



Anonymous Intimacy in the Digital Age: Psychological Mechanisms, Risks, and Potential

Yujia Zhu

Sofia University, USA

Abstract

The rise of anonymous online interactions is reshaping interpersonal dynamics, particularly in the realm of digital intimacy. This paper explores the psychological underpinnings of anonymous chatting and its impact on intimacy, emotional self-disclosure, and trust. Drawing from social penetration theory and the online disinhibition effect, the study examines how anonymity fosters deep self-revelation while also posing risks such as deception, emotional dependency, and digital addiction. Using a systematic literature review approach, this study synthesizes peer-reviewed research across psychology, communication studies, and technology ethics, categorizing findings into key themes such as trust formation, shared vulnerability, and the role of AI-driven chatbots in facilitating or hindering meaningful connections. Ethical concerns surrounding catfishing, cyberbullying, and misinformation are critically analyzed, alongside potential therapeutic applications including anonymous support groups and text-based crisis interventions. By integrating diverse academic perspectives, this review provides a comprehensive understanding of the paradox of anonymous intimacy, offering both freedom and vulnerability, while emphasizing the need for responsible technological advancements that balance privacy with accountability in digital interactions.

Keywords: anonymous chatting, digital intimacy, self-disclosure, trust and vulnerability, AI chatbots and virtual companionship

1. Introduction

The rise of anonymous online interactions and the increasing prevalence of digital intimacy are reshaping interpersonal dynamics in profound ways. Digital intimacy, as explored by Massimiano Bucchi, emphasizes the human aspect of technology use, highlighting how individuals adapt and integrate technological novelties into their intimate lives (Bucchi, 2024). Artur Szarecki's examination of Autonomous Sensory Meridian Response (ASMR) and its integration into music illustrates a form of posthuman intimacy, where digital networks facilitate impersonal yet affective connections, transcending traditional subject-to-subject interactions (Szarecki, 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated issues of online sexual abuse, particularly among youth, as digital spaces become central to communication and identity formation, leading to increased online harassment and necessitating new digital safety initiatives (Ging et al., 2023). In the Global South, digital technologies are significantly influencing young people's intimate relationships, with practices like sexting and video calls enhancing connections but also perpetuating gendered power dynamics (Bhana et al., 2024). The anonymity afforded by digital platforms, such as Twitter, has been linked to increased cyber sexual harassment, as anonymity can embolden users to engage in harmful behaviors (Rosemary et al., 2024). Remote monitoring in healthcare settings can foster digital intimacy between patients and providers, enhancing communication and familiarity beyond traditional face-to-face interactions (Piras & Miele, 2019). The internet's impact on romantic relationships is evident in the shift towards online dating, which has become a dominant method for partner-seeking, offering both opportunities and challenges in maintaining connections (Wen, 2024). Additionally, digital sexual interactions, categorized into interactions through, via, and with digital technologies, present both opportunities and risks for sexual health, necessitating a nuanced understanding of their implications (Döring et al., 2021). Finally, the narratives of transnational families using social media to maintain long-distance intimacy highlight how digital platforms mediate cultural and emotional connections across borders (Alinejad & Candidatu, 2022). Collectively, these studies highlight the complex interplay between anonymity, digital intimacy, and the evolving landscape of interpersonal relationships in the digital age.

This paper seeks to explore the following research questions:

1. In what ways does anonymity influence emotional self-disclosure?
2. To what extent can deep intimacy develop through anonymous communication?
3. What psychological factors facilitate or impede intimacy in anonymous interactions?

The significance of studying anonymous intimacy in the digital era lies in understanding the complex interplay of benefits and risks associated with technology-mediated sexual and intimate practices. Digital technologies, such as smartphones, social media, and dating apps, have revolutionized how individuals form and maintain intimate relationships, offering benefits like enhanced sexual gratification, emotional connection, and access to sexual education (Moor et al., 2023; Power et al., 2022). For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, virtual sex work (VSW) emerged as a harm reduction strategy, limiting exposure to STIs and COVID-19; however, it also highlighted significant privacy risks, such as nonconsensual distribution of intimate images (Friend, 2023). Anonymity in digital interactions can increase self-disclosure, allowing individuals to share intimate content more freely, yet it also poses risks of privacy breaches and nonconsensual exposure (Ma et al., 2016). The prevalence of digital intimacy practices, such as sexting and the use of dating apps, emphasizes the need for a nuanced understanding of these interactions, particularly as they relate to gender dynamics and power imbalances, which can curtail the online activities of marginalized groups (Bhana et al., 2024). Moreover, the risks associated with digital intimacy are more pronounced for sex

workers, who face threats like content theft and platform hostility, necessitating research into cryptographic and systems-security solutions to enhance safety and privacy (Hamilton et al., 2024). The persistence of digital intimacy practices post-pandemic further emphasizes the need for policies and educational initiatives that support safe and informed engagement in digital sexual activities, ensuring that the benefits of technology are maximized while minimizing potential harms (Iglesias et al., 2023). Overall, a comprehensive approach that considers both the positive and negative aspects of digital intimacy is crucial for fostering a safe and empowering digital environment for all users (Power et al., 2022).

2. Methodology

This literature review adopts a systematic and integrative approach to exploring the psychological mechanisms, risks, and potential of anonymous intimacy in the digital age. Given the interdisciplinary nature of the topic, which intersects psychology, communication studies, and technology ethics, the review synthesizes empirical and theoretical studies to provide a multidimensional understanding. By drawing from established research on digital intimacy, self-disclosure, trust, and online disinhibition, this study is structured around key psychological frameworks such as social penetration theory, the online disinhibition effect, and attachment theory. These frameworks help to analyze how anonymity influences interpersonal relationships and the potential ethical concerns associated with anonymous digital interactions.

The literature search was conducted systematically across multiple academic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, PsycINFO, ScienceDirect, and IEEE Xplore. A combination of relevant keywords and Boolean search operators ensured a comprehensive retrieval of peer-reviewed sources. Keywords such as “anonymous chatting,” “digital intimacy,” “self-disclosure online,” “online disinhibition effect,” “trust and vulnerability in digital communication,” “AI chatbots and virtual companionship,” “cybersecurity and privacy in online interactions,” “catfishing and online deception,” “emotional dependency and digital addiction,” and “therapeutic applications of anonymity” were strategically used to refine search results. The inclusion timeframe was set to cover publications from the past two decades (2004–2024), ensuring a balance between foundational theories and recent advancements in the field.

To maintain academic rigor and relevance, strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Studies considered for inclusion were limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and academic book chapters that explored digital intimacy, online anonymity, trust formation, and associated psychological risks. Research specifically examining AI-driven chatbots in anonymous intimacy was also prioritized. In contrast, non-academic sources such as blog posts, opinion pieces, and non-peer-reviewed articles were excluded. Additionally, studies solely focused on online harassment or cybersecurity without clear relevance to digital intimacy were omitted, as were those addressing anonymity in non-digital contexts unless they offered insights applicable to the digital realm.

