



Integrating Daoism's Tao and Buddhism's Compassion in Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM)

Yujia Zhu

Sofia University, USA

Abstract

The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM) represents a transformative governance model that integrates artificial intelligence (AI) with Daoist and Buddhist ethical principles to create a sustainable, financially independent, and mission-driven nonprofit structure. This study conceptualizes and designs SFADNM around five foundational principles: (a) AI as an ethical tool, (b) non-attachment to funding, (c) Wu Wei leadership, (d) decentralized decision-making, and (e) compassion as a commitment to universal service. Rooted in Daoism's Wu Wei (无为, effortless action) and Yin-Yang (阴阳, dynamic balance), this framework fosters intuitive, adaptive, and noncoercive leadership while optimizing AI for efficiency without bureaucratic constraints. Simultaneously, Buddhist ethics of Metta (loving-kindness) and Karuna (compassionate action) ensure that AI-driven service delivery remains ethical, inclusive, and human-centered. This research employs a transdisciplinary qualitative approach combining hermeneutic analysis of classical Daoist and Buddhist texts, and conceptual synthesis of transpersonal psychology, business ethics, and nonprofit management theories. Data is analyzed through thematic coding, comparative analysis, and transdisciplinary integration, drawing from textual sources, case studies, and reflective founder narratives. Using For A Safer Space (FASS)'s FASSLING.AI platform as a case study, the findings demonstrate how SFADNM eliminates financial dependency, enhances accessibility, and redefines nonprofit governance through AI-driven automation guided by spiritual intelligence. This study contributes to nonprofit management, AI ethics, and transpersonal business leadership by offering a scalable, sustainable, and ethically grounded nonprofit model that challenges the constraints of the Nonprofit Industrial Complex (NPIC). It proposes that SFADNM serves as a replicable blueprint for AI-driven mission-driven organizations, where Taoist non-attachment, flow-based leadership, and Buddhist compassion guide automation, decision-making, and service delivery. The research ultimately positions SFADNM as an ethical, spiritually informed alternative to conventional nonprofit structures, offering a new paradigm for AI-enabled social impact dismantling the systematic barriers.

Keywords: Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM), Daoism, Buddhism, FASSLING, For A Safer Space (FASS)

1. Introduction

The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM) represents an innovative alternative to traditional nonprofit management, addressing key challenges such as resource limitations, financial dependency, and scalability constraints. This model leverages artificial intelligence (AI) to automate essential nonprofit functions, allowing a single founder to operate a mission-driven organization efficiently without relying on a large team, external funding, or physical infrastructure (Zhu, 2025). One of the primary advantages of SFADNM is its ability to eliminate funding dependencies. Unlike conventional nonprofits that require continuous financial support from donations, grants, and investments, SFADNM sustains itself through AI-driven operational efficiency. AI is integrated into various functions, including administrative management, user engagement, and service delivery, ensuring scalability and accessibility without incurring high overhead costs. This model not only reduces operational expenses but also enables nonprofits to focus on mission-aligned goals rather than fundraising. Moreover, SFADNM challenges conventional nonprofit structures by redefining efficiency and mission sustainability. Traditional nonprofits often struggle with governance complexities, high staff turnover, and the pressure to meet financial goals while fulfilling their mission. In contrast, SFADNM centralizes decision-making, allowing the founder to retain direct control over the organization's strategic direction. This minimizes bureaucracy, reduces agency costs, and enhances operational agility.

The philosophical intersection of Daoism's Tao (道) and Buddhism's Compassion (慈悲心) offers a profound framework for ethical and sustainable business practices. Daoism emphasizes harmony with nature and the cosmos, advocating for virtues such as generosity, kindness, and humility, which can be applied to both individual self-realization and corporate culture to foster a productive organizational environment (Hennig & Niedenführ, 2022). This aligns with the Daoist eco-humanism perspective, which suggests an alternative worldview that integrates humanism and ecological sciences to address modern environmental crises, promoting a sustainable lifestyle that respects the interconnectedness of all life forms (Rošker, 2023). On the other hand, Buddhism's compassion is central to ethical business practices, encouraging mindfulness, ethical behavior, and a focus on the well-being of all stakeholders, which can transform businesses into forces for holistic well-being (Dena & Haque, 2024). Buddhist economics further supports this by advocating for an economic system that prioritizes interdependence, altruism, and the flourishing of the human spirit, guiding companies to evaluate performance beyond financial profitability to include the well-being of all stakeholders and harmony with nature (Brown, 2022). The integration of Buddhist principles such as the Eightfold Noble Path into business management can foster ethical leadership and sustainable growth by promoting right intention, right livelihood, and right mindfulness. Moreover, the Middle Path philosophy of Buddhism, when combined with sustainable marketing principles, encourages a balance between consumerism and mindfulness, fostering a responsible consumer culture that supports social welfare and environmental sustainability. Compassion in management, as highlighted in the Taoist tradition, emphasizes social responsibility and the cultivation of positive change for customers, employees, and communities, which can lead to increased trust, loyalty, and financial success (Zu, 2023). Together, these philosophies provide a comprehensive ethical framework that encourages businesses to operate sustainably, respecting both human and ecological systems, and ultimately contributing to a more equitable and caring economy (Abeydeera et al., 2022; Mellersh-Lucas, 2002; Sharma et al., 2023).

Addressing the Nonprofit Industrial Complex (NPIC) through spiritual and philosophical principles involves integrating spirituality into organizational practices to counteract the limitations and challenges posed by the NPIC. The NPIC, as described in the context of

Vancouver, Canada, and the United States, often perpetuates social inequalities and maintains the status quo by aligning nonprofit objectives with neoliberal and capitalist frameworks, which can undermine genuine social change (Kelsall et al., 2023; Stoner & Sullivan, 2009). To address these issues, incorporating spiritual principles such as those derived from Buddhism—pro-scientific philosophy, personal responsibility, healthy detachment, collaboration, and a wholesome view—can enhance not-for-profit performance measurement by fostering awareness, higher meaning, and connectedness within organizations (Gamble & Beer, 2017). Furthermore, philosophical frameworks inspired by Plato and Eric Voegelin suggest that reanimating religious symbols and myths of transcendence can open organizational consciousness to spiritual dimensions, promoting ethicality and order (Peltonen, 2019). This approach aligns with the idea that spirituality reinforces prosocial motivation and people-focused work, providing a philosophical foundation for enhancing organizational effectiveness and community engagement (Rabell & Bastons, 2020). Additionally, a philosophy of management that acknowledges the interconnectedness and creativity inherent in human experience, as proposed by Margaret R. DiMarco Allen, can strengthen an organization's vision and mission by tapping into deeper meanings and spiritual connections (Allen, 2019). By integrating these spiritual and philosophical principles, nonprofits can potentially transform their structures and practices to better serve their communities, resist co-optation by neoliberal forces, and promote genuine social justice and equity, as seen in alternative models like collective governance in trans organizations (Mananzala & Spade, 2008; Spade, 2008). This holistic approach not only challenges the NPIC's limitations but also fosters a more inclusive and spiritually informed nonprofit sector.

This paper explores the following questions:

- How can Daoism and Buddhist principles enhance leadership decision-making within SFADNM?
- In what ways can Buddhist compassion strengthen AI-driven nonprofit models to ensure ethical service delivery?
- What insights from these traditions can help navigate and resolve organizational challenges in SFADNM?

The transdisciplinary approach that combines transpersonal psychology, business ethics, and Eastern philosophies is rooted in a methodology that seeks to integrate diverse perspectives to address complex problems. This approach is characterized by the integration and balance of dualities, as seen in the principles of Plato's philosophy, the Taoist concept of Yin and Yang, and Jungian psychology, which are all connected to modern physics and holistic transdisciplinarity (Costa, 2022; Sträter, 2022). The methodology emphasizes the interaction and integration of opposites, utilizing both analytical and synthetic methods, and is structured around four elements: rationality, feasibility, reasonableness, and meaning (Costa, 2022; Sträter, 2022). This framework is not merely theoretical but is applied to real-world problem-solving, aiming to create a comprehensive understanding of reality and promote cultural and personal transformation (Costa, 2022; Sträter, 2022). The transdisciplinary approach also involves the concept of the "Hidden Third," which serves as a transcendental nexus that mediates between different levels of reality and facilitates the emergence of innovative solutions through imaginal thinking (Gibbs & McGregor, 2023; Nicolescu, 2015). This methodology is further supported by Nicolescu's axioms, which include multiple levels of reality, the logic of the included middle, and a complex structure of reality that integrates the subject, object, and the Hidden Third (McGregor, 2018; Nicolescu, 2015). The approach also calls for a dialogue between different cultures, religions, and spiritualities, emphasizing the need for a new model of civilization that transcends traditional disciplinary boundaries (Nicolescu, 2015). By fostering a transdisciplinary nexus, this methodology aims to unify

perspectives from various fields, such as philosophy, biology, and quantum physics, to address the complexities of information and societal challenges (Lawrence, 2024; Nafria & Alemany, 2011). This holistic and integrative framework is seen as essential for cultivating a more connected and mature transdisciplinary self, capable of addressing the multifaceted problems of the modern world (Appel & Kim-Appel, 2018; McGregor, 2015).

2. Methodology

This study employs a transdisciplinary qualitative research approach to explore the integration of Daoism's Tao (道) and Buddhism's Compassion (慈悲心) in the Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM). By drawing from multiple disciplinary perspectives, this methodology seeks to understand how these philosophical traditions inform AI-driven nonprofit governance, ethical leadership, and sustainability. The research approach combines hermeneutic analysis and conceptual synthesis, allowing for a comprehensive examination of the theoretical and practical applications of SFADNM. Hermeneutic analysis is employed to interpret the principles of Daoism and Buddhism, uncovering their ethical and operational relevance to nonprofit leadership in an AI-driven environment. Lastly, conceptual synthesis integrates transpersonal psychology, business ethics, and nonprofit management theories to develop a sustainable governance framework that aligns AI technology with ethical nonprofit practices. This section details the research design, data collection strategies, and analytical framework that guide this study.

