Navigating Communication in Hybrid Work Environments: Strategies for Building Cohesion and Engagement

Yujia Zhu

Sofia University, Palo Alto, USA

Abstract

This study examines communication strategies essential for fostering cohesion and engagement in hybrid work environments. The hybrid model, combining remote and on-site work, has transformed organizational communication dynamics due to technological advancements and global disruptions like the COVID-19 pandemic. Anchored in Social Presence Theory, Medium Richness Theory, and Psychological Safety Theory, this research aims to identify effective communication frameworks within this context. The study employs a theoretical analysis supported by the three aforementioned theories. Analysis was used to uncover key strategies for enhancing team cohesion and engagement. Findings reveal the pivotal role of transformational leadership and psychological safety in addressing communication gaps, fostering inclusivity, and strengthening team connections. Additionally, the research identifies actionable frameworks, such as the integration of synchronous and asynchronous communication tools and the implementation of tailored communication protocols. This research provides practical insights for HR professionals and organizational leaders seeking to optimize hybrid work arrangements. It concludes with recommendations for future research to refine and expand communication strategies, ensuring their continued effectiveness in hybrid environments.

keywords: hybrid work, communication strategies, team cohesion, employee engagement, psychological safety

1. Introduction

The hybrid work environment, significantly accelerated by global shifts and technological advancements, represents a transformative change in how organizations operate. Catalyzed by the COVID-19 pandemic, this shift necessitated the adoption of hybrid models to ensure continuity while safeguarding employee health (Afedzie et al., 2023). Characterized by a blend of remote and in-office work, the hybrid era is reshaping organizational culture and employee engagement dynamics (Hiremath, 2024). Technological advancements, particularly in communication and digital tools, have facilitated this transition, enabling sustained

collaboration across time and space (Teevan, 2022). The increased use of platforms like Microsoft Teams exemplifies this shift, with users spending significantly more time on such applications compared to pre-pandemic levels (Teevan, 2022). Furthermore, the hybrid model has prompted a reevaluation of traditional office spaces, leading to more flexible and functional work environments (Žarić et al., 2024). Globalization and the need for economic efficiency have also driven a focus on hybrid team effectiveness, with new models proposed to enhance global team dynamics (Schroeder et al., 2014). As organizations navigate this evolving landscape, they must address challenges related to workplace knowledge management, and leverage AI to optimize productivity and engagement (Teevan, 2022). Overall, the hybrid work environment is not just a temporary response to a crisis but a fundamental reimagining of work practices poised to shape the future of work (Hiremath, 2024).

Exploring effective communication strategies to foster a cohesive and engaged workforce in hybrid settings involves understanding the unique challenges and opportunities presented by this model. While hybrid environments offer flexibility and autonomy—contributing to higher employee engagement and work-life balance (Eng et al., 2024; Gannabathula, 2024), they also pose challenges such as maintaining team cohesion and addressing communication gaps (Gannabathula, 2024). Effective communication is crucial in overcoming these challenges and enhancing employee engagement. Strategies like transparent, two-way communication foster trust and collaboration among employees (Kimani, 2024). Leadership plays a pivotal role in promoting these channels; transformational leadership styles and proactive communication are essential for successful hybrid arrangements (Ify, 2024; Mustajab, 2024). Additionally, leveraging technology to facilitate collaboration and engagement is vital, as suitable ICT solutions and digital maturity can enhance work effectiveness (Eng et al., 2024). Organizations should implement tailored communication approaches—such as open-door policies and regular team meetings—to ensure clear, timely, and inclusive communication, which boosts employee satisfaction and productivity (Ify, 2024). By addressing these aspects, organizations can cultivate a positive work environment where employees feel valued and empowered, ultimately leading to improved organizational performance (Kimani, 2024). Furthermore, fostering team collaboration and conflict resolution through clear communication channels, active listening, and constructive feedback is fundamental to organizational success. For organizational leaders, managers, and HR professionals, these insights underscore the importance of implementing tailored communication strategies to drive success in hybrid settings.

2. Method

This study utilized a qualitative theoretical analysis method, based on Social Presence Theory, Medium Richness Theory, and Psychological Safety Theory as core frameworks. The main goal was to find and evaluate effective communication tactics in hybrid work settings that promote team cohesiveness and engagement. This research seeks to elucidate how various communication routes, degrees of interpersonal connectivity, and supportive team environments collectively impact workplace dynamics by integrating these three ideas. The data for this study were obtained through an extensive literature review of current academic and industry research. Sources comprised peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and pertinent industry reports published from 2015 to 2024. Key databases, including PubMed, JSTOR, and ProQuest, were methodically searched to locate research articles on hybrid work communication. Furthermore, certain keywords—such as "hybrid work communication," "psychological safety," "team engagement," and "remote work communication strategies"—were employed to guarantee a concentrated yet varied collection of resources. This comprehensive yet systematic method of data collection facilitated an

extensive examination of prevailing best practices and emerging trends in hybrid work communication.

The study employed three fundamental theoretical frameworks to direct the theme analysis in synthesizing the findings. Social Presence Theory was employed to analyze how communication mediums facilitate interpersonal relationships and perceptions of "presence" among team members. Medium Richness Theory assessed the appropriateness of different communication channels according to the intricacy of the tasks and messages transmitted. Psychological Safety Theory examined the importance of a workplace culture that promotes transparent communication and fosters team dynamics. A thematic coding method was utilized to classify communication tactics into principal topics, such as inclusivity, engagement, and leadership in hybrid environments. The study employed NVivo, an analytical tool, to efficiently manage and interpret qualitative data, facilitating organized and comprehensive analysis of literature and research findings.

The scope of this work was confined to a theoretical analysis based on secondary data rather than new empirical results. Consequently, although the research delineates various practical communication frameworks, it lacks direct observational or experimental evidence. Subsequent research could enhance these findings with field studies or case analyses, thereby offering further validation and practical applicability to the suggested communication tactics. This rigorous analytical approach aims to provide actionable insights for organizational leaders and HR experts seeking to enhance hybrid work communication strategies. The convergence of Social Presence Theory, Medium Richness Theory, and Psychological Safety Theory offers a multidimensional perspective on how to construct engaging, inclusive, and effective communication spaces. Through a comprehensive examination of existing literature, the study underscores the importance of well-chosen communication channels, supportive team climates, and intentional engagement tactics—all essential elements in cultivating cohesion and productivity in the evolving landscape of hybrid work.