Following the selection process, a thematic analysis was employed to categorize and synthesize findings. The literature was divided into key thematic areas, including psychological mechanisms of anonymous intimacy, which explored self-disclosure, vulnerability, and trust formation; risks associated with digital anonymity, which examined deception, cyberbullying, emotional dependency, and digital addiction; the role of AI and chatbots in intimacy, focusing on human–chatbot interactions and their psychological implications; and therapeutic applications of anonymity, assessing how anonymous platforms facilitate emotional support and mental health interventions. Each study was systematically evaluated based on research methodology, sample size, theoretical framework, and key findings, allowing for a comparative analysis to identify patterns and discrepancies across different sources.

Ethical considerations were carefully addressed despite this being a literature-based study rather than an empirical one involving human participants. The review ensured that all included research adhered to ethical standards, particularly studies involving human subjects. Furthermore, discussions on digital privacy and online ethics were critically analyzed to highlight concerns surrounding trust, anonymity, and deception in digital interactions. The ethical implications of AI-driven intimacy and chatbot companionship were also examined, particularly in relation to user emotional dependency and data privacy concerns.

While this literature review offers a comprehensive analysis, certain limitations must be acknowledged. First, the reliance on English-language publications introduces a potential language bias, as non-English studies that may provide valuable insights were not included. Second, the rapidly evolving nature of digital technology presents a challenge in capturing emerging trends that may not yet be extensively documented in academic literature. Additionally, despite leveraging established psychological theories, this study does not offer primary data or experimental validation, which limits its ability to provide conclusive empirical evidence. However, by integrating a broad range of scholarly perspectives, this review lays a strong foundation for future research, highlighting critical areas that require further exploration.

Overall, this methodology ensures a rigorous, systematic, and interdisciplinary approach to understanding anonymous intimacy in the digital age. By synthesizing research across psychology, communication, and technology ethics, this study provides a well-rounded perspective on how anonymity influences digital relationships, both positively and negatively. The findings will contribute to ongoing discussions on balancing privacy, trust, and ethical considerations in the design and use of anonymous online platforms. Furthermore, this review underscores the need for continued research in areas such as AI-driven companionship, digital privacy policies, and the long-term psychological effects of engaging in anonymous intimacy.

3. Psychological Foundations of Anonymity and Self-Disclosure

Social Penetration Theory (SPT), as proposed by Altman and Taylor in 1973, posits that self-disclosure is a key mechanism in the development of interpersonal relationships, progressing from superficial to intimate levels through increased breadth and depth of shared information. Anonymity in online environments, such as social networking sites (SNSs), can lower barriers to self-disclosure by reducing perceived risks and enhancing privacy, thus facilitating deeper relational development. The dynamic nature of online communication platforms has significantly altered the application of SPT, as users navigate the balance between privacy and openness in digital interactions (Low et al., 2022; Pennington, 2015). Anonymity allows individuals to disclose personal information more freely, as seen in the behavior of bloggers who vary their self-disclosure based on the audience, revealing more to close friends than to online audiences (Tang & Wang, 2012). This phenomenon is further supported by the use of SNSs like Facebook, where users can control their privacy settings to manage the level of self-disclosure, thereby evolving the traditional understanding of SPT in the context of digital communication (McCarthy, 2009). The anonymity provided by these platforms can lead to increased self-disclosure, as users feel less vulnerable to judgment or negative consequences; this fosters relational closeness and satisfaction, which are central to the theory (Carpenter & Greene, 2015; Pennington, 2015). Overall, anonymity in online settings can significantly lower barriers to self-disclosure, aligning with the core principles of SPT by facilitating deeper and more meaningful connections in the digital age.

The Online Disinhibition Effect, as conceptualized by Suler (2004), manifests in both benign and toxic forms, influencing online behavior in diverse ways. Benign disinhibition encourages honesty and openness, as evidenced by Kahlou's (2024) study, which found that anonymity on platforms like Reddit facilitates deeper self-disclosure among individuals

discussing ADHD, compared to more public platforms like Facebook. Conversely, toxic disinhibition can lead to harmful behaviors, such as cyber incivility and deception. Gumelar et al. (2024) highlighted the role of moral disengagement in mediating the relationship between online disinhibition and cyber incivility, suggesting that reduced inhibitions online can foster uncivil behavior. This is further supported by Pandita et al. (2024), who identified disinhibition, along with disembodiment and limited accountability, as key contributors to online toxicity. Ramadhani and Merida's (2024) research underscores the negative correlation between self-control and toxic online disinhibition among teenagers, suggesting that enhancing self-regulatory skills could mitigate such behaviors. Additionally, Meriläinen and Ruotsalainen (2024) introduced the concept of banal toxicity in online gaming, where routine negative conduct, driven by disinhibition and cultural norms, contributes to a pervasive negative social environment. Collectively, these studies illustrate the dual nature of online disinhibition, highlighting its potential to both enhance and degrade online interactions, depending on the context and individual factors involved.

Attachment theory, as initially proposed by John Bowlby, provides a framework for understanding how early interactions with caregivers shape attachment styles, which in turn influence interpersonal relationships throughout life, including in anonymous settings. The theory categorizes attachment styles into secure, anxious-ambivalent, avoidant, and disorganized, each impacting emotional and social interactions differently (Rodrigues et al., 2024; Wright & Kong, 2023). Secure attachment, characterized by a positive self-image and comfort with intimacy, facilitates open communication and trust, which can enhance interactions even in anonymous settings by fostering a sense of security and openness (Rodrigues et al., 2024). Conversely, individuals with anxious attachment may experience heightened anxiety and insecurity, leading to a need for reassurance and validation; this can manifest as over-sharing or seeking approval in anonymous interactions (Rodrigues et al., 2024). Avoidant attachment styles, marked by discomfort with closeness and a preference for independence, may lead to detached or superficial interactions in anonymous settings, as these individuals might prioritize self-reliance over emotional connection (Rodrigues et al., 2024). Disorganized attachment, associated with difficulties in emotional regulation, can result in unpredictable and inconsistent interactions, potentially complicating anonymous engagements where clear communication is crucial (Rodrigues et al., 2024). The neurobiological underpinnings of these attachment styles, as explored through various methodologies, further elucidate how these early-formed patterns influence behavior and decision-making in diverse contexts, including anonymous environments (Strathearn, 2023). Understanding these dynamics is essential for developing therapeutic interventions that can modify maladaptive attachment patterns, thereby improving interpersonal interactions across different settings (Solomon, 2024; Strathearn, 2023).

Engaging in anonymous interactions can have significant psychological implications, since anonymity often alters the dynamics of social behavior and identity. Anonymity can enhance the objectivity of self-reported measures, as seen in studies where adolescents reported depressive symptoms more candidly when anonymous, suggesting reduced social desirability bias and increased honesty in self-disclosure (Merino-Soto et al., 2022). However, anonymity can also negatively impact psychological well-being, particularly in the context of social media. It has been found to moderate the relationship between the Fear of Missing Out (FoMO) and psychological well-being, where individuals with anonymous accounts experience a stronger negative impact of FoMO on their well-being (Bıçaksız & Tekeş, 2023). Furthermore, anonymity can lead to a reduction in the influence of social norms, resulting in more unfair or socially undesirable behaviors, as demonstrated in experiments where anonymous environments led to less compliance with social norms (Krysowski & Tremewan, 2021).