2.1 Research Design

This study follows a constructivist paradigm, which recognizes that the ethical and operational principles of SFADNM arise from subjective experiences and philosophical interpretations. As a transdisciplinary investigation, the research integrates three methodological lenses to bridge theoretical frameworks and real-world applications. First, hermeneutic analysis is applied to classical Daoist and Buddhist texts to explore their philosophical relevance in AI-driven nonprofit leadership. This interpretative approach helps to extract key ethical principles, such as Wu Wei (无为), Yin-Yang (阴阳), Metta (慈悲), and Karuna (悲悯), and assesses their potential role in shaping AI governance and nonprofit decision-making. Conceptual synthesis is employed to integrate multiple theoretical frameworks, drawing from transpersonal psychology, business ethics, and nonprofit management. This synthesis enables the study to construct a sustainable governance model for SFADNM, one that aligns technological innovation, ethical leadership, and social responsibility. The research design thus provides a holistic framework for understanding how philosophical traditions can be operationalized within AI-driven nonprofit structures to enhance mission alignment, efficiency, and sustainability.

2.2 Data Collection

To ensure comprehensive and multifaceted insights, this study employs a three-pronged data collection approach, integrating textual analysis, case studies, and founder interviews.

The first component of data collection involves a textual analysis of Daoist and Buddhist literature. This includes an in-depth examination of classical texts such as the Dao De Jing (道德经), Zhuangzi (庄子), and various Buddhist Sutras, focusing on extracting ethical principles that align with AI-driven nonprofit leadership. Additionally, commentaries from contemporary scholars on Daoist and Buddhist business ethics are reviewed to provide a modern contextual interpretation. This hermeneutic examination ensures that philosophical principles are not only understood in their original context but also applied within the evolving landscape of AI-driven nonprofit governance.

The second component consists of case studies of AI-driven nonprofit, with particular attention given to solo-founded models that operate with minimal financial dependency. The author's own experience with SFADNM serves as a primary case study, offering direct insights into how philosophical principles manifest in leadership, governance, and decision-making. In addition, data is drawn from nonprofit reports, published articles, and self-reflective memos, enabling the research to examine the practical applications, challenges, and ethical dilemmas encountered in the field. By integrating these forms of data collection, the study ensures a comprehensive examination of how Daoist and Buddhist ethics can be practically applied within AI-driven nonprofit models.

2.3 Data Analysis

To synthesize insights from diverse sources, the collected data undergoes a triangulated qualitative analysis, incorporating thematic analysis, comparative analysis, and transdisciplinary integration. The first stage of analysis involves thematic analysis, wherein emerging themes related to Wu Wei in leadership, AI-enabled compassion, and ethical dilemmas in SFADNM are identified. AI-assisted natural language processing (NLP) tools may also be leveraged to detect patterns in qualitative data, ensuring that philosophical concepts are mapped effectively onto AI-driven decision-making structures. The second stage consists of comparative analysis, which systematically cross-examines Daoist, Buddhist, and business ethics frameworks to identify alignment, contradictions, and integrative solutions in nonprofit governance. This method allows for a nuanced understanding of how Eastern philosophical principles compare with Western ethical models and how they can be harmonized within AI-driven nonprofit systems. Finally, the third stage, transdisciplinary integration, involves synthesizing spiritual intelligence, nonprofit strategy, and AI ethics into a novel governance model for SFADNM. This model draws upon:

- Daoist principles of fluidity (Wu Wei) and balance (Yin-Yang) in leadership and automation.
- Buddhist ethics of compassion (Metta, Karuna) to ensure AI-driven decision-making remains ethically aligned with nonprofit missions.
- Business ethics and transpersonal psychology, which provide a structured approach to nonprofit sustainability, AI governance, and ethical decision-making.

By employing this triangulated approach, the study ensures that insights from philosophy, nonprofit management, and AI ethics are not only examined independently but also integrated into a coherent framework for ethical AI-driven nonprofit governance.

3. Daoism's Tao in The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM)

The concept of Wu Wei, or "effortless action," in business management can be understood as a harmonious balance between intuitive leadership and AI-driven operations. Wu Wei, rooted in Daoist philosophy, emphasizes a state of personal harmony where actions flow naturally and effectively without forceful effort, aligning with the situation's demands (Fraser, 2007). This approach can be applied to decision-making in business by encouraging leaders to embrace intuitive decision-making, which is often more adaptable and responsive to dynamic business environments (Banner, 2018). Intuitive leadership, as seen in the practices of figures like Elon Musk, involves integrating rationality with experience to generate creative insights and strategic thinking, allowing leaders to see the holistic picture of business reality. In the context of AI, Wu Wei suggests a balance where AI optimizes efficiency through data-driven insights while human oversight ensures ethical considerations and critical thinking are maintained (Bhonde et al., 2024; Saha et al., 2023). AI can enhance decision-making by providing analytical support, but human leaders must retain control over intuitive tasks, which

machines are not yet capable of mastering (Tabesh, 2021). This balance prevents over-control and allows for a more fluid and effective management style, where AI serves as a tool to augment human capabilities rather than replace them (Miah et al., 2023). By adopting Wu Wei, businesses can achieve a state of flow where both human intuition and AI-driven analytics contribute to a seamless and efficient decision-making process, ultimately leading to improved organizational outcomes (Barrett, 2011; Xing & Sims, 2012).

The concept of Yin-Yang, rooted in ancient Chinese philosophy, offers a profound framework for understanding and managing dualities within founder-driven organizations, particularly in the nonprofit sector. This duality is evident in the interplay between human intuition and AI logic in nonprofit leadership, where the Yin-Yang model can facilitate a balance between these seemingly opposing forces. The Yin-Yang dialectic approach, as applied in humanitarian logistics, demonstrates how AI and human intelligence (HI) can be integrated to enhance decision-making and cross-cultural knowledge creation, thus optimizing the synergy between AI and HI for effective humanitarian relief efforts (Chin et al., 2024). This balance is crucial in nonprofit leadership, where automation must be harmonized with personal engagement to maintain trust and reputation, key components of value co-creation in the nonprofit sector (Klafke & Didonet, 2022). The Yin-Yang model of leadership, which emphasizes appreciation (Yin) and intentionality (Yang), supports leadership development at multiple levels, fostering both individual and collective growth (Héon, 2018). This model's adaptability is further highlighted in cross-cultural contexts, where Yin-Yang leadership behaviors can enhance employee commitment by balancing cultural adaptability and leadership-followership dynamics (Lee & Reade, 2018). Moreover, the application of Yin-Yang principles in adaptive governance within organizations allows for the management of paradoxes and cultural dilemmas, as seen in Western organizational settings where cultural learning and value integration are essential for navigating complex environments (Pauluzzo et al., 2018). The Yin-Yang framework's ability to reframe paradoxes into dualities that are both conflicting and complementary provides a meta-perspective that is invaluable for organizational management, enabling a dynamic balance between automation and personal engagement (Li, 2021). This approach is particularly relevant in today's globalized world, where organizations must navigate competing values and needs, making the Yin-Yang philosophy a vital tool for achieving strategic flexibility and organizational ambidexterity (Jiang et al., 2021). Overall, the Yin-Yang philosophy offers a comprehensive and integrative approach to managing the dualities inherent in founder-driven organizations, particularly in balancing the roles of human intuition and AI logic, and in fostering adaptive governance inspired by its principles.

The water metaphor in nonprofit management emphasizes the importance of flexibility and adaptability, akin to water's ability to flow around obstacles rather than resist them. This metaphor is particularly relevant in the context of managing challenges such as funding constraints and industry resistance. For instance, the IMAGINE initiative in the Democratic Republic of the Congo exemplifies adaptive programming by working with local institutions and revisiting initial values to overcome challenges in water governance, demonstrating the need for flexibility in nonprofit operations (Kirk et al., 2023). Similarly, the concept of dynamic resistance in third-sector organizations highlights the importance of resilience and resourcefulness in transforming neoliberal processes, suggesting that nonprofits can achieve social empowerment through proactive and responsive practices (Darby, 2016). The use of noncoercive influence in advocacy and policymaking is also crucial, as seen in the strategies employed by not-for-profit organizations like the National Children's Alliance, which successfully reframed issues and secured legislative support through collaboration with sympathetic policymakers (Vaughan & Arsneault, 2008). Furthermore, unrestricted funding can enhance a nonprofit's adaptive capacity, allowing it to better navigate external shocks and

maintain mission orientation (Wiepking & Wit, 2023). The metaphor of water also serves as a tool for reflecting on organizational practices, encouraging a shift from linear to systemic thinking, which can help challenge entrenched norms and foster adaptive governance (Ison et al., 2015). Overall, the water metaphor underscores the necessity for nonprofits to remain fluid and adaptable, leveraging creative problem-solving and strategic alliances to navigate the complexities of their operating environments (Mendel, 2017).