2.1. The Hybrid Work Environment: Opportunities and Challenges

Hybrid work, a model integrating on-site and remote work, has gained significance due to changes in work patterns and technology improvements, especially during the COVID-19 epidemic. This paradigm provides adaptability and enhanced work-life equilibrium while preserving the advantages of remote work, including heightened productivity and job satisfaction (Balamurugan & Priyanka, 2023). Hybrid work implementation variations encompass remote-first and flexible attendance models. Remote-first emphasizes remote work as the default arrangement, permitting employees to work from home, with office attendance as necessary. Flexible attendance permits employees to select their work location according to personal and professional requirements, hence promoting a more inclusive work environment (Balamurugan & Priyanka, 2023; Vartiainen, 2024). The effective execution of hybrid work necessitates a well-defined plan that takes into account organizational objectives, employee requirements, work tasks, and available resources (Vartiainen, 2024). Addressing spatial and temporal factors, along with social and virtual areas, is crucial for developing a holistic hybrid work paradigm (Vartiainen, 2024). Moreover, hybrid work is not a universal solution; it necessitates a team-oriented approach wherein details are customized to the team's requirements, underpinned by a comprehensive strategy that includes culture, technology, and employee experience (Wiatr & Skowron-Mielnik, 2024). This methodology guarantees continuous support and engagement among employees, which is essential for the model's success (Wiatr & Skowron-Mielnik, 2024). Hybrid work constitutes a flexible employment model that, when executed judiciously, can improve employee well-being and organizational efficacy.

Communication obstacles, including geographical separation, time zone differences, dependence on technology, and possible disconnections between remote and on-site personnel, are common issues in contemporary organizational environments. Geographical distance, a substantial impediment, hinders the exchange of information and collaboration, particularly in virtual teams with members located in different regions. This distance may result in cognitive, social, and emotional difficulties, affecting team cohesion and productivity (Mohanty, 2015; Morrison-Smith & Ruiz, 2020). Time zones exacerbate communication challenges by establishing temporal distances that impede synchronous contacts, resulting in coordination issues and elevated costs (Morrison-Smith & Ruiz, 2020). The dependence on technology, although enhancing communication over distances, presents problems, including differing levels of technological competency among team members and possible technical malfunctions that may interrupt communication processes (Mohanty, 2015). Moreover, a frequent gap exists between remote and in-office personnel, potentially leading to feelings of isolation and diminished trust, as remote employees may regard themselves as less integrated into the organizational culture (Bahrain et al., 2023; Mohanty, 2015). These obstacles require the use of efficient communication tactics and technologies to close gaps and promote a cohesive working environment. Organizations must confront these problems by fostering inclusive communication practices and utilizing technology to facilitate smooth interactions across diverse and scattered teams (Bahrain et al., 2023; Magomedbekov & Magomedbekova, 2021). Comprehending and alleviating these obstacles are essential for improving corporate communication and guaranteeing the performance of both virtual and conventional teams (Button & Rossera, 1990).

Hybrid work models provide substantial advantages to communication via increased flexibility, asynchronous interactions, and technological innovations. The inherent flexibility of hybrid work enables employees to select their ideal working environment, whether at home or in the office, potentially raising productivity and job satisfaction by minimizing workplace interruptions and improving work-life balance (Ateeq, 2022; Mustajab, 2024). This flexibility promotes ongoing communication, allowing employees to connect from any location at any time, thus enhancing time management and boosting productivity (Černikovaitė & Karazijiene, 2023). Asynchronous communication, an essential aspect of hybrid work, enables team members to interact and cooperate independent of time zones or conventional office hours, which is especially advantageous for multinational teams (Černikovaitė & Karazijiene, 2023). Technological improvements have enhanced hybrid communication by bringing new channels and tools that facilitate seamless information dissemination and cooperation, including video conferencing and collaborative platforms (Černikovaitė & Karazijiene, 2023; Iqbal et al., 2021). These technologies facilitate efficient remote communication and reconcile the disparity between remote and in-office work, hence optimizing hybrid work arrangements to leverage the advantages of both environments (Andreeva, 2022). Organizations must confront problems including social isolation and the necessity for strong leadership to fully harness the promise of hybrid work models (Andreeva, 2022; Mustajab, 2024). Hybrid work, facilitated by flexibility, asynchronous communication, and technological innovations, signifies a viable strategy for improving organizational communication and performance in contemporary workplaces.

2.2. The Role of Effective Communication in Building Cohesion and Engagement

Supported by several theories that elucidate its influence, effective communication is essential for fostering team cohesion and engagement. Social Presence Theory posits that communication devices differ in their capacity to transmit social cues, which are vital for fostering a sense of presence and connection among team members. This idea emphasizes the

significance of choosing suitable communication channels to improve team interaction and cohesion (Isaikina & Navalna, 2022). Medium Richness Theory asserts that media with more richness, which enables fast feedback and transmits many indications, are more successful for intricate communication tasks, thus enhancing understanding and participation within teams (Isaikina & Navalna, 2022). Psychological Safety, as examined in several studies, is an essential element that facilitates open communication and risk-taking devoid of fear of adverse repercussions. It cultivates an atmosphere in which team members feel secure to articulate ideas and concerns, which is crucial for effective collaboration and innovation (Jain et al., 2016; Paulus, 2023). Psychological safety is essential in high-stakes settings such as healthcare, where it enhances communication and patient safety (Dietl et al., 2023). The amalgamation of these theories underscores that effective communication transcends mere information exchange; it involves fostering an environment in which team members feel interconnected, comprehended, and secure in their contributions, thereby enhancing team cohesion and engagement (Dietl et al., 2023; Isaikina & Navalna, 2022; Jain et al., 2016; Paulus, 2023).

Cohesion and engagement are essential for productivity, employee happiness, and retention in hybrid work environments, as they significantly impact corporate success and employee well-being. Hybrid work models, integrating remote and in-office work, provide flexibility and autonomy, hence improving employee engagement through enhanced work-life balance and personal independence (Gannabathula, 2024; Vanitha & Shailashri, 2024). Nonetheless, these models pose issues, including the preservation of team cohesion and communication, which are essential for sustaining engagement and productivity (Gannabathula, 2024; Saritha, 2024). Effective communication methods and organizational assistance are crucial for bridging these gaps and cultivating a cohesive work environment (Gannabathula, 2024). Employee engagement, defined by an emotional connection to the organization, is a vital determinant of productivity, retention, and overall organizational performance (Dahri et al., 2024; Tiwari et al., 2024). Employees who are engaged are more inclined to demonstrate loyalty, innovation, and commitment, hence providing a competitive edge for the firm (Dahri et al., 2024). Furthermore, hybrid work environments require an emphasis on digital tools and leadership to effectively manage the changing work landscape, assuring employee motivation and productivity (Vanitha & Shailashri, 2024). Sustainable employability practices such as diversity, equity, and inclusion programs augment participation by fostering a supportive and inclusive workplace culture (Tiwari et al., 2024). Ultimately, promoting cohesiveness and engagement in hybrid environments not only increases productivity but also improves employee happiness and retention, which are essential for sustained organizational success (Saritha, 2024).