Despite these tendencies, anonymity does not always exacerbate negative behaviors such as victim-blaming in body-shaming scenarios, possibly due to the social undesirability of such actions and the limited interactivity in experimental settings (Kvardová et al., 2024). Additionally, anonymity can influence social identity formation, as informal and anonymous interactions may reinforce the “friend/foe” dichotomy, shaping group identities and perceptions of in-group versus out-group members (Levicheva & Dimans, 2023). Overall, while anonymity can provide a safe space for honest expression, it also poses risks by diminishing social accountability and altering identity dynamics.

4. Intimacy in Anonymous Chatting

Anonymity plays a significant role in fostering emotional intimacy by encouraging self-disclosure, which is a key component of emotional closeness. In social media settings, anonymity increases the baseline of self-disclosure, allowing individuals to share more intimate content without the fear of judgment or repercussions, thus fostering emotional intimacy even in the absence of physical presence (Ma et al., 2016). This is particularly relevant in contexts where physical separation is inevitable, such as long-distance relationships, where technology-mediated communication becomes a substitute for physical presence. Technologies like video calls and other digital communication tools help maintain emotional connections by creating a sense of presence despite physical absence (Congleton, 2022). However, the lack of physical presence can limit the depth of intimacy experienced, as physical touch and shared physical experiences are integral to complete intimacy (Oktay, 2024). For sexual minority men, emotional intimacy is cultivated through vulnerability, empathy, and trust; these can be enhanced through digital communication but still lack the physical dimension that in-person interactions provide (Fernandez et al., 2024). Embodied conversational agents (ECAs) also illustrate how digital interactions can foster emotional closeness through rapport-building behaviors, although they cannot fully replicate the physical aspects of human interaction (Loveys et al., 2022). Thus, while anonymity and digital communication can enhance emotional intimacy by facilitating self-disclosure and maintaining connections, they inherently lack the physical presence that is crucial for a holistic intimate experience.

Shared vulnerability in text-based interactions can significantly deepen intimacy by fostering cognitive and emotional investment, as evidenced by various studies. Vulnerability is a crucial component of meaningful intimacy; it allows individuals to express their true selves, thereby reducing the fear of judgment and enhancing self-worth, which are foundational to intimate relationships (Gunsallus, 2022). In therapeutic settings such as emotion-focused couple therapy, interventions that promote vulnerability sharing (e.g., setting a meaningful systemic context and preparing partners for enactment) have been shown to increase expressions of vulnerability, thereby deepening emotional connections (Kula et al., 2023). The interaction of emotion and cognition plays a vital role in processing textual material, where emotional appraisal can influence cognitive processing. Positive emotions can enhance cognitive resources, thereby facilitating deeper engagement and understanding in text-based interactions (Davou, 2007). Furthermore, the development of vulnerable self-disclosure in adolescent friendships lays the groundwork for emotional intimacy in adult relationships. Adolescents who experience high levels of self-disclosure with friends tend to carry this practice into their romantic relationships, suggesting that early experiences of shared vulnerability can have long-lasting effects on intimacy (Costello et al., 2024). These findings collectively highlight that shared vulnerability in text-based interactions can enhance intimacy by engaging both cognitive and emotional processes, fostering a deeper connection between individuals.

The construction of idealized versions of chat partners by users involves a complex interplay of imagination and projection, as explored across various academic contexts. Imagination plays a crucial role in empathy, allowing individuals to visualize themselves in another's situation, which can lead to the creation of idealized perceptions of others (Bock, 2023). This imaginative process is further enhanced by the construction of virtual human representations, helping users to refine their mental models of conversational partners. By interacting with these virtual representations, users can receive feedback that reduces the gap between their imagined and the actual qualities of their partners, thus improving interpersonal skills (Halan et al., 2012). The concept of projection, as discussed in philosophical and communicative contexts, involves the extension of one's own attributes or desires onto others, which can lead to idealized perceptions. This projection is integral to the creation of social knowledge, as it influences how individuals perceive and interact with others in communicative settings (Smith, 1990). Additionally, the role of imagination in creative action, as theorized by Schutz, suggests that imagination and projection are not only central to artistic endeavors but also to everyday social interactions, where they contribute to the construction of idealized versions of others (Knoblauch, 2014). Together, these insights highlight the multifaceted nature of imagination and projection in shaping how users perceive and idealize their chat partners.

The paradox of trusting a stranger while maintaining anonymity presents a complex challenge in trust formation. Trust among strangers, as investigated through multistage trust games, reveals that while individuals exhibit some degree of trust, the majority tend to be untrustworthy, often claiming the entire social gain for themselves. This behavior is influenced by the lack of future interactions, which typically encourage trust, and the uncertainty of the trustee's intentions, which affects trustworthiness (Ho & Weigelt, 2004, 2005). The trust paradox is further articulated in economic inquiries, where trust is examined in the context of the prisoner's dilemma. Here, trust is paradoxical because if it is based on incentives for trustworthiness, the inherent vulnerability that defines trust is negated. This paradox highlights the dual nature of trust: one level where incentives exist and another where they do not, complicating the trust-building process (James, 2001; 2002). Anonymity adds another layer of complexity, as trust negotiations require proving properties without revealing identities. Anonymization techniques can transform disclosure sets to preserve anonymity, but this may lead to trust negotiation failures if the transformed sets do not meet original disclosure policies. To mitigate this, property-based policies are suggested, allowing for multiple disclosure policies that increase the chances of successful trust negotiations while maintaining anonymity (Ray et al., 2005). Thus, the interplay between trust, anonymity, and incentives creates a multifaceted challenge in forming trust among strangers.

5. The Role of Technology in Facilitating or Hindering Intimacy

Anonymous chat apps and platforms, such as Reddit, Soul, Omegle, and AI-driven chatbots like FASSLING, play a significant role in facilitating private and secure communication. Reddit, a popular platform, allows users to engage in discussions and share content anonymously, fostering open dialogue without revealing personal identities. Soul App is a social networking platform designed to foster anonymous yet emotionally engaging conversations and sharing moments of life. Omegle, on the other hand, connects users randomly for anonymous text or video chats, emphasizing spontaneity and anonymity in interactions. AI-driven chatbots are increasingly utilized across various industries for customer service and assistance, leveraging deep learning to understand and respond to user inquiries effectively (Maher et al., 2022). These chatbots, while not inherently anonymous, can be integrated into platforms to provide anonymous interactions by not storing user data or requiring personal information. The systematic review by Haraty et al. (2017) highlights the importance of anonymity in communication systems, discussing technologies like TOR and

I2P that ensure user privacy by concealing identities and encrypting data. These systems are crucial for maintaining anonymity in digital communications, offering different levels of latency and architectural models to suit various privacy needs. Together, these platforms and technologies illustrate the diverse landscape of anonymous communication, balancing user privacy with functionality and engagement.