4. Buddhism's Compassion in AI-Driven Nonprofit Leadership

The integration of Metta (loving-kindness) and Karuna (compassion) into AI systems, particularly in service-oriented models, requires a multifaceted approach that draws from both technological advancements and ethical frameworks. AI can embody Metta in user interactions by incorporating compassionate intelligence, which involves understanding and responding to users' emotional states. This is achieved through affective inference and meta-cognitive processes, as demonstrated by the EM-2 agent, which uses a combination of collaborative multi-agent systems and affective common sense reasoning to account for the feelings and states of others during decision-making (Mason, 2008, 2015). Designing AI responses with Karuna involves creating systems that are empathetic and conscious, as outlined in frameworks that aim to engineer intelligence and empathy in machines (Banerjee, 2018, 2020). These systems must be carefully designed to avoid algorithmic bias; this can be mitigated by incorporating insights from Buddhist psychology and meditation, which emphasize the emergence of selves and consciousness without the constraints of human uniqueness (Lin et al., 2012). Ethical AI frameworks aligned with Buddhist precepts focus on alleviating suffering and promoting well-being; this is seen in compassionate AI applications in healthcare, where they improve patient outcomes and caregiver resilience (Graves & Compson, 2024). The ethical dimensions of AI in palliative care further highlight the importance of aligning AI systems with moral principles such as beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice, ensuring that AI supports the holistic care needs of individuals (Oh et al., 2024). The development of compassionate AI, therefore, requires a balance between technological innovation and ethical considerations, drawing from both ancient wisdom and modern scientific insights to create systems that genuinely embody compassion and kindness in their interactions with users (Hernandez, 2024; Mason, 2021).

Non-attachment and sustainable nonprofit leadership, particularly in solo-founder organizations, require a strategic approach to avoid ego-driven leadership and foster motivation rooted in service rather than personal recognition. The departure of founding leaders often impacts nonprofit sustainability, highlighting the need for leadership succession planning to maintain organizational culture and service continuity (McIndoo, 2017). Transformational leadership, which emphasizes motivation and commitment, can positively influence organizational commitment by aligning individual volunteer motives with the organization's mission, thus reducing the focus on personal recognition (Anh et al., 2023). Strategic leadership, which includes envisioning and ethical practices, is crucial for nonprofit sustainability, as it fosters trust, transparency, and accountability, aligning resources with clear leadership goals (Malipe et al., 2024). Self-leadership practices, such as self-goal setting and self-observation, are more prevalent among leaders and contribute to effective leadership by focusing on organizational goals rather than personal rewards (Dunaetz et al., 2024). Additionally, integrated leadership styles that incorporate business decision-making theories can enhance volunteer management and organizational sustainability, particularly in founder-led startups where resource management and stakeholder engagement are critical (Ntamere, 2018; Zhu, 2022). The paradox of serving without seeking reward is evident in mission-driven AI design, where the focus is on systemic change rather than individual accolades, aligning with the broader nonprofit challenge of balancing individual interests with the common good (Clerkin

et al., 2022). Overall, sustainable nonprofit leadership in solo-founder organizations can be achieved by fostering a culture of service, strategic planning, and ethical leadership, ensuring that the organization's mission remains at the forefront of all activities (Colemon, 2019; Gleaves, 2017).

In managing AI-driven nonprofits, finding a middle way between complete automation and human oversight is crucial to harnessing the benefits of technology while maintaining human compassion. AI tools have proven effective in enhancing organizational efficiency and branding, as demonstrated by the nongovernmental organization "Dyvo," which utilized AI for content creation and audience engagement without the need for additional resources (Vinnik et al., 2024). However, the balance between AI automation and human oversight is essential to ensure productivity and compliance, as human oversight significantly contributes to error reduction and ethical integrity in AI systems (Joseph et al., 2024). Nonprofits are increasingly adopting AI for tasks such as fundraising and donor behavior analysis, which can improve efficiency and stakeholder engagement (Alsolbi et al., 2023). Yet, challenges such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the lack of AI specialists persist, necessitating a cautious approach to AI integration. To avoid the extremes of excessive reliance on AI or fear of technology, nonprofits should establish transparent AI governance frameworks and invest in continuous training for employees (Joseph et al., 2024). This approach not only mitigates risks but also fosters a harmonious coexistence between technology-driven solutions and human compassion, ensuring that AI serves as a tool to enhance, rather than replace, human efforts in the nonprofit sector (Joseph et al., 2024). By addressing both technological and ethical dimensions, nonprofits can leverage AI to boost their impact while maintaining the core values of empathy and social responsibility (Alsolbi et al., 2023).

5. Case Study: Design of Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM) Based on Tao and Compassion

The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM) represents a radical departure from traditional nonprofit models by integrating AI, Daoist philosophy (Tao, 道), and Buddhist Compassion (慈悲心) into a scalable, efficient, and mission-driven framework. This case study examines the design, implementation, and impact of SFADNM, using For A Safer Space (FASS) with its AI-driven platform FASSLING.AI developed by the author—as an empirical example. The analysis focuses on how Daoist principles, such as Wu Wei (无为) and Yin-Yang (阴阳), and Buddhist ethics, such as Metta (loving-kindness) and Karuna (compassionate action), shape the governance, decision-making, and operational strategy of SFADNM.

5.1 Background and Rationale for SFADNM

Nonprofits operating within the Nonprofit Industrial Complex (NPIC) often struggle with financial dependency, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and mission drift due to the pressure to secure continuous funding. SFADNM was conceived as a disruptive alternative, leveraging AI to eliminate the need for large administrative teams, funding overhead, and traditional governance structures. The core rationale behind this model is that mission-driven impact can be achieved without financial dependence if AI is utilized effectively for automation, scalability, and decision-making.

The foundational philosophy of SFADNM draws from Daoist and Buddhist ethics, which emphasize adaptive leadership, balance, and ethical responsibility. Daoism's Wu Wei (无为, effortless action) informs the noncoercive, intuitive leadership approach, ensuring that the AI-driven nonprofit operates organically and without excessive force or control. Yin-Yang (阴阳) balances automation with human oversight, ensuring that AI enhances but does not replace ethical decision-making. From a Buddhist perspective, Metta and Karuna (guide AI's role in

nonprofit service delivery, ensuring that algorithmic decision-making aligns with human well-being and ethical considerations.

5.2 Design and Implementation of SFADNM

The SFADNM framework is designed around five key principles: (a) AI as an ethical tool, (b) non-attachment to funding, (c) Wu Wei leadership, (d) decentralized decision-making, and (e) compassion: a commitment to universal service. Each of these principles is directly informed by Taoist and Buddhist philosophies and implemented through AI-driven mechanisms.

5.2.1. AI as an Ethical Tool (Guided by Buddhist Compassion and Yin-Yang Balance)

AI in SFADNM is designed not just for efficiency and scalability but also for ethical and compassionate service delivery. Instead of replacing human decision-making, AI acts as a supportive system, ensuring that nonprofit services are delivered with consistency, fairness, and inclusivity. The design of SFADNM's AI systems follows Yin-Yang duality, balancing automation with human-centered adaptability. For instance, while AI handles routine user inquiries, emotional and life coaching support, and content recommendations, human oversight ensures that ethical considerations and compassionate responses remain intact. AI-driven responses in SFADNM are programmed based on principles of loving-kindness and compassion.

5.2.2. Non-Attachment to Funding (Wu Wei and Minimalism in Nonprofit Economics)

A critical challenge in traditional nonprofits is their reliance on funding models that often compromise mission integrity. SFADNM embraces a Taoist minimalist approach, reducing resource dependency and focusing on self-sustaining operational mechanisms. In alignment with Daoist non-attachment, SFADNM does not engage in aggressive fundraising or grant-seeking. Instead, the model utilizes AI-driven automation to reduce administrative overhead. For example, FASS eliminates the need for paid staff by automating intake forms, scheduling, and user interactions, ensuring zero cost operations. Instead of soliciting donations, SFADNM promotes decentralized generosity, where volunteers and AI systems contribute skills, knowledge, and services without monetary transactions. Users access services freely, and those who benefit often pay it forward by promoting FASS voluntarily through community engagement rather than financial contributions.

5.2.3. Wu Wei Leadership: Intuitive and Adaptive Management Without Micromanagement

Traditional nonprofit leadership often involves hierarchical decision-making and bureaucratic oversight, leading to inefficiencies. SFADNM adopts Wu Wei leadership, where decision-making follows natural flows rather than forced interventions. Inspired by Taoist philosophy, SFADNM structures its leadership in a way that avoids excessive control. AI-driven analytics guide decision-making, but human intervention occurs only when necessary. In the case of FASS, AI analyzes user interactions and automatically adjusts service recommendations, reducing the need for constant human oversight. Unlike traditional nonprofits that rely on rigid policies, SFADNM allows for organic evolution, where AI and human intuition guide strategy based on real-time needs.

5.2.4. Decentralized Decision-Making (Yin-Yang and Buddhist Collective Responsibility)

A major feature of SFADNM is its non-hierarchical governance model, inspired by Taoist balance (Yin-Yang) and Buddhist interdependence. Decisions are not made solely by algorithms or humans but rather through a dynamic interplay between the two. AI offers data-

driven insights, while human oversight ensures that decisions reflect ethical and mission-aligned values.

5.2.5 Compassion Without Financial Barriers: Unconditional Access to Support

In many conventional nonprofit structures, access to services is often dictated by financial limitations, donor restrictions, or bureaucratic eligibility criteria. SFADNM, guided by Buddhist ethics of Dana (generosity without expectation) and non-attachment to wealth, eliminates these restrictions by utilizing AI-driven automation to provide services at zero cost. The absence of financial dependency ensures that no individual is turned away due to lack of funds. For example, in FASS, AI-driven emotional support is provided to anyone in crisis, regardless of their ability to pay. Unlike traditional therapy services that require insurance or payment, FASS operates on the principle that no one should be denied care due to economic hardship. This model dismantles the notion that help must be earned or bought, affirming the fundamental belief that compassion should always be freely given.