Ineffective communication can result in numerous adverse effects, such as isolation, diminished team cohesion, and lowered motivation, as demonstrated by multiple studies. In remote teams, perceived isolation is a critical concern, intensified by insufficient communication, which may result in emotions of helplessness and impede team coordination (Wong et al., 2022). In professional environments, misunderstanding frequently leads to adverse outcomes for people and teams, since it can hinder interactions and impact team culture and social identity, ultimately diminishing team synergy (Stubbe, 2010). In high-pressure settings such as operating theaters, communication breakdowns are common, occurring in around 30% of team interactions; this can result in inefficiencies, heightened tension, and procedural errors, ultimately jeopardizing team efficacy and patient safety (Lingard et al., 2004). Moreover, inadequate cooperation and communication have been associated with negative outcomes in medical environments, including elevated mortality rates subsequent to medical procedures (Mayor, 2002). In the context of workforce reductions, poor communication can intensify low morale and stress among remaining employees, resulting in

diminished motivation and a loss in care quality as staff contend with heightened workloads and job insecurity (Gilliland, 1997). These studies collectively underscore the essential role of efficient communication in sustaining team cohesion, motivation, and overall performance in diverse professional environments.

2.3. Strategies for Effective Communication Hybrid Teams

Hybrid education, integrating in-person and online learning, has many advantages and obstacles that must be reconciled for optimal participation. The adaptability and personalization of hybrid models enable students to engage with learning materials at their own speed, addressing individual requirements and fostering independence (Guerrero-Quiñonez et al., 2023). This paradigm improves interactions between students and teachers, as well as among peers, using both in-person and virtual methods, which can be further augmented by incorporating Artificial Intelligence (AI) to enable real-time feedback and cooperation (Almusaed et al., 2023; Joseph et al., 2024). Nonetheless, obstacles such as the digital divide, the necessity for effective course design, and teacher preparation remain, potentially impeding fair access and the quality of education (Guerrero-Quiñonez et al., 2023). Moreover, although hybrid environments can enhance engagement, interactions with online students tend to be less frequent and less significant than those with on-campus students, indicating a necessity for intentional course selection and design to optimize the advantages of hybrid learning (Rijst et al., 2023). To reconcile these benefits and obstacles, it is imperative to utilize technology efficiently, guaranteeing the availability of good communication and collaboration tools, as well as offering sufficient support and training for educators. Hybrid education can improve student engagement and motivation by providing a customized and dynamic learning experience that matches with 21st-century educational objectives (Almusaed et al., 2023; Guerrero-Quiñonez et al., 2023; Joseph et al., 2024; Rijst et al., 2023).

Synchronous and asynchronous tools serve different functions in enhancing communication and learning in online settings. Synchronous tools such as video conferencing and instant messaging facilitate real-time connection, essential for prompt response and dynamic discourse. Platforms such as Blackboard Collaborate and Skype facilitate engagement and immediacy between e-tutors and students, thus fostering a more participatory learning experience (Hiew & Tan, 2015; Stewart, 2016). These techniques are especially advantageous in synchronous learning settings where immediate communication is crucial, as emphasized in the context of practicing instructors obtaining their master's degrees (Richardson, 2020). Conversely, asynchronous solutions, such project management software and recorded communications, provide flexibility by enabling participants to interact with content at their own leisure. Forums and wikis exemplify asynchronous tools that enable continuous discussions and collaborative activities without requiring concurrent participation (Hiew & Tan, 2015; Stewart, 2016). These technologies are essential to e-learning platforms, offering supplementary communication channels that facilitate multiple learning activities and address the varying needs of learners (Mohamed, 2023). The integration of synchronous and asynchronous resources promotes online learning by balancing immediacy and flexibility, hence boosting pedagogical practices and student achievement across diverse educational contexts (Stewart, 2016).

A hybrid communication framework is vital for contemporary enterprises, especially in light of the heightened remote work resulting from the COVID-19 epidemic. Essential elements of this paradigm encompass frequency, timing, accessibility, and content relevancy. Structured meetings are essential as they establish a consistent timetable for team interactions, ensuring that communication is regular and predictable, and thus facilitating successful management of internal processes and employee performance (Černikovaitė & Karazijiene, 2023). Accessible communication channels, such as internal organizational platforms, are necessary for enabling

employees to connect from any location, hence facilitating ongoing contact (Černikovaitė & Karazijiene, 2023; Chincea et al., 2024). These platforms must be engineered to address the psychological demands of employees, including socializing and recognition, which are becoming increasingly vital in a hybrid work environment (Chincea et al., 2024). Establishing clear rules for information exchange is essential to navigate the overwhelming volume of digital communication, including emails, and to guarantee that information is pertinent and effectively distributed (Černikovaitė & Karazijiene, 2023). Moreover, hybrid communication must amalgamate both live and digital components to simulate in-person encounters, thereby improving human connectivity and transcending geographical and temporal constraints (Brown, 2018; Wang & Tang, 2023). By addressing these elements, organizations can cultivate a more efficient and inclusive communication environment that facilitates both remote and in-person interactions.

Tools such as Slack and Microsoft Teams are crucial for improving communication among virtual teams by including elements of social networking and instant messaging, facilitating both synchronous and asynchronous interactions. These platforms promote openness, team awareness, and informal communication essential for agile teams, despite ongoing problems such as language barriers and unequal participation (Stray et al., 2019). The use of AI and automation in these communication technologies enhances information flow and personalizes engagement. Artificial intelligence can improve efficiency and productivity by automating monotonous processes, enabling communication professionals to concentrate on creativity and strategic thinking (Jiménez & Ouariachi, 2021). In higher education, AI solutions facilitate dynamic virtual collaboration, customize learning experiences, and deliver real-time feedback, thereby equipping students for professional difficulties (Jony & Hamim, 2024). The implementation of chatbots in corporate communication systems enhances social interactions by utilizing cognitive-affective user states, thus boosting team cohesion and communication efficacy (Benke, 2019). Team communication platforms (TCPs) such as Slack facilitate communication transparency and enhance collaborative workflows through their versatile media functionalities, which permit the flexible scaling of communication methods (Anders, 2016). Overall, the strategic integration of AI and automation in communication platforms not only enhances team performance but also equips users with the necessary skills for the evolving digital landscape.