The formation of intimate relationships with AI-driven chatbots is a multifaceted phenomenon influenced by technological advancements and human emotional needs. As AI technology, particularly affective computing and generative AI, evolves, chatbots are increasingly used as virtual companions to provide emotional support and alleviate loneliness, despite their lack of genuine emotional resonance (Wu, 2024). Studies have shown a direct correlation between the length of chatbot interactions and feelings of loneliness, with social attraction and neuroticism acting as moderators in these relationships (Liu et al., 2024). Users engage in various types of interactions with AI, such as intimate behavior, self-disclosure, and customization, which can evoke a range of emotions from bittersweetness to fear, especially when AI exhibits human-like qualities (Li & Zhang, 2024). Customization enhances user experiences by allowing individuals to tailor interactions to their preferences, thereby fostering a sense of companionship (Li & Zhang, 2024). Furthermore, AI-driven mental health companions utilize natural language processing and machine learning to offer personalized support, mood tracking, and coping strategies, which can significantly impact emotional well-being and expand access to mental health resources (Fegade et al., 2024). However, these relationships also pose challenges, such as the risk of emotional dependency and privacy concerns, highlighting the need for further exploration of human-AI intimacy from diverse perspectives (Wu, 2024). Overall, while AI companions can complement human connections and provide emotional support, they also raise important questions about the authenticity and security of these interactions.

The paradox of connection and isolation in the context of anonymous digital intimacy is a multifaceted issue that reflects the complex interplay between technology and human relationships. Despite the digital age's promise of enhanced connectivity, individuals often experience a paradoxical sense of isolation and emotional distance. This phenomenon, termed "digital loneliness," is particularly pronounced among vulnerable populations such as older adults and people with disabilities, who face unique challenges in navigating digital spaces (Singha & Singha, 2024). The therapeutic relationship, too, is impacted by technology, as the shift to digital platforms like Zoom during the pandemic has both facilitated and hindered human connection. While technology offers unprecedented opportunities for connection, it simultaneously weakens the depth of unconscious, person-to-person communication, creating a tension between the need for connection and autonomy (Winograd, 2022). This tension is further explored in online communities like r/NoSurf, where individuals discuss the paradox of digital disconnection, highlighting the ambivalent relationships people have with digital media and the complex ways in which disconnection can support digital well-being (Parry et al., 2024). In the realm of digital dating, particularly for gay and bisexual men, technology facilitates social and sexual intimacy but also contributes to a sense of disconnected connectedness, where the abundance of virtual interactions fails to satisfy the need for genuine, live communication (Goldenberg, 2019). This paradox is emblematic of the broader societal shift towards a "society of universal communication," where the proliferation of digital interactions paradoxically leads to increased feelings of loneliness and a longing for real, interpersonal connections (Solovieva, 2023).

6. Ethical and Psychological Risks of Anonymous Intimacy

Catfishing, a form of online deception where individuals create fabricated identities, poses significant dangers, particularly in the realm of online relationships. The motivations behind catfishing are diverse, including entertainment, emulating an ideal self, seeking meaningful interaction, and financial gain (Ryan & Taylor, 2024). This deceptive practice is often employed in romantic scams, exploiting the digital space's unique tools to create alternative forms of intimacy (Dey, 2022). The psychological impact on victims is profound, with emotions such as suspicion, love, depression, anger, embarrassment, and feelings of stupidity being commonly reported (Ryan & Taylor, 2024). In the context of online dating, the lack of identity verification facilitates catfishing, leading to unmet expectations and potential psychological harm for victims (Pramudiarja et al., 2023). Despite the prevalence of catfishing, awareness and vigilance among users remain varied, with many relying on social media platforms to implement protective measures rather than taking personal responsibility (Taylor et al., 2024). The phenomenon is further complicated by the broader context of digital literacy and media discourse, which highlights the increasing societal impact of catfishing as internet and social media usage grows (José & Barbas, 2020). As such, catfishing not only challenges personal relationships but also emphasizes the need for enhanced digital literacy and protective measures in online interactions.

Emotional dependence and digital addiction are intricately linked, particularly when anonymous chatting becomes a coping mechanism. The study of an Italian self-help virtual community for cyberporn dependents highlights how anonymous sharing fosters a supportive environment by providing emotional involvement and care for participants, akin to encounter group strategies used in other addiction treatments (Cavaglioni, 2008). This aligns with findings that emotional dependency, particularly in adolescents, correlates with internet and mobile abuse, leading to psychological consequences such as anxiety, depression, and low self-esteem (Estévez et al., 2017). The emotional dependency inventory developed in Lima, Peru further underscores the importance of measuring emotional dependency, which is a significant predictor of digital addiction (Aiquipa, 2014). Additionally, research on youth coping strategies reveals that internet addiction negatively impacts the development of positive coping strategies; this suggests that reliance on digital interactions can hinder effective self-regulation and adaptation to life's challenges (Berezovskaya et al., 2019). Collectively, these studies illustrate that while anonymous digital interactions can provide temporary emotional relief, they often exacerbate emotional dependency and digital addiction, necessitating comprehensive support systems to address these intertwined issues.

Ghosting, characterized by the sudden and unexplained termination of communication, has significant psychological impacts on individuals across various contexts, including personal, professional, and legal domains. In digital communications, ghosting disrupts effective communication strategies, leading to decreased psychological safety and adversely affecting well-being, resilience, and satisfaction; this is particularly true in academic settings like pharmacy schools, where it can impact student performance (Brazeau et al., 2024). In online dating, such as on Tinder, ghosting is a normalized yet undesirable strategy used to manage information overload and protect oneself from vulnerability, reflecting the challenges of maintaining authentic connections in hyperconnected environments (Šiša, 2024). In legal contexts, ghosting can facilitate the abrupt termination of long-term relationships, such as marriages, through inadequate legal procedures and psychological assessments, leading to severe psychological consequences for those excluded from family bonds (Delucchi, 2023). The motivations behind ghosting include avoiding attachment, conflict, and relationship dissatisfaction, highlighting the complex interplay of personal and situational factors that drive this behavior (Widianti & Hasani, 2024). Collectively, these studies emphasize the profound

emotional and psychological toll of ghosting, emphasizing the need for improved communication strategies and legal procedures to mitigate its negative effects.

Addressing issues like grooming, cyberbullying, and misinformation in the digital age requires a multifaceted approach that combines legal, technological, and educational strategies. Online grooming, a significant threat to minors, involves deceptive relationships developed through digital communication to exploit vulnerabilities, as highlighted by Broome et al. (2024), who emphasized the importance of understanding the linguistic and deceptive characteristics of such interactions. Malaysia's legal framework and enforcement measures against online grooming were scrutinized by Kamarudin et al. (2024), who stressed the need for robust legislation and international cooperation to protect minors effectively. Technological advancements, such as the semantic-based chaotic system proposed by Farag et al. (2023), offer promising solutions for detecting and classifying cyber-grooming and harassment, achieving high accuracy in identifying predatory behavior. Cyberbullying, another pervasive issue, poses severe psychological risks, including anxiety and depression, particularly among children and adolescents. Furthermore, Marinos et al. (2011) highlighted the role of interdisciplinary expert groups in assessing risks related to internet usage, emphasizing the importance of awareness and non-technical recommendations to mitigate the misuse of data and protect minors from cyberbullying and grooming. Collectively, these studies emphasize the critical need for comprehensive strategies that integrate legal, technological, and educational efforts to create safer online environments and address the complex issues of grooming, cyberbullying, and misinformation.

