, N. A., & Grossman, P. (2009). Complex interactions in student teaching: Lost opportunities for learning. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 60(3), 304–322. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487109336543>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511803932>

Zeichner, K. (2010). Rethinking the connections between campus courses and field experiences in college- and university-based teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education, 61*(1–2), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487109347671>

Submitted: 25 July 2025