2.4. Building Psychological Safety to Enhance Team Cohesion

Psychological safety is essential for promoting open communication, trust, and a readiness to contribute within teams across many fields, such as agile software development, healthcare, and education. It fosters an atmosphere in which team members can articulate their thoughts without apprehension of adverse repercussions, hence enhancing open communication and trust. In agile software teams, psychological safety allows members to acknowledge mistakes and undertake initiatives, fostering learning and enhancing software quality by utilizing prior missteps as learning opportunities (Alami et al., 2024). In healthcare, especially within primary care environments, psychological safety promotes employee communication on concerns, resulting in prompt error rectification and enhanced patient outcomes. This environment encourages open communication and ethical norms, essential for the ongoing enhancement of patient care (Awar et al., 2023). In educational environments, especially in graduate medical education, psychological safety enables learners to participate completely without the apprehension of harming their self-esteem, thus supporting a culture of learning and development. It reduces power distance and promotes inclusion, hence fostering trust and improving team performance (Brown et al., 2024). Moreover, psychological safety is crucial in team communication, as it enables members to express thoughts and share ideas without fear

of retaliation, promoting a culture of constructive criticism and ongoing enhancement. This transparency is essential for innovation and problem-solving, particularly in the realm of distant work and virtual collaboration (Paulus, 2023). Psychological safety is a fundamental component that fosters open communication, trust, and a readiness to participate, thereby enhancing both individual and organizational achievement across diverse domains.

In hybrid work environments, managers can implement several tactics to promote feedback and active listening, which are essential for effective communication and performance enhancement. Active listening cultivates trust, rapport, and a collaborative work environment, as it requires complete presence and empathy towards the perspectives of team members (Khanna, 2020). Managers should transition their emphasis from solely delivering feedback to engaging in active listening; this strategy can strengthen supervisor-subordinate relationships and promote performance enhancements without the disadvantages associated with formal feedback (Kluger & Lehmann, 2018). Establishing a conducive feedback environment is crucial because it influences the significance and effect of feedback interactions, hence improving performance management systems (Dahling & O'Malley, 2011). Managers can employ the HURIER framework (i.e., hearing, understanding, remembering, interpreting, evaluating, and responding) to evaluate and enhance their listening skills (Brownell, 2008). Moreover, instruments like listening circles and feedforward interviews can be utilized to foster transparent communication and ongoing enhancement (Kluger & Lehmann, 2018). Managers can cultivate active listening and feedback in hybrid environments by identifying and improving their own listening deficiencies through self-assessment and peer reviews (Brownell, 2008).

Addressing inclusion for remote and in-office workers necessitates a comprehensive strategy that guarantees equitable chances for participation and recognition. The transition to remote employment has presented both obstacles and prospects for inclusivity. Although remote work may improve productivity and work-life balance, it also poses the risk of creating disparities in performance evaluations and promotions relative to in-person employees, underscoring the necessity for equitable policies such as the expansion of the Telework Enhancement Act to guarantee equal treatment in training and promotions (Orr & Savage, 2021). The relationship between remote work and workplace inclusion is intricate, since remote work generally enhances prospects for authenticity and belonging but may diminish these sentiments for individuals, especially women (Schertler & Boehm, 2023). Organizations must adopt tactics that tackle both structural and cultural impediments to promote inclusion. This includes the promotion of diversity training, the mitigation of biases, and the assurance of equitable employment practices (Garrick et al., 2024). Alterations to online surroundings and physical activities in virtual settings can enhance equality, as varied experiences in hybrid spaces expose both advantages and obstacles (Lacy et al., 2022). The AMO model for a neuro-inclusive remote workplace posits that customized strategies addressing skill, motivation, and opportunity can augment the contributions of neurodivergent persons, fostering a more inclusive environment for all employees (Szulc, 2022). By using these methods, firms may establish a balanced and inclusive workplace that accommodates both remote and in-office employees.

2.5. Engagement and Motivation Strategies for Hybrid Teams

Regular check-ins and casual events are essential for sustaining rapport in virtual teams, thus promoting team cohesion and improving communication. Virtual team-building activities, as examined in Jordanian enterprises, have demonstrated the capacity to enhance internal connections and project efficiency by bridging geographical and cultural divides (Qasem & Qusef, 2019). Informal communication, as emphasized in multinational interior design teams,

fosters collaboration by cultivating deeper relationships and promoting the flow of ideas, especially when participation activities are incorporated into the work routine (Abi, 2023). Regular check-ins facilitate feedback and acknowledgment, which are crucial for fostering trust and accountability within remote teams (Morrison-Smith & Ruiz, 2023). Additionally, informal social engagements, such as virtual cocktail parties, can replicate the networking and socializing elements of in-person conferences, thus fostering both professional and personal relationships among team members (Rogers et al., 2018). These interactions are essential since they directly affect relationship development, which in turn effects team cohesion, trust, performance, and satisfaction (Liu, 2011). Consequently, incorporating organized informal meetings and routine check-ins into virtual team management can substantially improve team chemistry and overall productivity.

Customized recognition strategies for both remote and in-office employees can substantially enhance engagement by catering to the distinct needs and preferences of each cohort. Recognition is essential for improving productivity, efficiency, and organizational transformation, since it coincides with employees' needs and expectations (Benge, 2018). At Lloyds TSB Asset Finance, employee feedback was crucial in designing a recognition scheme that rewarded particular actions, highlighting the significance of personalization in recognition programs (Addison, 2005). For remote employees, customized appreciation is essential as it mitigates feelings of isolation and improves job satisfaction and engagement. Enhancing communication channels, providing management assistance, and acknowledging contributions are vital strategies for remote work contexts (Sinha, 2024). Furthermore, effective recognition programs must be designed to facilitate peer acknowledgment, match with corporate objectives, and take into account variables such as age and workplace culture to prevent burnout and disengagement (Konovalova, 2021). Organizations frequently overlook a substantial segment of their employees by concentrating solely on high achievers, a shortcoming that can be addressed by the establishment of an all-encompassing recognition system that promotes desired behaviors universally (Glasscock & Gram, 1995). By aligning reward programs for both remote and in-office employees, firms can cultivate a more inclusive and engaging work environment that promotes individual and collective achievement.