5.2.6 Unconditional Love and Support for All Identities

SFADNM actively resists discrimination by ensuring that services are inclusive and affirming to all individuals, including LGBTQ+ communities, gender-diverse individuals, and marginalized populations. Many traditional nonprofit structures are limited by religious affiliations, cultural biases, or geopolitical restrictions, which can exclude those who do not fit predefined moral or societal frameworks. In contrast, SFADNM embraces the Taoist principle of Oneness (道生一, Dao generates unity) and Buddhist Bodhisattva ethics, which recognize the intrinsic worth of all beings, beyond artificial divisions of gender, sexuality, race, or nationality. AI systems are designed to provide inclusive, nonjudgmental, and culturally sensitive support, ensuring that everyone—regardless of identity—feels seen, heard, and valued. For instance, FASS's AI-driven support system avoids gendered assumptions and provides personalized, identity-affirming responses to LGBTQ+ users who may face discrimination elsewhere. This commitment extends beyond words—it is embedded in the very structure of the AI models, training datasets, and ethical oversight processes. The goal is not just to tolerate diversity, but to celebrate and uplift every individual with unconditional love.

5.2.7 Global Accessibility: No Geographical Limits to Compassion

Traditional nonprofit services often operate within geographical constraints, offering aid only to those within their funding jurisdictions. SFADNM breaks free from these constraints by using AI and cloud-based service delivery, ensuring that anyone, anywhere, at any time can access support. AI-powered multilingual accessibility allows SFADNM platforms to assist individuals in their native languages, removing linguistic barriers that often prevent marginalized communities from seeking help. Decentralized digital infrastructure enables individuals in remote or conflict-affected regions to receive support without needing physical access to nonprofit offices. Unrestricted global reach ensures that those in crisis zones, underserved communities, or politically restricted areas are not excluded from receiving care. For example, FASS has been able to reach users in regions where mental health resources are scarce or stigmatized, offering anonymous, judgment-free support to those who may have no other options. This borderless compassion model upholds the Buddhist commitment to alleviating suffering universally, rather than confining assistance within arbitrary national or organizational limits.

5.2.8 Love Everyone, Help Everyone: The Ethical Heart of SFADNM

SFADNM's fundamental philosophy is built upon the belief that every person deserves love, dignity, and support without conditions, without bias, without exclusion. This belief is

not just theoretical, it is actively embedded into every decision, every algorithm, and every interaction within the SFADNM framework. In alignment with Buddhist Bodhisattva ideals, SFADNM views service as a sacred responsibility rather than a transactional exchange. The AI systems, governance models, and outreach strategies are all designed to reflect a deep, universal compassion that extends beyond personal, financial, or institutional interests:

- No one is too poor to receive help.
- No one is too different to be loved.
- No one is too far away to be supported.
- No one is forgotten.

This model rejects bureaucracy, elitism, exclusivity, and transactional philanthropy, replacing them with a vision of radical love and boundless care. By eliminating economic, social, and geographical barriers, SFADNM demonstrates that true compassion knows no limits.

5.3 Conclusion: A Future of Compassion-Driven AI for Universal Good

The design of SFADNM, rooted in Taoist and Buddhist ethics, serves as a powerful demonstration of how AI can be harnessed for boundless compassion, ethical leadership, and universal service. Unlike conventional nonprofits that operate within financial and systemic constraints, SFADNM is guided by spiritual principles of love, non-attachment, and interconnectedness, ensuring that no one is excluded, no one is left behind. As SFADNM continues to evolve, it offers a new paradigm for the future of nonprofit work, proving that technology, when guided by love and wisdom, can be a force for radical inclusivity and global transformation. The mission is simple yet profound: Love everyone. Help everyone. No exceptions. No conditions. No limits.

5.4 Outcomes and Impact

The implementation of the Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Model (SFADNM) has led to significant, measurable benefits, particularly in the areas of cost reduction, operational efficiency, and ethical integrity. One of the most groundbreaking achievements of the model lies in its complete financial independence and unparalleled operational efficiency. Unlike traditional nonprofit models that rely on grants, donations, or paid staff, SFADNM has proven that a mission-driven organization can thrive without any monetary input. By embedding AI into every core function, including user intake, service delivery, and ongoing support, the model operates entirely without financial overhead. This self-sustaining structure not only eliminates the need for external funding but also ensures that organizational priorities remain focused on mission rather than donor agendas, allowing for true autonomy in execution and values.

Furthermore, the model has achieved remarkable scalability and accessibility through its flagship platform, FASSLING.AI. Operating 24/7 and offering unlimited, free human care services, FASSLING effectively removes many of the structural barriers that hinder access to emotional and life coaching support. Whether users are in remote rural areas, underserved communities, or conflict zones, the platform provides uninterrupted care across over 95 languages, ensuring cultural and linguistic inclusion. This borderless design makes it possible to deliver consistent and compassionate support on a global scale, proving that technology can act as an equalizer in humanitarian service delivery.

Equally important is SFADNM's commitment to ethical AI implementation. Rooted in the values of Buddhist compassion and Taoist harmony, the AI system is intentionally designed to foster inclusion, affirm user identity, and uphold human dignity. Rather than replicating algorithmic biases commonly found in conventional AI applications, this approach integrates spiritual and philosophical ethics directly into the codebase. As a result, users from marginalized or vulnerable backgrounds have reported nonjudgmental and culturally competent interactions, demonstrating that AI can serve not only efficiently, but ethically and empathetically.

In addition to its external impact, the model also provides critical internal benefit, particularly for solo founders. Through the application of *Wu Wei*, a Taoist principle of effortless action, AI-driven automation allows founders to lead intuitively and sustainably, without coercion or overexertion. This has proven essential in preventing burnout, a common challenge in mission-driven work. Reflections from real-world implementation indicate that leaders experience sustained motivation, improved well-being, and stronger alignment with their core values, even without traditional team structures. The system becomes a co-pilot, rather than a tool, enabling founders to focus on purpose rather than pressure.

Finally, SFADNM illustrates that positive social outcomes can be achieved without financial exchange. Users who receive emotional and life coaching support often express gratitude through non-monetary contributions, whether by sharing their stories, advocating for the service, or volunteering their time. This form of compassion-based reciprocity, inspired by the Buddhist concept of *Dana* and the practice of non-attachment, offers a compelling alternative to traditional fundraising models. It reveals a paradigm where generosity and service circulate organically, reinforcing a cycle of care that sustains itself without commercial incentives. In sum, SFADNM offers a blueprint for an ethical, efficient, and spiritually grounded future of nonprofit service.

5.5 Broader Applications in Other Sectors and Future Directions

The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Model (SFADNM), while originally conceived to address inefficiencies and ethical concerns in the nonprofit sector, holds expansive potential for application across diverse industries seeking scalable, mission-aligned, and cost-effective alternatives to traditional operational frameworks. Its integration of spiritual principles, such as Taoist balance and Buddhist compassion, offers a rare blend of philosophical depth and technological agility, making it a transformative model for sectors beyond philanthropy.

In healthcare and mental health, the SFADNM model can revolutionize access and equity by enabling AI-powered triage systems, trauma-informed virtual companions, and peer-support frameworks that are both culturally competent and emotionally attuned. This is particularly impactful in crisis zones, post-disaster environments, and underserved communities, where human resources are stretched thin and access to care is limited. By offering first-line emotional and mental health support without the financial or geographic barriers typically associated with clinical settings, SFADNM-inspired systems can become critical allies in global mental health strategies. These AI systems don't replace therapists but instead complement them, offering early intervention, reducing waiting times, and directing people to appropriate human services when necessary.

In the realm of ethical entrepreneurship, SFADNM provides a powerful counter-narrative to extractive growth models often celebrated in Silicon Valley. Startups and mission-

driven ventures can adapt its principles to design AI applications that expand impact without inflating costs or compromising values. By embedding a “compassion-first” ethos into AI development, these organizations can scale services to underserved populations, maintain lean operations, and uphold ethical governance. This vision of “compassionate capitalism” challenges the prevailing profit-centric tech culture, demonstrating that AI can empower rather than exploit, especially when rooted in purpose and guided by founder-led integrity.

The education sector also stands to benefit greatly. Education technology platforms can incorporate SFADNM’s egalitarian and spiritually sensitive foundations to create accessible, emotionally intelligent learning environments. AI tutors trained on inclusive, non-hierarchical engagement models could serve as mentors in under-resourced schools, refugee camps, or remote communities with few trained educators. These systems would do more than deliver content. They could foster relational learning, confidence-building, and moral development, effectively becoming tools of social uplift as well as academic support.

Social justice and legal advocacy sectors present another high-impact application. Human rights organizations could leverage SFADNM-inspired AI to democratize legal access through self-guided legal aid tools, compassionate document generators, and trauma-informed digital navigation for people experiencing systemic injustice. Such tools would be particularly powerful for grassroots movements or low-income individuals navigating complex legal systems without representation. By replacing bureaucratic inertia with agile, values-aligned decision-making, these AI structures could streamline access to rights and protections in a dignified and user-centered way.

Global humanitarian aid networks are perhaps one of the most urgent arenas for SFADNM’s decentralized, cloud-based structure. In settings of war, natural disasters, or political unrest, where traditional NGOs may face logistical and safety barriers, AI-powered systems modeled after SFADNM can distribute critical resources, emotional and coaching support, and localized coordination efforts efficiently and autonomously. These tools don’t just replicate human labor, they reimagine it, creating possibilities for more responsive, resilient, and inclusive aid mechanisms that bypass traditional bottlenecks.