7. Therapeutic and Positive Applications of Anonymous Intimacy

Anonymity in online support groups plays a crucial role in facilitating emotional support and fostering healing, particularly in mental health contexts. The concept of anonymity allows individuals to seek help without the fear of stigma or judgment, which is especially important in sensitive areas such as mental health recovery and suicide prevention. For instance, in online recovery communities, anonymity helps users feel more comfortable sharing personal experiences and seeking support, as it aligns with the 12-Step values that emphasize confidentiality and personal privacy (Zent, 2023). Similarly, platforms like Kooth, which provide anonymous text-based mental health support, have been shown to create a safe space for young people at risk of suicide, allowing them to control their therapeutic conversations and build connections without the fear of being identified (Leece et al., 2023). The SolaceNet platform further emphasizes the importance of anonymity by ensuring user confidentiality, thereby improving accessibility to mental health support and creating a supportive environment for users (Sri et al., 2024). Moreover, the systematic review of virtual communities highlights that while peer-to-peer interactions can be beneficial, the effectiveness of these communities often depends on the presence of structured interventions and professional involvement (Eysenbach et al., 2004). The myCare application exemplifies how anonymity and privacy can be technologically ensured, offering pseudonymous logins and moderated message boards to protect user identities while facilitating communication with trained counselors (Leung, 2002). Collectively, these studies illustrate that anonymity in online support groups not only reduces barriers to accessing mental health services but also enhances the therapeutic process by allowing individuals to engage more openly and honestly in their healing journey.

Text-based therapy and crisis support services, particularly those utilizing AI-based chatbots, have shown promising results in addressing mental health issues such as depression and anxiety. AI chatbots provide a cost-effective and accessible means of therapy, overcoming geographical and time constraints, and have demonstrated significant short-term improvements in depressive and anxiety symptoms, although these effects may not persist long-term (Zhong

et al., 2024). The use of transformer-based models in chatbots has enhanced their conversational quality, making them effective tools for mental health support by facilitating both single-turn and multi-turn dialogues (Dharrao & Gite, 2024). Text-based crisis counseling, particularly for young people, benefits from the online disinhibition effect, allowing users to disclose issues more freely and engage in counseling at their own pace, although sessions tend to be longer than voice calls (Nesmith, 2023). Furthermore, services like krisenchat have been effective in encouraging further help-seeking among young users, with a significant portion following through on recommendations to seek additional support from mental health professionals (Baldofski et al., 2023). AI chatbots, employing techniques such as sentiment analysis and emotion recognition, provide a secure environment for users to express their emotions and receive tailored advice, thereby enhancing the therapeutic experience and directing users to appropriate resources (Asha et al., 2024). Overall, text-based therapy and crisis support services, particularly those enhanced by AI, offer a valuable supplement to traditional mental health care, improving accessibility and engagement for diverse populations.

Controlled anonymous interactions can significantly aid in emotional processing by providing a safe space for individuals to express their emotions without fear of judgment or repercussions. This environment allows for honest self-reflection and emotional release, which are crucial for developing resilience. The concept of solitude, as discussed in the context of resilience, highlights the importance of having a space to engage in cognitive processing and problem-solving, which can be facilitated through anonymous interactions. Such interactions can mimic the benefits of solitude by allowing individuals to focus on their emotions and challenges without external distractions, thereby enhancing their ability to develop effective coping strategies (Haraz & Simion, 2023). Furthermore, the integration of empathetic artificial intelligence (EAI) in anonymous platforms can enhance this process by detecting key affective states and suggesting emotion regulation strategies. This approach, as explored in the context of gaming, can be adapted to anonymous interactions to provide real-time support and intervention, helping individuals modulate their emotions and build resilience (Habibi et al., 2023). While the papers do not directly address anonymous conversations, the principles of solitude and empathetic AI suggest that controlled anonymous interactions can offer a unique blend of solitude's cognitive benefits and AI's supportive interventions, fostering emotional resilience in a balanced manner.

8. Future Directions and Conclusion

This article presents a rich analysis of anonymous intimacy but leaves several areas unexplored. One significant gap is the long-term psychological and relational effects of anonymous intimacy. While much has been written about immediate self-disclosure and emotional connectivity, little is known about how prolonged engagement in anonymous relationships impacts emotional resilience, interpersonal trust, and attachment patterns in real-life relationships. Additionally, the intersection of anonymity and cultural differences remains underexplored. Cultural norms around intimacy, emotional disclosure, and digital interaction vary widely, yet research on how these cultural frameworks shape anonymous intimacy is limited. Given the review's call for greater attention to cultural influences, future research should incorporate cross-cultural designs to explore how different cultural norms surrounding intimacy, emotional expression, and technology use mediate the experience of anonymous digital interactions. Surveys and interviews across diverse populations could uncover culturally specific patterns in anonymous self-disclosure and help build culturally responsive ethical guidelines for AI-driven platforms.

Another overlooked area is the ethical implications of AI-driven intimacy. As chatbots and AI companions become more sophisticated, it remains unclear how these technologies affect

human emotional development, particularly in individuals who rely on them as primary sources of intimate interaction. Could AI-driven intimacy serve as a substitute for human relationships, or does it merely exacerbate emotional isolation? Moreover, underexplored area highlighted in the review is how neurodivergent individuals, such as those with ASD or social anxiety, engage differently with anonymous digital intimacy. Experimental and survey-based studies could investigate whether anonymous platforms offer safer spaces for these individuals to practice social communication or, conversely, exacerbate social withdrawal. Research tools tailored to neurodivergent populations would be critical in producing inclusive and representative insights.

Technology can facilitate safer and more meaningful anonymous intimacy by integrating advanced privacy-preserving measures and ethical AI development. End-to-end encryption, decentralized identity management, and zero-knowledge proofs can enhance security and ensure that users maintain control over their digital footprints while engaging in intimate anonymous exchanges. Additionally, AI-driven moderation systems can detect and prevent harmful interactions without compromising user anonymity.

Beyond safety, improving the quality of anonymous intimacy requires fostering deeper engagement through emotionally intelligent AI and context-aware communication tools. For instance, AI chatbots designed for emotional support should be able to recognize distress signals and provide real-time therapeutic interventions or recommendations for professional support. Moreover, emerging technologies such as virtual reality (VR) could introduce embodied interactions that make anonymous digital intimacy feel more immersive and emotionally fulfilling without exposing personal identities. A key aspect of improving anonymous intimacy is balancing anonymity with accountability. Current anonymous platforms struggle with trust-related issues due to a lack of verification mechanisms. Technology could introduce pseudonymous reputation systems, where users build credibility over time based on positive interactions while maintaining anonymity. This could encourage safer and more genuine connections without the risks of deception or catfishing.