Empowering employees via autonomy and trust is essential for motivation, particularly in hybrid work models, as evidenced by several studies. Incorporating empathy, autonomy, and trust into organizational culture is crucial for adapting to hybrid work environments, where diminished social interactions require a heightened focus on comprehending employees' emotions and granting them the flexibility to manage their tasks independently (Malviya & Gupta, 2022). Trust and perceived fairness substantially boost job performance, with autonomy serving as a vital mediator, indicating that nurturing these factors can enhance employee performance and happiness (Basir, 2024). The hybrid work model, integrating office and remote work, leverages technology-facilitated job autonomy, with the fulfillment of autonomy needs mediating the influence of organizational, managerial, and social support on work engagement (Peretz & Kidron, 2023). Moreover, recognizing individual variances, such as introversion and extroversion, and permitting employees to select their work environment can improve productivity by aligning with their preferred conditions (Nag, 2021). These findings together emphasize the necessity of fostering a supportive organizational culture that promotes trust and autonomy, ultimately improving employee engagement and performance in hybrid work environments.

2.6. Practical Application in Hybrid Work Models

Managing hybrid teams through adaptive leadership involves a nuanced approach that balances autonomy and accountability while leveraging digital tools for effective remote collaboration and

productivity tracking. Adaptive leadership, as highlighted in the South African banking sector, emphasizes the need for leaders to enhance communication, empathy, and transparency to navigate the complexities of hybrid work environments (Yozi & Mbokota, 2024). This approach aligns with the broader understanding of leadership as a dynamic process that requires situational awareness and the ability to inspire and motivate teams through strategic vision and inclusive practices (King & Lawley, 2022; Mjaku, 2020). In hybrid settings, leaders must address the dual challenges of maintaining cohesion among team members who are both co-located and remote, ensuring that all feel valued and included (Hincapie & Costa, 2024). This requires fostering a culture of trust and psychological safety, which can be achieved through clear communication channels and promoting cross-cultural understanding (Hincapie & Costa, 2024). The transition to hybrid work has also necessitated the use of digital tools to facilitate communication and collaboration, as well as to monitor productivity effectively (Vartiainen, 2024). These tools are crucial in maintaining engagement and well-being, which are central to the productivity and retention of employees (Ohlson & Goel, 2022). However, the shift to hybrid work can create disparate experiences for in-office and remote workers, potentially leading to long-term issues if not managed properly (Abbas, 2023). Therefore, leaders must employ strategies that integrate both technological and human resource management to create a seamless hybrid work experience. This includes setting clear expectations and responsibilities, which are essential for balancing autonomy with accountability (Vartiainen, 2024). Ultimately, the success of hybrid teams hinges on leaders' ability to adapt their management styles to the evolving needs of their teams, ensuring that strategic goals are met while fostering an inclusive and supportive work environment(Jokić et al., 2019).

3. Conclusion and Future Directions

Communication techniques, psychological safety, and engagement are interconnected ideas that significantly contribute to organizational success across many sectors. Psychological safety, characterized as the belief in one's ability to communicate freely without the apprehension of adverse repercussions, is essential for efficient team communication and involvement. It allows team members to engage in interpersonal risks, such as voicing mistakes or presenting innovative concepts, which is crucial in high-pressure settings like healthcare and education (Awar et al., 2023; Croitoru, 2023; Fukami, 2023). In healthcare, cultivating a psychologically secure workplace promotes employee expression of concerns, thus improving patient safety and organizational efficacy (Awar et al., 2023). In educational environments, psychological safety mitigates burnout and enhances work engagement by enabling educators to articulate vulnerabilities and learn from errors without apprehension (Croitoru, 2023). Psychological safety plays a crucial function in the corporate sector by promoting open communication and fostering innovation among teams. It is an essential element of human resource practices, affecting team dynamics and performance by fostering trust and mutual respect (Paulus, 2023). Research demonstrates that psychological safety directly influences job engagement, mediated by employee voice, indicating that when employees perceive safety, they are more inclined to actively engage and contribute to corporate objectives (Ge, 2020). Leadership development and training initiatives are essential for cultivating a culture of psychological safety, facilitating ongoing progress, and promoting a supportive atmosphere for open communication (Awar et al., 2023; Paulus, 2023). As firms increasingly depend on remote work, it is imperative to modify HR policies to uphold psychological safety in virtual teams, utilizing technology to promote inclusivity and alleviate communication hurdles (Paulus, 2023). Psychological safety is fundamental for strengthening communication tactics, fostering participation, promoting creativity, and enhancing outcomes in various professional settings.

The progression of communication in hybrid workspaces is set to be transformative, propelled by the amalgamation of digital and physical components to establish cohesive collaborative

settings. The COVID-19 epidemic has expedited the transition to hybrid work paradigms, requiring a comprehensive revision of communication technologies to integrate both live and digital components innovatively (Wang & Tang, 2023). This transition is facilitated by sophisticated communication technologies that permit remote and hybrid work on an unparalleled scale, enabling enterprises to adjust and enhance their work environments (Hickey & Douetil, 2022). Volumetric telepresence systems illustrate this advancement by facilitating real-time, symmetrical interactions across many places, hence improving spatial coordination and hybrid communication for both remote and co-located users (Irlitti et al., 2024). The hybrid workplace model is progressively regarded as the future of work, highlighting the necessity for flexibility and adaptability in workplace configurations (Iqbal et al., 2021). As work practices evolve to be more diverse and decentralized, the design of future workplaces must prioritize the enhancement of quality of life, satisfaction, productivity, and collaboration. This entails tackling elements such as connectivity, sociability, and infrastructure for efficient communication, ultimately transforming the future workplace into a dynamic, tempo-spatial entity that harmonizes individual and group requirements across both physical and digital realms (Tahsiri, 2023). These findings indicate that communication in hybrid workspaces will increasingly progress towards more integrated, flexible, and efficient systems that accommodate varied work patterns and improve overall productivity.

Subsequent research on the enduring effects of hybrid labor on communication, cohesiveness, and engagement should concentrate on several critical areas highlighted in the current literature. Initially, it is crucial to examine the impact of hybrid work on corporate culture and employee engagement over time, since the transition to a hybrid model has transformed workplace dynamics and has required new tactics for sustaining a coherent culture (Hiremath, 2024). Research should examine the influence of leadership in cultivating a robust corporate culture within hybrid environments, highlighting the significance of core values and networking opportunities to improve employee satisfaction and retention (Evans, 2022). Furthermore, long-term research is essential to comprehend how alterations in organizational networks, both task-related and personal, endure and affect sentiments of inclusion and attachment, which are vital for mitigating turnover (Kneeland et al., 2023). The influence of remote work on team dynamics, encompassing communication patterns and group synergy, necessitates examination to discern aspects that facilitate or obstruct collaboration and cohesiveness in virtual settings (Balamurugan & Priyanka, 2024). Ultimately, research should address the distinct challenges encountered by various industries, including the IT sector, in maintaining organizational culture and employee motivation during prolonged remote work situations, offering customized recommendations to surmount these obstacles (Santhanam & Balaji, 2020). By focusing on these aspects, forthcoming research can provide significant insights for enhancing hybrid work settings for better communication, cohesiveness, and engagement.