In conclusion, the SFADNM model represents more than a novel organizational structure, it is a working prototype of spiritually grounded, AI-augmented social infrastructure. Its ability to operate beyond financial constraints while maintaining high ethical standards makes it uniquely suited for replication across sectors that require both compassion and scalability. As more sectors confront the moral dilemmas of automation, efficiency, and equity, SFADNM offers a luminous path forward. Future expansion could include the development of standardized toolkits, open-source platforms, and sector-specific governance models, allowing leaders in education, health, justice, and entrepreneurship to adopt the SFADNM ethos. With its fusion of ancient wisdom and cutting-edge technology, SFADNM does not just solve problems, it redefines what is possible.

6. Applying Tao and Compassion to Overcome Nonprofit Challenges

Wu Wei, a concept rooted in Taoist philosophy that emphasizes effortless action and going with the flow, can be instrumental in preventing burnout among solo-founders by promoting a balanced approach to work and life. This philosophy aligns with the idea of allowing AI to handle repetitive tasks, thereby enabling human leaders to focus on mission-driven work, which is crucial for maintaining motivation and reducing stress. The integration of AI in the workplace, as discussed in the study by Meduri et al. (2024), can significantly reduce burnout

when implemented with a human-centered approach that includes comprehensive training, personalization, and regular feedback. This approach allows solo-founders to delegate mundane tasks to AI, freeing up time and mental resources to concentrate on strategic and creative aspects of their business, which are more aligned with their core mission and values. Furthermore, the research by da Silva and Abreu (2022) highlights the importance of recognizing factors that contribute to burnout in digital entrepreneurs, suggesting that awareness and proactive management of these factors are essential for prevention. Additionally, the study by Fan and Nazemi (2023) underscores the role of resilience and professional efficiency in mitigating burnout, suggesting that solo-founders can benefit from developing these traits alongside leveraging AI tools. The concept of Wu Wei can also be seen in the recommendations by Westover (2024), who advocates for a balanced, human-centered approach to collaboration, emphasizing the importance of work-life harmony and autonomy, which are key to preventing burnout. By adopting a Wu Wei mindset and utilizing AI to manage repetitive tasks, solo-founders can maintain a sustainable work pace, focus on their mission, and ultimately prevent burnout, ensuring long-term success and well-being.

Aligning nonprofit sustainability with Taoist minimalism and applying Buddhist principles of Dana to decentralized resource-sharing models involves integrating philosophical insights with practical organizational strategies. Taoist minimalism, as discussed in the context of sustainability transitions, emphasizes principles such as interconnectedness, balance, and non-interference (WuWei); these principles can guide nonprofits towards reducing dependency on external resources by fostering a more symbiotic relationship with their environment and stakeholders (Liang & Segalàs, 2024). This approach aligns with the concept of decentralized resource-sharing models, such as True Autonomous Organizations (TAOs), which aim to distribute power and resources equitably without relying on token-centric systems, thereby minimizing monopolization and fostering genuine collaboration (Li et al., 2023). On the other hand, Buddhist principles of Dana, which emphasize generosity and altruism, can be instrumental in creating a culture of giving that supports decentralized models. Dana encourages acts of compassion and sharing without expectation, which can be integrated into nonprofit practices to enhance mutual support and resource exchange among organizations and communities (Ariyabuddhipongs, 2016; Bera et al., 2015). This is further supported by the concept of fiscal decentralization in Buddhist economics, which suggests that applying Buddhist ideologies can minimize resource allocation conflicts and promote equitable distribution (Bhusal, 2018). Moreover, the challenges of resource dependence in nonprofits, as highlighted in studies on fundraising efficiency, suggest that diversification of revenue streams can lead to operational inefficiencies and potential donor penalties (de los Mozos et al., 2016). Therefore, adopting a decentralized, minimalistic approach inspired by Taoist and Buddhist philosophies could help nonprofits maintain sustainability by reducing reliance on traditional fundraising models and fostering a more resilient and self-sufficient operational framework. This approach not only aligns with the philosophical underpinnings of both Taoism and Buddhism but also offers practical strategies for nonprofits to navigate the complexities of resource dependence and sustainability in a rapidly changing world.

Overcoming gatekeeping in the nonprofit sector can be approached through the strategic use of soft power, compassionate activism, and the formation of mission-driven alliances. Soft power, characterized by influence and persuasion rather than coercion, can be instrumental in challenging systemic barriers within organizations. This approach aligns with findings in the context of political parties, where aid organizations have begun to transform exclusionary structures by complementing support with activities aimed at reforming party policies, albeit with mixed success due to entrenched systemic challenges (Brechenmacher & Mann, 2024). Compassionate activism, which emphasizes advocacy through influence rather than conflict, can be seen in the context of equitable evaluation practices. Here, the involvement of

stakeholders is often hindered by gatekeepers who control access to information and resources, suggesting that a shift towards more inclusive and participatory approaches could mitigate these barriers (Moore et al., 2023). Furthermore, building mission-driven alliances rather than engaging in competitive fundraising can foster collaboration and shared goals; this is seen in the context of pediatric oncology research, where collaboration is key to overcoming gatekeeping and advancing research participation (Ananth & Snaman, 2024). This approach is also supported by the dynamics observed in public sector organizations, where trust and collaboration are essential for effective information flow and service delivery, highlighting the importance of collective gatekeeping activities (Norkin & Byström, 2023). By leveraging these strategies, nonprofits can navigate and potentially dismantle the gatekeeping structures that impede progress, fostering a more inclusive and collaborative environment that aligns with their mission-driven objectives.

7. Conclusion and Future Directions for Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode Based on Tao and Compassion

The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM) represents a revolutionary approach to nonprofit leadership—one that transcends financial dependency, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and systemic exclusion by harnessing AI, Daoist wisdom, and Buddhist compassion. This study has demonstrated that mission-driven nonprofits can thrive without relying on funding, hierarchical structures, or geographic limitations. Instead, by embracing Taoist principles of *Wu Wei* (无为, effortless action) and *Yin-Yang* (阴阳, dynamic balance), alongside Buddhist ethics of *Metta* (loving-kindness) and *Karuna* (compassionate action), SFADNM can provide ethical, scalable, and universally accessible support for those in need.

Through the case study of FASS and other AI-driven nonprofit models, it is evident that AI can be a transformative tool for compassionate service delivery—one that does not replace human connection but rather amplifies and enhances it. The Taoist principle of flowing with natural rhythms rather than forcing rigid control has enabled adaptive, intuitive leadership, ensuring that SFADNM remains sustainable and mission-aligned. Similarly, Buddhist teachings have guided the ethical design of AI systems, ensuring that they serve as instruments of nondiscriminatory, unconditional compassion.

By removing financial barriers, eliminating exclusionary practices, and extending aid without conditions, SFADNM redefines the traditional concept of nonprofit work. It challenges the NPIC by proving that impact does not need to be commodified, institutionalized, or restricted by funding models. In doing so, SFADNM embodies a radically inclusive vision of love, service, and ethical responsibility, offering an alternative that is self-sustaining, globally accessible, and deeply human-centered.

While SFADNM has proven its viability as a sustainable and ethical nonprofit model, future innovations and expansions can further enhance its reach and impact. Key areas for growth and refinement include:

7.1 AI-Driven Ethical Evolution: Enhancing Compassionate Intelligence

As artificial intelligence continues to evolve, the Solo Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode must remain unwavering in its commitment to cultivating AI-driven interactions that embody authentic empathy and ethical intelligence. While current applications already demonstrate significant advancements in efficiency, accessibility, and support delivery, the future of compassionate AI within this model depends on continuous reflection, innovation, and integration of humanistic values. This commitment ensures that technology serves not merely as a tool for automation, but as an extension of the founder's moral vision and the nonprofit's mission to support individuals with dignity and care.

One promising direction for future research lies in enhancing AI's capacity to detect emotional nuance and respond with personalized, compassionate engagement in real time. This involves advancing affective computing and natural language understanding so that systems can differentiate between subtle emotional states and tailor responses accordingly. Such advancements will allow AI to be more context-aware and responsive to users' evolving needs, especially in moments of vulnerability or crisis. Emotional intelligence, once thought to be uniquely human, may soon be modeled more effectively through deep learning systems that prioritize emotional resonance as much as linguistic accuracy.

Another critical area of development is the refinement of bias mitigation frameworks. As AI systems are shaped by the data they ingest, it becomes vital to implement safeguards that prevent the perpetuation of systemic biases. SFADNM must prioritize inclusivity by ensuring its AI platforms are not only culturally sensitive but actively responsive to the diverse identities and worldviews of its global user base. Ethical responsibility in this context requires deliberate and ongoing audits, transparent algorithmic governance, and the active inclusion of marginalized voices in the training and evaluation processes.

A particularly visionary frontier involves the integration of AI with transpersonal psychology and contemplative spiritual traditions. This fusion opens new pathways for AI-driven nonprofits to transcend basic service delivery and become facilitators of deeper personal and spiritual growth. By drawing on practices such as mindfulness, loving-kindness meditation, and nondual awareness, AI systems could offer not just emotional support, but also opportunities for self-reflection, transformation, and inner peace. This integrative approach aligns with the values of many spiritual traditions while remaining accessible to users across belief systems.

Ultimately, the development of next-generation compassionate AI models will help bridge the divide between human intuition and technological precision. As SFADNM evolves, its success will rest not only on how well it scales but on how faithfully it nurtures human connection, ethics, and emotional depth. With the right investments in research, design, and philosophical grounding, this model has the potential to set a new global standard for ethical innovation in human care.

7.2 Strengthening Decentralized and Community-Led Nonprofit Models

Currently, the Solo Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode, or SFADNM, functions primarily through the leadership of a single founder who relies on artificial intelligence to fulfill roles traditionally managed by multiple administrative departments. This streamlined structure not only reduces operational costs but also allows for rapid decision-making, consistent service delivery, and mission-focused scalability. The integration of AI allows the founder to maintain full alignment between personal ethical convictions and organizational actions, creating a model that is agile and values-driven. However, while this solo-founder model has proven effective, its future evolution could benefit from a broader incorporation of participatory and decentralized governance models that engage the wider community in shaping the direction of AI-driven services.