Anonymous intimacy offers a paradoxical mix of freedom and vulnerability. It enables uninhibited self-disclosure, emotional release, and meaningful connections untainted by prejudice. However, it also introduces risks such as deception, emotional dependency, and diminished real-world social skills. The challenge lies in designing digital spaces that preserve the liberating aspects of anonymity while mitigating its dangers. The balance between connection and isolation is especially pertinent in the age of AI-driven companionship. While anonymous chatbots and virtual companions can provide solace, they should complement—not replace—human relationships. Ethical considerations must guide the development of these technologies to ensure they support genuine emotional well-being rather than fostering escapism or reinforcing social disconnection. To explore the complex role of AI chatbots and virtual companions in shaping digital intimacy, in-depth case studies combining interviews, usage data, and psychological assessments could provide a richer understanding of users' emotional bonds with these technologies. Mixed-methods approaches would capture both the quantitative indicators of emotional engagement (e.g., frequency and length of use) and the qualitative nuances of users' lived experiences, including feelings of emotional support, dependency, or disillusionment.

Ultimately, the future of anonymous intimacy depends on our ability to leverage technology responsibly. By prioritizing security, ethical AI development, and psychological well-being, we can cultivate a digital landscape where anonymous interactions enrich, rather than erode, human connection.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful for the academic tools and research that have inspired this study. My profound gratitude extends to my family for their steadfast support and encouragement during this journey. Your confidence in my work has been a wellspring of strength and motivation.

References

- Aiquipa, T. J. (2014). Diseño y validación del inventario de dependencia emocional – IDE. *Revista de Investigación en Psicología*, 15(1), 133–145. <https://doi.org/10.15381/RINVP.V15I1.3673>
- Alinejad, D., & Candidatu, L. (2022). Narratives of digital intimacy. Romanian migration and mediated transnational life. In *The Routledge companion to media anthropology* (pp. 314–328). Routledge eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003175605-31>
- Asha, P., Adhithya, B. S., Hariharan, R., Srinivasan, N., K, J. G. L., & Doni, R. A. (2024). Efficient mental health therapist chatbot assisted by artificial intelligence, *10th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS)*, Coimbatore, India (pp. 2561–2566). <https://doi.org/10.1109/icaccs60874.2024.10716823>
- Baldofski, S., Kohls, E., Efe, Z., Eckert, M., Saeed, S., Thomas, J. M., Wundrack, R., & Rummel-Kluge, C. (2023). The impact of a messenger-based psychosocial chat counseling service on further help-seeking among children and young adults: Longitudinal study. *JMIR Mental Health*, 10, e43780. <https://doi.org/10.2196/43780>
- Berezovskaya, I. P., Shipunova, O. D., & Kedich, S. I. (2019). Internet addiction and youth coping strategies. *CSIS'2019: Proceedings of the XI International Scientific Conference Communicative Strategies of the Information Society*, 23, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3373722.3373790>
- Bhana, D., Reddy, V., & Moosa, S. (2024). Young people becoming intimate on social media: Digital desires and gender dynamics. *Sexualities*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13634607241281449>
- Bıçaksız, S., Özsoy, İ., Teğin, T., Bıçaksız, P., & Tekeş, B. (2023). Anonymity as a moderator of the relationship between FoMO (Fear of Missing Out) and psychological well-being. *Psychological Reports*, 126(5), 2303–2321. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00332941231180115>
- Bock, C. (2023). The role of imagination. In *The feeling of inequality: On empathy, empathy gulfs, and the political psychology of democracy* (pp. 52–C3N5). Oxford Academic, Oxford University Press eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197500866.003.0004>
- Brazeau, G. A., Robinson, E. T., Wolcott, J., & Jones, C. C. (2024). Ghosts and ghosting in digital communications. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 88(5), 100692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpe.2024.100692>
- Broome, L. J., Izura, C., & Davies, J. (2024). An investigation of the linguistic and deceptive characteristics of online grooming types. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2023.2300470>
- Bucchi, M. (2024). Digital intimacy. *Mètode Science Studies Journal: Annual Review*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.7203/metode.14.28141>

- Carpenter, A., & Greene, K. (2015). Social penetration theory. *International Encyclopedia of Interpersonal Communication*, 1–4. Wiley.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118540190.WBEIC160>
- Cavaglian, G. (2008). Voices of coping in an Italian self-help virtual community of cyberporn dependents. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 11(5), 599–601.
<https://doi.org/10.1089/CPB.2007.0204>
- Congleton, R. D. (2022). *Intimacy*. In K. Kopecka-Piech & M. Sobiech(Eds.), *Mediatization of emotional life* (pp. 91–105). Routledge eBooks.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003254287-9>
- Costello, M. A., Bailey, N. A., & Stern, J. A. (2024). Vulnerable self-disclosure co-develops in adolescent friendships: Developmental foundations of emotional intimacy. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 41(9), 2432–2454.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/02654075241244821>
- Davou, B. (2007). Interaction of emotion and cognition in the processing of textual material. *Meta: Translators' Journal*, 52(1), 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.7202/014718AR>
- Delucchi, G. A. (2023). When legal procedures facilitate abandonment. New forms of ghosting. *Vertex Revista Argentina de Psiquiatría.*, 34(159), 84–88.
<https://doi.org/10.53680/vertex.v34i159.358>
- Dey, D. (2022). Perception/deception: Fictional selves and the peculiarly digital phenomenon of catfishing. *Asiascape*, 9(1–2), 95–118. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22142312-bja10029>
- Dharrao, D. S., & Gite, S. (2024). TherapyBot: A chatbot for mental well-being using transformers. *International Journal of Advances in Applied Sciences*, 13(1), 1–12.
<https://doi.org/10.11591/ijaas.v13.i1.pp1-12>
- Döring, N., Krämer, N. C., Mikhailova, V., Brand, M., Kruger, T. H. C., & Vowe, G. (2021). Sexual interaction in digital contexts and its implications for sexual health: A conceptual analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2021.769732>
- Estévez, A., Urbiola, I., Iruarrizaga, I., Onaindia, J., & Jauregui, P. (2017). Emotional dependency in dating relationships and psychological consequences of internet and mobile abuse. *Anales De Psicología*, 33(2), 260–268.
<https://doi.org/10.6018/ANALESPS.33.2.255111>
- Eysenbach, G., Powell, J., Englesakis, M., Rizo, C., & Stern, A. (2004). Health related virtual communities and electronic support groups: systematic review of the effects of online peer to peer interactions. *British Medical Journal*, 328(7449), 1166–1170.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.328.7449.1166>
- Farg, N., Ghoniemy, S., & Karam, O. H. (2023). *Enhanced semantic-based chaotic system for cyber-grooming classification and harassment detection*. *International Conference on Computer and Applications (ICCA)*, Cairo, Egypt (pp. 1–10).
<https://doi.org/10.1109/icca59364.2023.10401840>
- Fegade, M., Bandpatte, P., Medar, N., Mahajan, D., & Wagh, V. (2024). Review paper on “Jarvie: AI-Driven mental health companion.” *Indian Scientific Journal of Research In Engineering and Management*, 08(10), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem37982>
- Fernandez, C. C., Gao, N., Wilson, M., Goodyear, T., Seidler, Z. E., Sharp, P., Rice, S., Krüsi, A., Gilbert, M., & Oliffe, J. L. (2024). Sexual minority men’s experiences of, and strategies for emotional intimacy in intimate partner relationships. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2024.2399288>