Acknowledgment

References

Abbas, A. (2023). Managing Hybrid Teams. *ACM Queue*, *21*(3), 5–16. https://doi.org/10.1145/3606015

Addison, D. (2005). Tailored recognition at Lloyds TSB asset finance. *Strategic HR Review, 4*(6), 8–9. https://doi.org/10.1108/14754390580000827

Afedzie, R., Owiredu, Obuobisa, T., & Pentecost, D. (2023). Hybrid working in Ghanaian public and private sector: to continue or terminate? *Central European Management Journal*. https://doi.org/10.57030/23364890.cemj.31.1.310

Alami, A., Zahedi, M., & Krancher, O. (2024). The role of psychological safety in promoting software quality in agile teams. *Empirical Software Engineering, 29*(5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-024-10512-1

Almusaed, A., Almssad, A., Yitmen, I., & Homod, R. Z. (2023). Enhancing student engagement: Harnessing "AIED"'s power in hybrid education—A review analysis. *Education Sciences, 13*(7), 632. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070632

Alriyami, H. M., Alneyadi, K., Alnuaimi, H., & Kampouris, I. (2024). Employees trust, perceived justice, on task performance: Mediating and moderating role of autonomy and organizational culture. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 104*, 103647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2024.103647

Anders, A. (2016). Team communication platforms and emergent social collaboration practices. *International Journal of Business Communication, 53*(2), 224–261. https://doi.org/10.1177/2329488415627273

Andreeva, T. (2022). Hybrid work: Is it a blessing or a curse? *Actas Del Congreso Internacional de Ingeniería de Sistemas*, 31–33. https://doi.org/10.26439/ciis2022.6066

Ateeq, K. (2022). Hybrid working method: An integrative review. *2022 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS)*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/icbats54253.2022.9759041

Awar, D. T. A., Abdulla, F. I. M., Bakhamis, S. A. A., Rashid, M. A. A., Saleh, A. A., Mamalac, A. D., & Laja, N. (2023). Fostering a safe psychological environment and encouraging speak-up culture in primary care setups. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 11*(12), 4583–4589. https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20233740

Bahrain, N. N. K., Sakrani, S. N. R., & Maidin, A. (2023). Communication barriers in work environment: Understanding impact and challenges. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 13*(11). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i11/19498

Balamurugan, G., & Priyanka, S. (2023). Conceptual framework on successful implementation of hybrid work model for virtual IT employees. *International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research, 10*(4). https://doi.org/10.29121/ijetmr.v10.i4.2023.1313

Benge, M. (2018). Tailoring recognition to fit your employees' needs. *EDIS, 2018*(1). https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-wc298-2018

Benke, I. (2019). Social augmentation of enterprise communication systems for virtual teams using chatbots. *European Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*. https://doi.org/10.18420/ecscw2019_dc1

Brown, M. A., Sr. (2018). Social communication. *International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies, 8*(1), 20–34. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijicst.2018010102

Brown, W., Santhosh, L., Stewart, N. H., Adamson, R., & Lee, M. M. (2024). The ABCs of cultivating psychological safety for clinical learner growth. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education, 16*(2), 124–127. https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-23-00589.1

Button, K., & Rossera, F. (1990). Barriers to communication. *Annals of Regional Science, 24*(4), 337–357. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01580478

Cernikovaite, M., & Karazijiene, Ž. (2023). Hybrid communication as a prospect for organisation development. *Review of Applied Socio-Economic Research, 25*(1), 5–19. https://doi.org/10.54609/reaser.v25i1.286

Chincea, R., Potra, S., & Tamasila, M. (2024). Features of an internal communication platform for corporate employees. meeting the needs of employees in a hybrid era. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence, 18*(1), 2997–3006. https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2024-0247

Croitoru, N. (2023). Psychological safety as a method of prevention of professional exhaustion and stimulation of work engagement. *Studia Universitatis Moldaviae Seria Ştiinţe Ale Educaţiei, 5*(165), 297–302. https://doi.org/10.59295/sum5(165)2023_47

Da Costa, S. I. R. B., & Lodders, J. W. (2022). New hybrid organizations creating bridges through innovative educational solutions: The case of Hilversum Media Campus, the Netherlands. *Industry and Higher Education, 36*(4), 381–397. https://doi.org/10.1177/09504222221106117

Dahling, J. J., & O'Malley, A. L. (2011). Supportive feedback environments can mend broken performance management systems. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 4*(2), 201–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2011.01327.x

Dahri, A. S., Dahri, A. S., Saraih, U. N., Khuhro, M. A., Shaikh, J. A., & Khan, M. S. (2024). Employee Satisfaction and Engagement Are Crucial for Organizational Success. In E. Shaikh (Ed.), *Strategies and approaches of corporate social responsibility toward multinational enterprises* (pp. 184-201). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0363-4.ch011

Dietl, J. E., Derksen, C., Keller, F. M., & Lippke, S. (2023). Interdisciplinary and interprofessional communication intervention: How psychological safety fosters communication and increases patient safety. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1164288

Eng, I., Tjernberg, M., & Champoux-Larsson, M. (2024). Hybrid workers describe aspects that promote effectiveness, work engagement, work-life balance, and health. *Cogent Psychology, 11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2024.2362535

Fukami, T. (2023). Patient engagement with psychological safety. *Dialogues in Health, 3*, 100153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dialog.2023.100153

Gannabathula, B. P. (2024). Assessing employee engagement in hybrid workplace ecosystem. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 08*(03), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem29803

Garrick, A., Johnson, W. D., & Arendt, S. W. (2024). Breaking barriers: Strategies for fostering inclusivity in the workplace. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 14*(2). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v14-i2/20799

Ge, Y. (2020). Psychological safety, employee voice, and work engagement. *Social Behavior and Personality an International Journal, 48*(3), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.8907

Glasscock, S., & Gram, K. (1995). Winning ways: Establishing an effective workplace recognition system. *National Productivity Review, 14*(3), 91–102. https://doi.org/10.1002/npr.4040140310