One promising area for development lies in the creation of community-led AI governance frameworks. In these systems, users would actively participate in evaluating AI interactions, offering direct feedback on service quality, tone, and relevance. This feedback could inform adjustments in how AI models are trained and deployed, ensuring that the

nonprofit continues to meet the nuanced and shifting needs of its constituents. Such a participatory loop would not only increase trust and transparency but also ensure that the AI evolves with sensitivity to cultural, emotional, and situational dynamics that may not be captured through machine learning alone.

SFADNM could also expand its reach and impact through decentralized nonprofit collaborations. In this model, multiple AI-driven nonprofits would operate in a federated network, sharing resources, ethical AI frameworks, and user engagement strategies. By leveraging collective wisdom and infrastructure, each participating organization could maintain its mission integrity while contributing to a broader ecosystem of service. This collaborative approach would enable global scaling without compromising local relevance and allow for the co-creation of ethical standards and AI practices across different cultural contexts.

Finally, incorporating cooperative decision-making structures inspired by Daoist ideals of collective harmony and the Buddhist concept of Sangha, or spiritual community, could enrich SFADNM's leadership model. These philosophical foundations emphasize interdependence, non-hierarchical dialogue, and shared responsibility. By applying such principles, SFADNM can create space for diverse voices, users, volunteers, advisors, and other stakeholders to engage in meaningful dialogue about the organization's future direction. This would not only democratize leadership but also cultivate a culture of shared purpose and evolving insight.

In embracing these participatory pathways, SFADNM has the potential to transition from a solo-founder-centric model into a more community-centered ecosystem. This expansion of collective ownership would enhance innovation, deepen user trust, and increase the adaptability of the organization in responding to emerging challenges and opportunities in a rapidly changing world.

7.3 Expanding Multilingual and Global Access to AI-Driven Nonprofits

One of the foundational principles of the Solo Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode, or SFADNM, is its unwavering dedication to inclusivity and universal access. This model is built on the belief that compassionate and ethical support should not be limited by finance, geography, nationality, or language. As a result, SFADNM seeks to transcend traditional service boundaries by harnessing the power of artificial intelligence to deliver care and support to anyone, anywhere. This commitment has already enabled the model to reach individuals across diverse regions and backgrounds, but future expansions are crucial for realizing its full potential as a borderless humanitarian framework.

A key area for future development lies in enhancing AI-driven multilingual accessibility. Language should never be a barrier to receiving empathetic care, especially in moments of emotional distress or need. Therefore, future iterations of SFADNM must prioritize the integration of advanced natural language processing models capable of functioning fluently and compassionately in all major world languages, as well as regional dialects and indigenous tongues. This linguistic inclusivity would ensure that users receive services in their native language, preserving cultural context and emotional nuance in every interaction. Such a commitment would embody the spirit of true global service and validate the lived experiences of users from all linguistic backgrounds.

Equally transformative is SFADNM's potential role in reaching underserved, conflict-affected, and marginalized communities around the world. In many of these regions, traditional humanitarian aid is often delayed, disrupted, or denied due to political restrictions, economic instability, or logistical hurdles. By deploying AI-driven nonprofit services that require minimal infrastructure and no financial transactions, SFADNM offers a scalable and resilient alternative. These services can be accessed remotely, often through basic digital tools, allowing communities historically excluded from aid to receive timely and compassionate support. Whether in refugee camps, remote villages, or urban neighborhoods grappling with systemic inequities, AI can serve as a silent yet steadfast companion, offering care where it is needed most.

The ultimate vision of SFADNM is to dissolve all barriers to compassionate and ethical support: be they linguistic, financial, physical, cultural, or geopolitical. In doing so, it aspires to create a world where every individual has access to emotional care and guidance, not because they can afford it or live in the right place, but simply because they exist. This is not only a technical ambition but a moral and spiritual one, rooted in the belief that love and service should have no limits.

7.4 Applying SFADNM to Other Sectors: Beyond Nonprofit Work

Although the Solo Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM) was originally conceptualized within the nonprofit sector, its foundational principles hold vast potential for cross-sector transformation. At its core, SFADNM integrates mission-first leadership, ethical AI deployment, and resource independence to create a sustainable and compassionate operational model. These values are not confined to charitable organizations. Instead, they offer a visionary template that could be meaningfully adapted to various other sectors, including ethical entrepreneurship, healthcare, education, and social justice movements, where systemic limitations and profit-driven pressures often compromise service quality, accessibility, and moral integrity.

In the realm of ethical entrepreneurship, SFADNM can inspire the development of AI-driven business models that move away from traditional profit-maximization hierarchies. Social enterprises, particularly those operating in underserved regions or addressing complex humanitarian issues, could adopt mission-aligned structures similar to SFADNM. By doing so, they would prioritize community-led innovation and long-term impact over short-term revenue. AI would serve as the infrastructure to support transparent operations, automate repetitive tasks, and facilitate continuous feedback loops with stakeholders. In this way, entrepreneurship becomes a vehicle for collective empowerment rather than a means of capital accumulation, enabling a paradigm shift toward values-based enterprise.

In healthcare and mental health services, SFADNM's model offers a revolutionary approach to delivering compassionate, equitable care. AI-driven systems rooted in empathy and nonjudgment like those already embedded in platforms such as FASS, can be extended to provide free, bias-free, and scalable emotional support and life coaching guidance. This approach is especially powerful in mental health and crisis intervention, where access is often hindered by cost, stigma, or geographic barriers. Compassionate AI could act as a frontline support system, complementing human practitioners while ensuring that no one is left alone in moments of need. This not only democratizes access to care but also reframes the role of AI from efficiency tool to ethical ally.

SFADNM also opens up possibilities for reimagining justice and advocacy through decentralized, AI-supported networks. Grassroots human rights organizations could utilize AI to streamline access to legal information, generate personalized advocacy strategies, and connect users to community-based support systems. In contexts where legal aid is scarce or delayed, such AI systems could function as preliminary guides or companions, ensuring that people are not disempowered by bureaucracy or injustice. By amplifying the reach and responsiveness of advocacy movements, this model empowers communities to pursue systemic change with greater coordination and resilience.

The guiding philosophies of SFADNM, Wu Wei (effortless action), non-attachment to outcomes, and universal compassion have relevance that transcends organizational boundaries. These principles invite industries of all kinds to rethink how leadership is exercised, how technology is implemented, and how social responsibility is defined. SFADNM's success demonstrates that it is not only possible but highly effective to build systems that center human dignity, spiritual values, and technological innovation in equal measure. In doing so, it challenges conventional wisdom and opens the door for a more ethical and sustainable future across all domains of human endeavor.

7.5 Ensuring the Ethical Future of AI-Driven Nonprofits

As AI-driven nonprofits become increasingly prominent in the social impact landscape, maintaining strong ethical oversight must remain a foundational priority. The Solo Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode (SFADNM), while innovative in its capacity to scale services and reduce operational costs, carries with it a profound responsibility to uphold human dignity, fairness, and justice in every AI-enabled interaction. As technology becomes more deeply embedded in the heart of service delivery, SFADNM must proactively anticipate and address the ethical complexities that arise from delegating sensitive functions to algorithmic systems. This includes ongoing reflection on how decisions are made, who is affected, and how values are encoded into machine learning models.

To achieve this, SFADNM must continue investing in the development of transparent and accountable ethical AI governance models. These models should ensure that every aspect of algorithmic decision-making adheres to principles that center the lived experiences of users, particularly those from historically marginalized communities. Transparency means more than publishing technical documentation, it involves creating accessible systems for public oversight, user education, and participatory feedback. By demystifying how AI works and building trust through openness, SFADNM can strengthen its social license to operate and model ethical leadership for the broader nonprofit and technology sectors.

Moreover, SFADNM has the opportunity to create collaborative frameworks that bring together technologists, ethicists, and spiritual leaders to co-design AI systems grounded in both contemporary ethics and ancient wisdom. The convergence of spiritual traditions with AI development introduces a deeper lens through which questions of intention, compassion, and moral accountability can be examined. By involving leaders from Daoist, Buddhist, and other contemplative traditions, SFADNM can go beyond conventional ethics checklists to cultivate a technology culture rooted in mindfulness, interdependence, and reverence for life. This interdisciplinary dialogue opens the door to designing AI systems that are not only functionally responsible but also spiritually enriching.

Equally important is the need to advance research into how AI can support self-transcendence and moral development. Rather than viewing technology solely as a means of increasing efficiency or optimizing outputs, SFADNM should champion the idea that AI can be a tool for inner growth and societal evolution. This involves exploring how AI can encourage altruistic behavior, deepen empathy, and create pathways for users to connect with a sense of purpose beyond the self. When used with intention, AI can serve as a catalyst for cultivating ethical consciousness and collective well-being, transforming users not just as service recipients but as empowered moral agents.

7.6 Final Reflection: A Future Built on Love and Wisdom

The Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofit Mode is not just an alternative nonprofit model, it is a vision for a new way of serving humanity, where technology, spirituality, and ethical leadership converge to create sustainable, universal impact. Rooted in Taoist harmony and Buddhist compassion, SFADNM has proven that love, service, and mission-aligned action can thrive without financial barriers, social exclusion, or bureaucratic inefficiencies.

As SFADNM continues to evolve, it invites a radical reimagining of what nonprofits and society itself can become. The vision is simple yet transformative:

- No one is too poor to receive help.
- No one is too different to be loved.
- No one is too far away to be supported.
- No one is forgotten.