- Friend, J. (2023). Digital privacy is a sexual health necessity: A community-engaged qualitative study of virtual sex work and digital autonomy in Senegal. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*, 31(4), 2272741. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26410397.2023.2272741>
- Ging, D., Ringrose, J., Mendes, K., Horeck, T., Milne, B., Desborough, K., & Castellini de la Silva, R. (2023). Reclaiming digital intimacy for youth: Piloting digital sexual violence workshops for under-18s during the Covid-19 pandemic in England and Ireland. *AoIR Selected Papers of Internet Research 2022*. <https://doi.org/10.5210/spir.v2022i0.13008>
- Goldenberg, D. M. (2019). Disconnected connectedness: The paradox of digital dating for gay and bisexual men. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health*, 23(3), 360–366. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19359705.2019.1620071>
- Gumelar, G., Maulana, H., Bakar, R. M., & Erik, E. (2024). How online inhibition fuels incivility through moral disengagement. *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*, 14(4), e202448. <https://doi.org/10.30935/ojcm/15006>
- Habibi, R., Pfau, J., Holmes, J., & Seif El-Nasr, M. (2023). Empathetic AI for empowering resilience in games. *arXiv.Org*, abs/2302.09070. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.09070>
- Halan, S., Rossen, B., Crary, M. A., & Lok, B. (2012). Constructionism of virtual humans to improve perceptions of conversational partners. *Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2387–2392. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2212776.2223807>
- Hamilton, V., Kaptchuk, G., McDonald, A. C., & Redmiles, E. M. (2024). Safer digital intimacy for sex workers and beyond: A technical research agenda. *EEE Security & Privacy*, 22, (2), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSEC.2023.3324615>
- Haraty, R. A., Assi, M., & Rahal, I. (2017). A systematic review of anonymous communication systems. *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems, Volume 2: ICEIS* (pp. 211–220). <https://doi.org/10.5220/0006216802110220>
- Haraz, S. & Simion, S. D. (2023). Psycho-social congruences between resilience and solitude. *EcoSoEn, Scientific Journal Economics, Social and Engineering Sciences*, 6(2), 73–89. <https://doi.org/10.54481/ecosoen.2023.2.08>
- Ho, T., & Weigelt, K. (2004). Trust among strangers. *Social Science Research Network*. UC Berkeley Xlab Working Paper No. XL05-001. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=702662> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.702662>
- Ho, T., & Weigelt, K. (2005). Trust building among strangers. *Management Science*, 51(4), 519–530. <https://doi.org/10.1287/MNSC.1040.0350>
- James, H. S. (2001). The trust paradox: A survey of economic inquiries into the nature of trust and trustworthiness. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.295743>
- James, H. S. (2002). The trust paradox: A survey of economic inquiries into the nature of trust and trustworthiness. *Research Papers in Economics*. <https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:wpa:wuwp/0202001>
- José, M. R. V., & Barbas, M. P. (2020). *Estudo sobre os perigos da internet: o fenómeno do catfishing em contexto de produção multimédia em educação*. 8(2), 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.25746/RUIIPS.V8.I2.20665>

- Kahlow, J. A. (2024). Beyond the surface. Reddit's anonymity facilitates deeper disclosures than Facebook. *International Journal of Social Media and Online Communities (IJSMOC)*, 16(1), <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijsmoc.343629>
- Kamarudin, I., Rose, S. C., & Kamarudin, A. S. (2024). The battle against online grooming: assessing Malaysia's legal landscape and enforcement measures. *International Journal of Law, Government and Communication*, 9(36), 365–371. <https://doi.org/10.35631/ijlgc.936027>
- Knoblauch, H. (2014). Projection, imagination, and novelty: Towards a theory of creative action based on Schutz. In M. Barber & J. Dreher (Eds.), *The interrelation of phenomenology, social sciences and the arts. contributions to phenomenology* (vol. 69, pp. 31–49). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01390-9_4
- Krysowski, E., & Tremewan, J. (2021). Why does anonymity make us misbehave: different norms or less compliance? *Economic Inquiry*, 59(2), 776–789. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ECIN.12955>
- Kula, O., Machluf, R., Shahar, B., Greenberg, L. S., & Bar- Kalifa, E. (2023). The effect of therapists' enactment interventions in promoting vulnerability sharing in emotion focused couple therapy. *Psychotherapy Research*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2023.2245961>
- Kvardová, N., Macháčková, H., & Bedrošová, M. (2024). *Role of anonymity in body shaming on social media: Insights from social identity model of deindividuation effects*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/9875r>
- Leece, S., Wilson, H., & Andriopoulou, P. (2023). Experience of online, text-based, anonymous mental health support during COVID-19 for young women previously at risk of suicide. *Counselling Psychology Quarterly*, 7(4), 495–516. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515070.2023.2252770>
- Leung, H. Y. (2002). *Ensuring anonymity and privacy in an online mental health community* [Master's Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology]. <https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/87262>
- Levicheva, V. F., & Dimans, S. L. (2023). Social identity as a result of informal interactions friend or foe. *Vestnik RGGU*, 2, 73–83. <https://doi.org/10.28995/2073-6401-2023-2-73-83>
- Li, H., & Zhang, R. (2024). *Finding love in algorithms: Deciphering the emotional contexts of close encounters with AI chatbots*. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/xd4k7>
- Liu, A., Pataranutaporn, P., Turkle, S., & Maes, P. (2024). *Chatbot companionship: A mixed-methods study of companion chatbot usage patterns and their relationship to loneliness in active users*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2410.21596>
- Loveys, K., Sagar, M., Zhang, X., & Broadbent, E. (2022). "I felt her company": A qualitative study on factors affecting closeness and emotional support seeking with an embodied conversational agent. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 160, 102771. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2021.102771>
- Low, S., Hj Bolong, J., Waheed, M., & Wirza, J. (2022). 10-year systematic literature review of social penetration in online communication. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business & Social Sciences*, 12(14). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i14/15813>