Guerrero-Quiñonez, A. J., Bedoya-Flores, M. C., Mosquera-Quiñonez, E. F., Ango-Ramos, E. D., & Lara-Tambaco, R. M. (2023). Hybrid education: current challenges. Ibero-American *Journal of Education & Society Research, 3*(1), 276–279. https://doi.org/10.56183/iberoeds.v3i1.629

Gupta, R. (2023). *Case study: People development and rapid organization building during challenging external environment*. Paper presented at the Middle East Oil, Gas and Geosciences Show, Manama, Bahrain, February 2023. https://doi.org/10.2118/213226-ms

Hickey, D. P., & Douetil, G. (2022). The great office evolution: Reimagining the workplace. *Corporate Real Estate Journal, 11*(3), 260. https://doi.org/10.69554/jiif7742

Hincapie, M. X., & Costa, P. (2024). Fostering hybrid team performance through inclusive leadership strategies. *Organizational Dynamics*, 101072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2024.101072

Hiremath, D. V. (2024). The future of work: Redefining organisational culture and employee engagement through the hybrid workforce. In *Futuristic trends in management Vol. 3 Book 24, IIP Series* (pp. 101–105). https://doi.org/10.58532/v3bhma24ch14

Ify, I. P. (2024). Communication strategies and employee productivity. *Global Journal of Social Sciences, 23*(1), 97–102. https://doi.org/10.4314/gjss.v23i1.8

IIlavarasi, N. D. (2024). Enhancing workplace productivity: A review of effective communication techniques and their role in fostering team collaboration and conflict resolution. *International Journal for Multidimensional Research Perspectives, 2*(4), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.61877/ijmrp.v2i4.132

Iqbal, K. M. J., Khalid, F., & Barykin, S. Y. (2021). Hybrid workplace. In B. Khan, M. Kuofie, & S. Suman (Eds.), *Handbook of research on future opportunities for technology management education* (pp. 28-48). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-8327-2.ch003

Irlitti, A., Latifoglu, M., Hoang, T., Syiem, B. V., & Vetere, F. (2024). *Volumetric hybrid workspaces: Interactions with objects in remote and co-located telepresence*. In Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Article 802, pp. 1–16). https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642814

Isaikina, O., & Navalna, M. (2022). The role of effective communication in the process of forming a cohesive and motivated team. *University Economic Bulletin, 53*, 59–66. https://doi.org/10.31470/2306-546x-2022-53-59-66

Jackson, C. (2012). Managing successful industry engagement: The Australian SKA Pathfinder experience. *Proceedings of SPIE, the International Society for Optical Engineering/Proceedings of SPIE, 8449*, 84490E. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.970640

Jain, A. K., Fennell, M. L., Chagpar, A. B., Connolly, H. K., & Nembhard, I. M. (2016). Moving toward improved teamwork in cancer care: The role of psychological safety in team

communication. *Journal of Oncology Practice, 12*(11), 1000–1011. https://doi.org/10.1200/jop.2016.013300

Jiménez, E. A. L., & Ouariachi, T. (2020). An exploration of the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) and automation for communication professionals. *Journal of Information Communication and Ethics in Society, 19*(2), 249–267. https://doi.org/10.1108/jices-03-2020-0034

Jokić, S., Ilić, M., Ranković, M., & Mitic, B. (2019). Leadership and management and its role in strategic change. *Annals of Spiru Haret University Economic Series*, *19*(3), 91–100. https://doi.org/10.26458/1939

Jony, A. I., & Hamim, S. A. (2024). Empowering virtual collaboration: Harnessing AI for enhanced teamwork in higher education. *Educational Technology Quarterly, 2024*(3), 337–359. https://doi.org/10.55056/etq.746

Joseph, N. S., Tahir, N. A., Bibi, N. F., Hamid, K., Iqbal, M. W., Ruk, N. S. A., & Ahmad, N. S. Z. (2024). A review analysis on using "AIED" to improve student engagement in hybrid education. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE), 13*(2), 424–435. https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00348

Khameneh, S. P. (2022). *The role of informal communication within multinational interior design teams during virtual collaboration* [Master's thesis, Carleton University]. https://doi.org/10.22215/etd/2022-15348

Kimani, B. (2024). Internal communication strategies and employee engagement. *Journal of Public Relations, 2*(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.47941/jpr.1695

King, D. L., & Lawley, S. (2022). *Leadership and management*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/hebz/9780192893475.003.0018

Kluger, A. N., & Lehmann, M. (2018). Listening first, feedback later. *Management Research the Journal of the Iberoamerican Academy of Management, 16*(4), 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1108/mrjiam-12-2017-0797

Kneeland, M. K., Flynn, E., & Milliken, F. J. (2023). A longitudinal study of the shift to remote work on task and personal networks. *Academy of Management Proceedings, 2023*(1). https://doi.org/10.5465/amproc.2023.16376abstract

Konovalova, V. (2021). Employee recognition programs: Experience and new reality. *Management of the Personnel and Intellectual Resources in Russia, 10*(3), 25–30. https://doi.org/10.12737/2305-7807-2021-10-3-25-30

Lacy, A., Polsley, S., Ray, S., & Hammond, T. (2022). A seat at the virtual table: Emergent inclusion in remote meetings. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 6*(CSCW2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1145/3555151

Lingard, L. (2004). Communication failures in the operating room: An observational classification of recurrent types and effects. *BMJ Quality & Safety, 13*(5), 330–334. https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2003.008425

Liu, Y. C. (2011). An empirical study of building social relationships within virtual teams. In In N. Kock (Ed.), *E-collaboration technologies and organizational performance: Current and future trends*. (pp. 271–291). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60960-466-0.ch016

Magomedbekov, G. Magomedbekova, M. Communication barriers and ways to overcome them. *Экономика И Предпринимательство* (*Economics and Entrepreneurship*), *9*(134), 1181–1183. https://doi.org/10.34925/eip.2021.134.9.227

Malviya, L., & Gupta, V. (2022). Empathy, autonomy, and trust critical for organizational culture in a hybrid workplace. *Academy of Management Proceedings, 2022*(1). https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2022.11284abstract

Mayor, S. (2002). Poor team work is killing patients. *British Medical Journal, 325*(7373), 1129. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7373.1129

Mjaku, G. (2020). Strategic Management and Strategic Leadership. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, *10*(8), 914–918. https://doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.10.08.2020.P104113

Morrison-Smith, S., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: A literature review. *SN Applied Sciences, 2*(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2801-5

Mustajab, D. (2024). Exploring the effectiveness of remote and hybrid work policies: A literature review on workforce management practices. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, *11*(2), 891–908. https://doi.org/10.33096/jmb.v11i2.798