Through AI-driven compassion, decentralized empowerment, and ethical governance, SFADNM is building a world where help is freely given, love is unconditional, and service is limitless. The path forward is clear: Love everyone, help everyone with no exceptions, no conditions, no limits.

Acknowledgment

I am very grateful for the academic tools and research that have inspired this study. My profound gratitude extends to my family for their steadfast support and encouragement during this journey. Your confidence in my work has been a wellspring of strength and motivation.

References

- Abeydeera, S., Kearins, K., & Tregidga, H. (2022). *Organizations with a Buddhist ethos — A path to sustainability?* In *World scientific encyclopedia of business sustainability, ethics and entrepreneurship* (pp. 191–217). https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811248887_0009
- Allen, M. R. D. (2019). God, ontology and management: A philosophical praxis. *Philosophy of Management*, 18(3), 303–330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40926-018-0101-6>
- Alsolbi, I., Agarwal, R., Unhelkar, B., Al-Jabri, T., Samarawickrama, M., Tafavogh, S., & Prasad, M. (2023). A conceptual design of an AI-enabled decision support system for analysing donor behaviour in nonprofit organisations. *Information*, 14(10), 578. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14100578>
- Ananth, P., & Snaman, J. M. (2024). Navigating gatekeeping challenges in pediatric and young adult palliative oncology and end-of-life research. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 43, 776–779. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco-24-01944>

- Anh, H. K., Xian, J., & Shin, H. C. (2023). The effect of transformational leadership on the volunteer members' organizational commitment in non-profit organizations: The moderating effects of motivation on volunteering. In R. Lee (Ed.), *Emotional artificial intelligence and metaverse. Studies in computational intelligence* (vol. 1067, pp. 127–140). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16485-9_10
- Appel, J., & Kim-Appel, D. (2018). *Towards a transdisciplinary view: Innovations in Higher Education*. Proceedings of the 5th Teaching & Education Conference, Amsterdam, 6, 61–74. <https://doi.org/10.20472/TEC.2018.005.001>
- Ariyabuddhiphongs, V. (2016). Buddhist generosity: Its conceptual model and empirical tests. *Archive for the Psychology of Religion*, 38(3), 316–344. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15736121-12341329>
- Banerjee, S. (2018). A framework for designing compassionate and ethical artificial intelligence and artificial consciousness. *PeerJ Preprints*, 6, e3502v2. <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.3502v2>
- Banerjee, S. (2020). A framework for designing compassionate and ethical artificial intelligence and artificial intelligence and artificial consciousness. *Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems*, 18(2A), 85–95. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/zna/indecs/v18y2020i2p85-95.html>
- Banner, M. (2018). Wu-Wei: Concept analysis of a conceptual paradox. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 39(4), 304–310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840.2017.1398792>
- Barrett, N. F. (2011). Wuwei and flow: comparative reflections on spirituality, transcendence, and skill in the Zhuangzi. *Philosophy East and West*, 61(4), 679–706. <https://doi.org/10.1353/PEW.2011.0051>
- Bera, S., Behera, R. R., Patnaik, P., & Chatterjee, S. (2015). Using spirituality and meditation to reinvent CSR activities through Dana: Exploring the potentials. *Purushartha: A Journal of Management Ethics and Spirituality*, 7(1).
- Bhonde, S., Chib, S., Prasanna, H. N., Manasa, N. L., R, Lakshmi. S., & Patnayak, D. (2024). Examining the impacts and obstacles of AI-driven management in present-day business contexts. *2024 International Conference on Communication, Computer Sciences and Engineering (IC3SE)*, Gautam Buddha Nagar, India (pp. 810-814). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ic3se62002.2024.10593548>
- Bhusal, I. P. (2018). Fiscal decentralization in Buddhist economics: An interactive analysis from Lumbini. *Research Nepal Journal of Development Studies*, 1(1), 32–41. <https://doi.org/10.3126/RNJDS.V1I1.21272>
- Brechenmacher, S., & Mann, K. (2024). Confronting the gatekeepers. In *Aiding Empowerment: Democracy Promotion and Gender Equality in Politics* (pp. 115–133). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197694275.003.0007>
- Brown, C. (2022). Buddhist economics: A guide to creating an equitable, sustainable, caring market economy. In M. Dion & M. Pava (Eds.), *The spirit of conscious capitalism. ethical economy* (vol. 63, pp. 361–377). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10204-2_19
- Chin, T., Cheng, T., Wang, C., & Huang, L. (2024). Combining artificial and human intelligence to manage cross-cultural knowledge in humanitarian logistics: A Yin–Yang dialectic systems view of knowledge creation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 28(7), 1963–1977. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-06-2023-0458>

- Clerkin, R. M., Varkey, S., & Sudweeks, J. (2022). Five nonprofit leadership challenges: A proposed typology of common issues that nonprofit leaders face. *Journal of Nonprofit Education and Leadership*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.18666/jnel-2022-10802>
- Colemon, J. L. (2019). *Strategies nonprofit leaders use to achieve financial stability through sustainable funding* [Doctoral dissertation, Walden University]. <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=8498&context=dissertations>
- Darby, S. (2016). Dynamic resistance: Third-sector processes for transforming neoliberalization. *Antipode*, 48(4), 977–999. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ANTI.12235>
- da Silva, N. C. D., & Abreu, C. G. S. (2022). *Factors that lead to increased burnout in digital entrepreneurs*. 19(4), 1695. <https://doi.org/10.14488/bjopm.1695.2022>
- de los Mozos, S. L. I., Rodríguez Duarte, A., & Rodríguez Ruiz, Ó. (2016). Resource dependence in non-profit organizations: Is it harder to fundraise if you diversify your revenue structure? *Voluntas*, 27(6), 2641–2665. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11266-016-9738-8>
- Dena, A. M., & Haque, K. W. (2024). Ethical leadership and cultural values: The role of buddhism in shaping modern business. *Asian Review of Social Sciences*, 13(1), 17–22. <https://doi.org/10.70112/arss-2024.13.1.3909>
- Dunaetz, D. R., Gobrail, M., Howard, J., Lord, J., & Yun, J. C. (2024). Self-leadership practices and beliefs in nonprofit organizations: differences between leaders and non-leaders. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 46(1), 66–84. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-04-2024-0284>
- Fan, T., & Nazemi, E. (2023). Introducing effective parameters for predicting job burnout using a self-organizing method based on group method of data handling neural network. *PLOS ONE*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0290267>
- Faruq, O., Haque, S., Sufian, M. A., Al-Samad, K., Hossain, M. A., Talukder, T., & Shayed, A. U. (2024). AI-driven strategies for enhancing non-profit organizational impact. *Advanced International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(5). <https://doi.org/10.62127/aijmr.2024.v02i05.1088>
- Fraser, C. (2007). On Wu-Wei as a unifying metaphor. *Philosophy East and West*, 57(1), 97–106. <https://doi.org/10.1353/PEW.2007.0003>
- Gamble, E. N., & Beer, H. (2017). Spiritually informed not-for-profit performance measurement. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(3), 451–468. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-015-2682-5>
- Garhart, N., & Rowland, C. (2023). It wasn't me, it was the AI: Intellectual property and data privacy concerns with nonprofits' use of artificial intelligence systems. *Board & Administrator for Administrators Only*: 40(4), 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ban.31609>
- Gibbs, P., & McGregor, S. L. T. (2023). Conceptualizing a transdisciplinary nexus for addressing complex problems. *Futures*, 154, 103261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2023.103261>
- Gleaves, V. O. (2017). *Effective leadership and nonprofit sustainability* [Doctoral dissertation, Walden University]. <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4875&context=dissertations>

- Graves, M., & Compson, J. (2024). Compassionate AI for moral decision-making, health, and well-being. *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, 7(1), 520–533. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aies.v7i1.31655>
- Hennig, A., & Niedenführ, M. (2022). Daoism and ethics in management. In C. Neesham, M. Reihlen, & D. Schoeneborn (Eds.), *Handbook of philosophy of management* (pp. 851–861). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76606-1_14
- Héon, F. (2018). *A study of the yin and yang model of leadership for individual and collective leadership development* [PhD Thesis - Research external, graduation UT, University of Twente]. <https://doi.org/10.3990/1.9789036545235>
- Hernandez, J. P. T. (2024). Compassionate care with autonomous ai humanoid robots in future healthcare delivery: A multisensory simulation of next-generation models. *Biomimetics*, 9(11), 687. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics9110687>
- Ison, R., Allan, C., & Collins, K. (2015). Reframing water governance praxis: does reflection on metaphors have a role? *Environment and Planning C-Government and Policy*, 33(6), 1697–1713. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263774X15614466>
- Jiang, F., Wang, D., & Wei, Z. (2021). How Yin-Yang cognition affects organizational ambidexterity: The mediating role of strategic flexibility. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10490-021-09759-9>
- Joseph, S. A., Kolade, T. M., Val, O. O., Adebisi, O. O., Ogungbemi, O. S., & Olaniyi, O. O. (2024). AI-powered information governance: Balancing automation and human oversight for optimal organization productivity. *Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science*, 17(10), 110–131. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/2024/v17i10513>
- Kelsall, T. S., Palmour, J. S., Marck, R., Withers, A. J., Luongo, N., Salem, K., Sutherland, C., Veark, J., Patrick, L., Bailey, A., Boyd, J., Lawrence, Q., Fleury, M., Govorchin, A., Crompton, N., Vance, C., Edwards, B., Swaich, A., Kelsall, A., ... McDermid, J. (2023). Situating the nonprofit industrial complex. *Social Science*, 12(10), 549. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12100549>
- Kirk, T., Green, D., Stys, P., & Mosquera, T. (2023). Adaptive Programming and going with the grain: IMAGINE 's new water governance model in Goma, DRC. *Development Policy Review*, 41(4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12691>
- Klafke, R., & Didonet, S. R. (2022). The yin and yang model of value co-creation in the non-profit sector. *Business Perspectives and Research*, 12(4), 647–660. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22785337221112476>
- Lawrence, R. J. (2024). Cultivating trans-disciplinarity: Transcending and transgressing research methods. *Global Social Challenges Journal*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1332/27523349y2024d000000024>
- Lee, H.-J., & Reade, C. (2018). *The role of Yin-Yang leadership and cosmopolitan followership in fostering employee commitment in China: A paradox perspective*. 25(2), 276–298. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CCSM-12-2016-0216>
- LePere-Schloop, M., & Zook, S. (2023). *AI governance and civil society: The need for critical engagement*. In S. Lindgren (Ed.), *Handbook of critical studies of artificial intelligence* (pp. 878–889). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803928562.00087>