- Ma, X., Hancock, J. T., & Naaman, M. (2016). Anonymity, intimacy and self-disclosure in social media. *Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '16: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 3857–3869). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858414>
- Maier, S., Bhable, S. G., Lahase, A. R., & Nimbhore, S. S. (2022). AI and deep learning-driven chatbots: A comprehensive analysis and application trends. *2022 6th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS)*, Madurai, India (pp. 994–998). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICCS53718.2022.9788276>
- Marinos, L., Acquisti, A., Anderson, P., Cadzow, S., Carr, J., Dickman, P., Gray, C., Laing, C., Papakonstantinou, V., Pasic, A., Schubert, S., Vogiatzis, D., & Wiench, P. (2011). *Cyber-bullying and online grooming: Helping to protect against the risks*. Greece: European Network and Information Security Agency (ENISA). <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/12095>
- McCarthy, A. (2009). *Social penetration theory, social networking and Facebook*. Fairfield University. <https://www.anniemdance.com/uploads/1/5/0/4/1504159/2009-april-social-penetration-theory-and-facebook.pdf>
- Meriläinen, M., & Ruotsalainen, M. (2024). Online disinhibition, normative hostility, and banal toxicity: Young people's negative online gaming conduct. *Social Media and Society*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051241274669>
- Merino-Soto, C., Copez-Lonzoy, A., Toledano-Toledano, F., Nabors, L., Rodríguez-Castro, J. H., Hernández-Salinas, G., & Núñez-Benítez, M. A. (2022). Effects of anonymity versus examinee name on a measure of depressive symptoms in adolescents. *Children (Basel)*, 9(7), 972. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children9070972>
- Nesmith, A. (2023). Text-based crisis counseling: an examination of timing, pace, asynchronicity and disinhibition. *Youth*, 3(1), 233–245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/youth3010016>
- Oktay, N. H. (2024). Creating Intimate places for close by heart but physically apart people through remote embodiments. *Research in Arts and Education*, 2024(1), 207–217. <https://doi.org/10.54916/rae.142452>
- Pandita, S., Garg, K., Zhang, J., & Mobbs, D. (2024). Three roots of online toxicity: disembodiment, accountability, and disinhibition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 28(9), 814–828. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2024.06.001>
- Parry, D. A., Kuit, C., Murray, A. D., & van der Westhuizen, A. (2024). *Connect to disconnect: insights from an online community for digital disconnection*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/xyagz>
- Pennington, N. (2015). *Building and maintaining relationships in the digital age: using social penetration theory to explore communication through social networking sites*. <https://kuscholarworks.ku.edu/handle/1808/19071>
- Piras, E. M., & Miele, F. (2019). On digital intimacy: redefining provider-patient relationships in remote monitoring. *Sociology of Health and Illness*, 41, 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12947>
- Power, J., Moor, L., Anderson, J., Waling, A., James, A., Shackleton, N., Farrell, A.-M., Agnew, E., & Dowsett, G. W. (2022). Traversing TechSex: benefits and risks in digitally mediated sex and relationships. *Sexual Health*, 19(1), 55–69. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SH21220>

- Pramudiarja, U., Artika, D., & Prabawati, D. H. (2023). Catfishing Phenomenon in the Perspective of Online Dating Services Users. *Jurnal Ilmiah LISKI (Lingkar Studi Komunikasi)*, 9(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.25124/liski.v9i1.5128>
- Ramadhani, A., & Merida, S. C. (2024). Self-control and the phenomenon of toxic online disinhibition in teenagers who have Twitter. *Nusantara Journal of Behavioral and Social Science*, 3(2), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.47679/202454>
- Ray, I., Bertino, E., Squicciarini, A., & Ferrari, E. (2005). Anonymity preserving techniques in trust negotiations. In G. Danezis & D. Martin (Eds.), *Privacy Enhancing Technologies. PET 2005. Lecture notes in computer science* (vol. 3856, pp. 93–109). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/11767831_7
- Rodrigues, J. C., Soares, T., & Ribeiro, L. M. (2024). Do attachment styles influence the sexual function of an individual? *European Psychiatry*, 67(S1), S767–S768. <https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.1597>
- Rosemary, R., Wardhana, A. B., Syam, H. M., & Susilawati, N. (2024). The relationship between anonymity and cyber sexual harassment by twitter users: a cross-sectional study. *Journal Of Community Mental Health and Public Policy*, 6(2), 95–104. <https://doi.org/10.51602/cmhp.v6i2.131>
- Ryan, S., & Taylor, J. (2024). An exploration of the motivations of catfish perpetrators and the emotions and feelings expressed by catfish victims using automated linguistic analysis and thematic analysis. *Discover Data*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44248-024-00011-5>
- Singha, S., & Singha, R. (2024). *Digital loneliness*. In M. Anshari, M. Almunawar, & P. Ordonez de Pablos (Eds.), *Inclusivity and accessibility in digital health* (pp. 42–61). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1463-0.ch004>
- Šiša, A. (2024). Ghosting on Tinder: Examining disconnectivity in online dating. *Media and Communication*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.8563>
- Smith, K. K. (1990). Notes from the epistemological corner: the role of projection in the creation of social knowledge. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 26(1), 119–127. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002188639002600111>
- Solomon, R. M. (2024). Attachment theory. In *EMDR therapy treatment for grief and mourning: Transforming the connection to the deceased loved one* (pp. 65–78). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198881360.003.0007>
- Solovieva, L. N. (2023). Loneliness in the “society of universal communication”: Paradox of digital modernity. *Society: Philosophy, History, Culture*, 7, 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.24158/fik.2023.7.10>
- Sri, B. D., Dasoju, V., Avinash, G., Kokutam, H. K., & Jilla, M. (2024). SolaceNet - Anonymity ensured online therapy platform for overcoming barriers in mental health assistance. *International Conference on Advances in Modern Age Technologies for Health and Engineering Science (AMATHE)*, Shivamogga, India, 2024 (pp. 1–6). <https://doi.org/10.1109/amathe61652.2024.10582070>
- Strathearn, L. (2023). Attachment. In M.-E. Lynall, P. B. Jones, & S. M. Stahl (Eds.), *Cambridge textbook of neuroscience for psychiatrists* (pp. 354–365). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781911623137.053>
- Szarecki, A. (2023). Reassembling digital intimacy. *Journal of Popular Music Studies*, 35(2), 88–112. <https://doi.org/10.1525/jpms.2023.35.2.88>

- Tang, J.-H., & Wang, C.-C. (2012). Self-disclosure among bloggers: Re-examination of social penetration theory. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 15(5), 245–250. <https://doi.org/10.1089/CYBER.2011.0403>
- Taylor, J., Pulman, A., & Tickle, O. (2024). Exploring the attitudes of young people toward catfish impersonating and feigning illness on social media. In V. Benson (Ed.), *Handbook of social media use online relationships, security, privacy, and society*, (vol. 2, pp. 289–308). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-443-28804-3.00013-2>
- Wen, Y. (2024). Love in the Digital Age: Exploring the transformation impact of the internet on romantic relationships. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 41, 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.54097/tw465p97>
- Widianti, R., & Hasani, R. (2024). Phenomenological studies: Exploring someone's reasons for doing ghosting. *Social Science and Humanities Journal*, 8(09), 5111–5117. <https://doi.org/10.18535/sshj.v8i09.1355>
- Winograd, W. (2022). Connection and separation reconsidered. In M. Jarrette-Kenny & M. Jaffe (Eds.), *Social work in the age of disconnection. Narrative case studies* (pp. 88–109). Routledge eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003270225-5>
- Wright, A. C., & Kong, P. (2023). Attachment theory. In S. Heward-Belle & M. Tsantefski (Eds.), *Working with families experiencing vulnerability: A partnership approach* (pp. 79–98). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009218344.007>
- Wu, T. (2024). AI Love: An analysis of virtual intimacy in human-computer interaction. *Communications in Humanities Research*, 50(1), 143–148. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7064/50/20242466>
- Zent, M. (2023). Anonymous online support: Investigations of identity and heterogeneous groups in online recovery support. *CHI EA '23: Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 563, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3583947>
- Zhong, W., Luo, J., & Zhang, H. (2024). The therapeutic effectiveness of artificial intelligence-based chatbots in alleviation of depressive and anxiety symptoms in short-course treatments: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 356, 459–469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.04.057>