Nag, D. (2021). Enhancing work engagement in diverse employees via autonomy: Acknowledging introversion and extroversion workspace preferences. In P. Kumar, A. Agrawal, & P. Budhwar (Eds.), *Work from home: Multi-level perspectives on the new normal* (pp. 131–146). Emerald Publishing Limited eBooks. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80071-661-220210008

Ohlson, M., & Goel, L. (2022). *Leadership Strategies for the Hybrid Workforce*. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3453-6

Orr, A., & Savage, T. (2021). Expanding access to and ensuring equity in the benefits of remote work following the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Science Policy & Governance, 18*(04). https://doi.org/10.38126/jspg180413

Paulus, P. (2023). The role of psychological safety in team communication: Implications for human resource practices. *Golden Ratio of Mapping Idea and Literature Format, 3*(2), 156–166. https://doi.org/10.52970/grmilf.v3i2.399

Peretz, H. V., & Kidron, A. (2023). Employee work engagement and organizational engagement within the hybrid work model. *Academy of Management Proceedings, 2023*(1). https://doi.org/10.5465/amproc.2023.14005abstract

Qasem, G. A., & Qusef, A. (2019). *Team building activities for virtual teams in Jordanian companies: Vision and survey.* International Conference of Computer Science and Renewable Energies (ICCSRE), Agadir, Morocco (pp. 1–7). https://doi.org/10.1109/iccsre.2019.8807677

Richardson, K. (2019). Online tools with synchronous learning environments. In P. Wachira & J. Keengwe (Eds.), *Handbook of research on online pedagogical models for mathematics teacher education* (pp. 68-78). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1476-4.ch005

Rogers, B., Masoodian, M., & Apperley, M. (2018). *A virtual cocktail party*. AVI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (Article No. 80, pp. 1–3). https://doi.org/10.1145/3206505.3206569

Saritha, N. T. (2024). The impact of hybrid work models on employee well-being and engagement. *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, *31*(5s), 97–104. https://doi.org/10.52783/cana.v31.1003

Schertler, M., Glumann, N. V., & Boehm, S. A. (2023). How two megatrends affect each other: Studying the interplay of remote work and workplace inclusion with a random intercept cross-lagged panel model. *Academy of Management Discoveries, 10*(3), 351–374. https://doi.org/10.5465/amd.2022.0133

Schroeder, K. A., Sorensen, P. F., & Yaeger, T. F. (2014). Accelerating global hybrid team effectiveness. In *Research in organizational change and development* (Vol. 22, pp. 335–364). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/s0897-301620140000022008

Sharma, A. (2023). Managing remote teams in organisations: Best practices for effective collaboration and communication. *Psychology Education Journal*, *55*(1). https://doi.org/10.48047/pne.2018.55.1.16

Shimichev, A. S., & Rotanova, M. B. (2024). *Specifics of hybrid communications in the digital space.* 2024 Communication Strategies in Digital Society Seminar (ComSDS), St. Petersburg, Russia (pp. 118–122). https://doi.org/10.1109/comsds61892.2024.10502093

Sinha, H. (2024). Enhancing employee engagement through remote work. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 08*(06), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem35681

Stawnicza, O. (2022). Distributed team cohesion – not an oxymoron. The impact of information and communications technologies on teamness in globally distributed IT projects. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management, 3*(2), 23–39. https://doi.org/10.12821/ijispm030202

Stewart, P. (2016). Synchronous and asynchronous tools optimizing online learning in the English-speaking Caribbean. In S. D'Agustino (Ed.), *Creating teacher immediacy in online learning environments* (pp. 138-165). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-9995-3.ch008

Stray, V., Moe, N. B., & Noroozi, M. (2019). *Slack me if you can! Using enterprise social networking tools in virtual agile teams.* 2019 ACM/IEEE 14th International Conference on Global Software Engineering (ICGSE), Montreal, QC, Canada (pp. 111–121). https://doi.org/10.1109/icgse.2019.00031

Szulc, J. M. (2022). AMO model for neuro-inclusive remote workplace. *Personnel Review, 51*(8), 1867–1882. https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-02-2022-0085

Tahsiri, M. (2023). Designing post-digital hybrid workplaces. *Enquiry the ARCC Journal for Architectural Research, 20*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.17831/enqarcc.v20i1.1140

Teevan, J. (2022). How hybrid work will make work more intelligent. *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management* (p. 4). https://doi.org/10.1145/3511808.3558585

Tiwari, R., Debnath, B., & Sahana, S. B. (2024). Driving workforce to sustainable organization: An era of inclusive engagement strategies. In *Futuristic trends in management, Volume 3 Book 12, IIP Series* (pp. 41–60). https://doi.org/10.58532/v3bhma12p2ch1

Van Der Rijst, R., Guo, P., & Admiraal, W. (2023). Student engagement in hybrid approaches to teaching in higher education. *Revista de Investigación Educativa, 41*(2), 315–336. https://doi.org/10.6018/rie.562521

Vanitha, N. N., & Shailashri, V. T. (2024). A systematic literature review on impact of hybrid work culture on employee job engagement and productivity - A study of IT professionals in Karnataka. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*, 375–384. https://doi.org/10.36713/epra15360

Vartiainen, M. (2024b). *Flexible hybrid work.* https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035320103

Venkatesh Babu, T. S., & Venkatesan, G. (2024). A comprehensive study on analyzing the organization's team dynamics and employees' performance affected by the emergence of remote work. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30*(7), 624-632. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i7.6675

Wang, J., & Tang, J. L. (2023). Hybrid communication. In E. Gilboa (Ed.), *A research agenda for public diplomacy* (pp. 281–294). Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802207323.00027

Wiatr, A., & Skowron-Mielnik, B. (2024). The quest for a perfect hybrid working: A case study of Mars Wrigley Poland. *Human Systems Management*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3233/hsm-240023

Wong, S. I., Berntzen, M., Warner-Søderholm, G., & Giessner, S. R. (2022). The negative impact of individual perceived isolation in distributed teams and its possible remedies. *Human Resource Management Journal, 32*(4), 906–927. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12447

Yozi, K., & Mbokota, G. (2024). Adaptive leadership Competencies for hybrid work teams in the South African banking sector. *South African Journal of Business Management*. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v55i1.4060

Žarić, S. P., Perović, S., & Jašarović, E. A. (2024). from a traditional office to hybrid from a traditional office to hybrid workspace and teleworking: experiences pre-, during, and postpandemic periodworkspace and teleworking: Experiences pre-, during, and post-pandemic period. *Contemporary Theory and Practice in Construction, 16*(1), 205–219. https://doi.org/10.61892/stp202401083p