- Li, J., Liang, X., Qin, R., & Wang, F.-Y. (2023). From DAO to TAO: Finding the essence of decentralization. *2023 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, Honolulu, Oahu, HI, USA (pp. 4283–4288). <https://doi.org/10.1109/smc53992.2023.10394591>
- Li, P. P. (2021). The meta-perspective of Yin-Yang balancing: Salient implications for organizational management. In R. E. Bednarek, M. P. Cunha, J. Schad, & W. K. Smith (Eds.), *Interdisciplinary dialogues on organizational paradox: Learning from belief and science, Part A. Research in the sociology of organizations* (Vol. 73a, pp. 51–73). Emerald Group Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0733-558X2021000073A005>
- Liang, N., & Segalàs, J. (2024). Taoist-inspired principles for sustainability transitions: Beyond anthropocentric fixes and rethinking our relationship with nature. *Sustainability*, *16*(14), 6165. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16146165>
- Lin, P., Abney, K., & Bekey, G. A. (2012). Compassionate AI and selfless robots: A Buddhist approach. In P. Lin, K. Abney, & G. A. Bekey (Eds.), *Robot ethics: The ethical and social implications of robotics* (pp. 130-139). MIT Press.
- Malipe, B. A., Deya, J., & Odollo, L. (2024). Strategic leadership and sustainability of non-profit organizations in Narok County, Kenya. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, *2*(3), 218–233. <https://doi.org/10.61108/ijsshr.v2i3.137>
- Mananzala, R., & Spade, D. (2008). The nonprofit industrial complex and trans resistance. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, *5*(1). https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1201022
- Mason, C. (2008). *Human-level AI Requires compassionate intelligence*. <http://www-formal.stanford.edu/cmason/circulation-ws07cmason.pdf>
- Mason, C. (2015). Engineering kindness: Building a machine with compassionate intelligence. *International Journal of Synthetic Emotions*, *6*(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJSE.2015010101>
- Mason, C. (2021). *Artificial compassion—From an AI scholar*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/PREPRINTS202104.0784.V1>
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2015). Transdisciplinary knowledge creation. In P. Gibbs (Ed.), *Transdisciplinary professional learning and practice* (pp. 9–24). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11590-0_2
- McGregor, S. L. T. (2018). Philosophical underpinnings of the transdisciplinary research methodology. *Transdisciplinary Journal of Engineering & Science*, *9*. <https://doi.org/10.22545/2018/00109>
- McIndoo, L. (2017). *Nonprofit sustainability: How does departure of a founding leader impact outcomes?* [Doctoral dissertation, Walden University]. <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/dissertations/4157/>
- Meduri, K., Nadella, G. S., Gonaygunta, H., Kumar, D., Addula, S. R., Satish, S., Maturi, M. H., & Rehman, S. U. (2024). Human-centered AI for personalized workload management: A multimodal approach to preventing employee burnout. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, 6918. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i9.6918>
- Mellersh-Lucas, S. (2002). *Truth is God harm minimization through the practice of ecologically sustainable design and Buddhism*. Deakin University. Conference contribution, 313–320. <https://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30004898>

- Mendel, S. C. (2017). Workarounds in nonprofit management counter theory for best practices innovation. *Journal of Ideology*, 38(1/2), 1–13. <https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/ji/vol38/iss1/2>
- Miah, M. S., Akter, M. S., Samid, D. R., & Siam, M. T. A. (2023). AI in decision making: Transforming business strategies. *ABC Research Alert*, 11(3), 14–23. <https://doi.org/10.18034/ra.v11i3.667>
- Moore, T. R., Valmadrid, L. C. V., Baragwanath, R., & Bakken, L. L. (2023). Gatekeeping's Influence on equitable evaluation practice. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 45(1), 29–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10982140231154503>
- Nafria, J. M., & Alemany, F. S. (2011). Towards a transdisciplinary frame: bridging domains, a multidimensional approach to information. *TripleC: Communication, Capitalism & Critique. Open Access Journal for a Global Sustainable Information Society*, 9(2), 286–294. <https://doi.org/10.31269/TRIPLEC.V9I2.276>
- Nicolescu, B. (2015). How can we enter in dialogue? Transdisciplinary methodology of the dialogue between people, cultures, and spiritualities. *Dialogue and Universalism*, 25(1), 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.5840/DU20152513>
- Norkin, S., & Byström, K. (2023). Gatekeeping structures and trust development in public sector organizations. *Journal of Documentation*, 80(2), 427–445. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-09-2023-0186>
- Ntamere, C. O. (2018). Nonprofit leadership and decision making: Radical choice application for nonprofit's sustainability. In B. Thakkar (Ed.), *The future of leadership* (pp. 229–274). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73870-3_9
- Oh, O., Demiris, G., & Ulrich, C. M. (2024). The ethical dimensions of utilizing Artificial Intelligence in palliative care. *Nursing Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09697330241296874>
- Pauluzzo, R., Guarda, M., De Pretto, L., & Fang, T. (2018). *Managing paradoxes, dilemmas, and change: A case study to apply the Yin Yang wisdom in Western organizational settings. Cross Cultural & Strategic Management*, 25(2), 257–275. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CCSM-08-2017-0094>
- Peltonen, T. (2019). Transcendence, consciousness and order: Towards a philosophical spirituality of organization in the footsteps of Plato and Eric Voegelin. *Philosophy of Management*, 18(3), 231–247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40926-018-00105-6>
- Rabell, M. B., & Bastons, M. (2020). Spirituality as reinforcement of people-focused work: A philosophical foundation. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 17(5), 403–418. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2020.1764380>
- Rošker, J. S. (2023). Daoist eco-humanism and the authenticity of natural life beyond deontological ethics. *Asian Studies*, 11(2), 247–259. <https://doi.org/10.4312/as.2023.11.2.247-259>
- Saha, G. C., Menon, R., Paulin, M. S., Yerasuri, S., Saha, H., & Dongol, P. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on business strategy and decision-making processes. *European Economic Letters (EEL)*, 13(3), 926–934. <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v13i3.386>
- Sharma, D., Sahoo, S., Kumar, A., Huisingh, D., & Sharma, D. (2023). Corporate Nirvana: The Buddhist way to social sustainability and business innovation. *Business Strategy and The Environment*, 32(8), 5289–5313. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3417>

- Spade, D. (2008). The nonprofit industrial complex and trans resistance. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 5(1), 53–71. <https://doi.org/10.1525/SRSP.2008.5.1.53>
- Stoner, T. E., & Sullivan, M. P. (2009). Perpetuating inequality: the United States since the 1970s and the role of the nonprofit industrial complex. *TCNJ Journal of Student Scholarship*, XI. <https://joss.tcnj.edu>
- Sträter, N. (2022). Transdisciplinarity theory through Plato philosophy, Taoism, alchemical tradition and Jungian psychology. *Transdisciplinary Journal of Engineering & Science*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.22545/2022/00162>
- Tabesh, P. (2021). Who's making the decisions? How managers can harness artificial intelligence and remain in charge. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 3(6), pp. 373–380. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-05-2021-0090>
- Vaughan, S. K., & Arsneault, S. (2008). Not-for-profit advocacy: Challenging policy images and pursuing policy change. *Review of Policy Research*, 25(5), 411–428. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1541-1338.2008.00344.X>
- Vinnik, M. O., Poltoratsky, M., Mandich, T. M., & Connov, O. V. (2024). *AI-powered marketing automation for non-governmental organization management*. <https://doi.org/10.32999/ksu2307-8030/2024-52-8>
- Westover, J. (2024). How to collaborate without burning out. *Human Capital Leadership Review*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.70175/hclreview.2020.12.1.8>
- Wiepking, P., & de Wit, A. (2023). Unrestricted funding and nonprofit capacities: Developing a conceptual model. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 34(4), 801-824. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21592>
- Xing, Y., & Sims, D. (2012). Leadership, Daoist Wu Wei and reflexivity: Flow, self-protection and excuse in Chinese bank managers' leadership practice. *Management Learning*, 43(1), 97–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507611409659>
- Zhu, J. (2022). Factors contributing to continuous growth in founder-led non-profit startups. *Journal of Advanced Research in Leadership*, 1(2), 18–27. <https://doi.org/10.33422/jarl.v1i2.250>
- Zhu, Y. (2025). Radical innovation in leveraging AI through Founder Mode: Scaling social impact in Solo-Founder AI-Driven Nonprofits Mode (SFADNM), 2(1),17-36 <https://doi.org/10.33422/imeconf.v2i1.845>
- Zu, L. (2023). Managing people with compassion: Social responsibility. In *Responsible management and Taoism, Vol. 1* (pp. 149-161). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80262-789-320231012>